HARDING TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES REGULAR MEETING MAY 18, 2023 7:30 PM

CALL TO ORDER AND STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Board Chair, Mr. Flanagan, called the meeting of the Board of Adjustment to order at 7:30 and announced that adequate notice of the meeting had been made in accordance with the New Jersey State Open Public Meetings Act.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

REGULAR MEETING

ROLL

Ms. Taglairino called the roll. It went as follows:

Mr. Cammarata	Present	Mr. Newlin	Present	Mr. Maselli	Present
Mr. Addonizio	Present	Ms. Sovolos	Present	Mr. Boyan	Excused
Mr. Rosenbaum	Present	Mr. Symonds	Present	Mr. Flanagan	Present

The Board Attorney, Mr. Mlenak was present.

ADMINISTRATIVE

Mr. Flanagan announced that the following applications are carried until a May 30th Special Meeting:

Application BOA# 06-23	Hunter 34, LLC, 34 Hunter Dr. B48/L8.01
Application BOA #05-23	Jason Doctor, 546 Van Beuren Rd. B6/L11

RESOLUTIONS:

BOA# 01-23 Paul Coates, 50 Kitchell Road, B1/L8

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to adopt Resolution BOA #01-23 Coates. Mr. Rosenbaum seconded the motion. A roll vote went as follows:

For: Addonizio, Flanagan, Newlin, Rosenbaum, Sovolos & Symonds.

BOA# 03-23 Christopher & Lucy DeStefano, Deer Ridge Dr. B33/L11.01

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to adopt Resolution BOA #03-23 DeStefano. Mr. Rosenbaum seconded the motion. A roll vote went as follows:

For: Addonizio, Flanagan, Newlin, Rosenbaum, Sovolos Maselli & Symonds.

OLD BUSINESS

PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

Application BOA# 17-18

New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless 8 Millbrook Road, B17/L1

Presenting: Richard Schneider, Attorney William Masters, Planner Jeffrey Reynolds, Landscape Architect James Murkowski, Engineer

Robert Simon, Objecting Attorney for the following: Ms. Conine & Mr. Carifa of Lees Hill Road Ms. Engel & Mr. Saganic of Millbrook Road Mr. & Mrs. Bansal of Coppertree Lane Mr. O' Donnell of Millbrook Road

Mr. Mlenak outlined the process that led up to tonight's meeting.

Mr. Schneider noted that the Board of Adjustment and the Town came to a settlement agreement.

Exhibits Presented: Exhibit A-35, the Consent Order Exhibit A-36, the Revised Site Plan set Exhibit A-37, Landscape plans, L-1, L-2, L-3

The following residents had questions:

Ms. Engel had a question regarding stormwater runoff. Mr. Weeks of Lees Hill Road questioned the appearance of the landscaping. Mr. McKittrick asked to see the SIMs of the proposed tree.

The matter is carried to a May 30, 2023 Special Meeting starting at 6:00 p.m.

A copy of the transcripts is appended to the minutes.

The meeting adjourned at 11:02.

Lori Taglairino

Respectfully submitted by Lori Taglairino, Board of Adjustment Secretary

Page 1	Page 2
HARDING TOWNSHIP	1 APPEARANCES:
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT	2 CREENDAUM ROWE SMITH & DAVIS LUR
IN THE MATTER OF: : TRANSCRIPT : :	GREENBAUM, ROWE, SMITH & DAVIS, LLP 3 BY: STEVEN G. MLENAK, ESQUIRE
CASE: BOA# 17-18 : OF New York SMSA Limited Partnership:	Attorneys for the Board
D/b/a Verizon Wireless : SPECIAL MEETING Millbrook Road : Disch 172, tot 1, DI Zere	4 5 VOGEL, CHAIT, COLLINS & SCHNEIDER, ESQUIRES
Block 17; Lot 1; PL Zone :X	BY: RICHARD SCHNEIDER, ESQUIRE
Tuesday, May 18, 2023	6 Attorneys for the Applicant
Municipal Building 21 Blue Mill Road Nury Women BU 02026	HEROLD LAW, PA
New Vernon, NJ 07976 Commencing at 7:35 p.m.	8 BY: ROBERT F. SIMON, ESQUIRE
BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	Attorneys for the Objectors: 9 James M. Carifa and Sarah G. Conine; Neil
MIKE FLANAGAN, Chairman	O'Donnell; Livio Saganic; and Harsh and
ALF NEWLIN DAN MASELLI TURNKI A DPONIZIO	10 Nina Bansal 11
THOMAS ADDONIZIO ARIC ROSENBAUM	12
MICHAEL CAMMARATA HUGH SYMONDS EUZABETH SOVIOLOS	13
ELIZABETH SOVOLOS	14 15
ALSO PRESENT:	16
LORI TAGLAIRINO, Board Administrator PAUL D. FOX, P.E., CME McKINI EV MEETZ PP AICP	17 18
McKINLEY MERTZ, PP, AICP	19
IRIS LaROSA,	20 21
Certified Shorthand Reporter	22
PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE Certified Shorthand Reporters	23
(908) 642-4299	24 25
Page 3	Page 4
1 INDEX	1 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So tonight we are back
2 Witness Page	2 to Board of Adjustment 17-18. This is the Verizon
3	 application. Welcome back everyone. Steve, Mr. Schneider, Mr. Simon, welcome
JAMES MURAWSKI 4 By Mr. Schneider 10	5 back.
By Mr. Simon 31	6 Steve, do you want to just give us two
5 JEFFREY REYNOLDS	7 minutes on why we're here? Sort of a history on what
6 By Mr. Schneider 83	8 we're doing tonight.
By Mr. Simon 99	9 MR. MLENAK: I'll do my best to keep it to
8	10 two minutes.
9 10	11 So yes, this is a public hearing on 12 Application number BOA 17-18, which is an application
EXHIBITS	 12 Application number BOA 1/-18, when is an application 13 that was filed by New York SMSA Limited Partnership
11 12 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	14 doing business as Verizon Wireless back in 2018 seeking
13 A-35 Consent Order 9	15 Preliminary and Final Site Plan approval with Use
14 A-36 Plans 9 15	16 Variance relief to permit the construction of a
16	17 wireless telecommunications tower on property located 8
17 PUBLIC MEMBER COMMENTS: 18 Christel Engelpage 81	 Millbrook Road as shown on the official tax maps of the Township as Block 17. Lot 1. The property's owned by
18 Christel Engelpage 81 Richard Weekspage 97	19 Township as Block 17, Lot 1. The property's owned by20 the Township which is currently used as the Township's
19 Brian McKittrickpage 149 20	21 Public Works Department facility in the Township's PL
20 21	22 Zone.
22	23 On May 10, 2022, the Board of Adjustment
23 24	²⁴ voted to deny the application, and on June 16, 2022,
25	25 the Board voted to adopt a Resolution memorializing

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	Page 5		Page 6
1	such denial.	1	vindicate the public interest. This includes notice,
2	Verizon subsequently filed a Federal	2	public hearing, public vote, and a written Resolution."
3	lawsuit against the Board and the Township challenging	3	Notice of proposed stipulation settlement
4	the Board's denial of the application in the district	4	tonight has been made by the Applicant in accordance
5	of New Jersey, Docketed as Civil Action number	5	with Municipal Land Use Law and tonight the Applicant
6	2:22-CV-04531. On December 15, 2022, the Board of	6	will be expected to present its case in support of the
7	Adjustment adopted Resolution BOA number 14-2022, which	7	plan it hatched to the consent order. Just as with any
8	authorized the preparation, execution, and filing of a	8	public hearing the Board and the public will be
9	consent order for the purpose of scheduling a public	9	permitted to ask questions of any witnesses at the
10	hearing to consider a stipulation of settlement between	10	appropriate time at the conclusion of the Applicant's
11	the parties to the litigation.	11	case. The public will be permitted to make public
12	On March 21, 2023, a Stipulation of	12	comment. At the conclusion the Board will be asked to
13	Settlement and Consent Order was filed with the	13	vote on whether or not to approve the application under
14	District Court containing the proposed terms of the	14	the term of the consent order.
15	settlement of litigation subject only to this public	15	For the record, this is not a new
16	hearing. Tonight's public hearing is sometimes	16	application starting anew. Tonight's hearing will be
17	referred to as a Whispering Woods Hearing, named so	17	expressly incorporated into the record contained in the
18	after the case known as Whispering Woods versus	18	prior 27 public hearings on the application. If there
19	Middletown Planning Board 220 N.J. Super.161.	19	are no other questions, Mr. Chairman, I can turn it
20	As stated by the Court in that case the	20	over to the Applicant.
21	settlement of a lawsuit between an applicant and the	21	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. I will note that
22	Board "must necessarily be conditioned upon a public	22	the five-minute rule for public comment is in effect
23	hearing on the agreed plan just as if the new	23	tonight, as it is every night. So when it's time for
24	application were being presented to the Board and must	24	public comment please keep that in mind, the same as it
25	be subject to all statutory conditions necessary to	25	was the last time. Likewise, when there are witnesses
	Page 7		Page 8
1	you can ask questions of the witnesses after the	1	Under paragraph three of the Consent Order Verizon
2	witness has been presented, and then but there will	2	Windless was required to indicate its consent to these
3			Wireless was required to indicate its consent to those
	be a time again, public comment time, where you can	3	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this
4	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be	3 4	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon
5	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr.	3 4 5	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth
5 6	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back.	3 4 5 6	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order.
5 6 7	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	3 4 5 6 7	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that
5 6 7 8	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard	3 4 5 6 7 8	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three
5 6 7 8 9	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who
5 6 7 8 9 10	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr. Mlenak has noted.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified landscape architect and the primary focus of his
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr. Mlenak has noted. To reaffirm what Steve said, under	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified landscape architect and the primary focus of his testimony will be testifying as to the landscaping plan
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr. Mlenak has noted. To reaffirm what Steve said, under paragraph four of the consent order to which Steve	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified landscape architect and the primary focus of his testimony will be testifying as to the landscaping plan as reflected on Sheets L-1, L-2, and L-3 of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr. Mlenak has noted. To reaffirm what Steve said, under paragraph four of the consent order to which Steve referred to it should be, again, noted that the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified landscape architect and the primary focus of his testimony will be testifying as to the landscaping plan as reflected on Sheets L-1, L-2, and L-3 of the aforesaid plans.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr. Mlenak has noted. To reaffirm what Steve said, under paragraph four of the consent order to which Steve referred to it should be, again, noted that the underlying record, the 27 or so public hearings, are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified landscape architect and the primary focus of his testimony will be testifying as to the landscaping plan as reflected on Sheets L-1, L-2, and L-3 of the aforesaid plans. The third intended witness will be Mr.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr. Mlenak has noted. To reaffirm what Steve said, under paragraph four of the consent order to which Steve referred to it should be, again, noted that the underlying record, the 27 or so public hearings, are incorporated into this procedure.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified landscape architect and the primary focus of his testimony will be testifying as to the landscaping plan as reflected on Sheets L-1, L-2, and L-3 of the aforesaid plans. The third intended witness will be Mr. William Masters, the Applicant's Professional Planner.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr. Mlenak has noted. To reaffirm what Steve said, under paragraph four of the consent order to which Steve referred to it should be, again, noted that the underlying record, the 27 or so public hearings, are incorporated into this procedure. Point two: Under the Consent Order,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified landscape architect and the primary focus of his testimony will be testifying as to the landscaping plan as reflected on Sheets L-1, L-2, and L-3 of the aforesaid plans. The third intended witness will be Mr.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just tell us your thoughts. It doesn't have to be related to any testimony. With that said, Mr. Schneider, welcome back. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nice to see everybody again. For the record, Richard Schneider of the law firm of Vogel, Chait, Collins and Schneider on behalf of the Applicant Verizon Wireless. I thank Steve for his comprehensive, concise, and accurate review of the processes which has led us to tonight's hearing. I take no issue with his recitation of that procedural history. I would just like to and I'll highlight it, do it briefly, highlight two aspects to further supplement what Mr. Mlenak has noted. To reaffirm what Steve said, under paragraph four of the consent order to which Steve referred to it should be, again, noted that the underlying record, the 27 or so public hearings, are incorporated into this procedure.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 conditions. I did so when I refiled the plans for this matter. So let the record reflect that Verizon Wireless does consent to those conditions as set forth in paragraph three of the consent order. I have three witnesses. My hope is that they are brief but famous last words. The three witnesses are as follows: James Murawski, who previously testified in this matter, is the Applicant's site plan Engineer. In summary, Mr. Murawski will be reviewing the revisions to the site plans that are reflected on the "plans" as referenced in the Consent Order. The second individual is Jeffrey Reynolds from the Reynolds Group. Mr. Reynolds is a certified landscape architect and the primary focus of his testimony will be testifying as to the landscaping plan as reflected on Sheets L-1, L-2, and L-3 of the aforesaid plans. The third intended witness will be Mr. William Masters, the Applicant's Professional Planner. There were a couple of documentary

	Page 9		Page 10
1	to at least pre-mark two of them now and then we'll get	1	I'm prepared to proceed with Mr. Murawski.
2	to the third one when Mr. Masters testifies.	2	Jim, if you can come up.
3	Based on my communications with Lori and	3	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Schneider. can
4	Steve today I believe we're up to Exhibit A-35, is that	4	you put his microphone on, please? Thank you. And
5	correct, Lori?	5	where do you want to start? Do you want to start with
6	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Yes. It will be	6	the settlement, or do you want to start with drawings,
7	A-35.	7	or we're not anywhere near there yet?
8	MR. SCHNEIDER: So what I would like to do,	8	MR. SCHNEIDER: In terms of producing on
9	and I have extra copies if any members of the public or	9	the screen, probably the drawings, okay.
10	Board so requires. I'd like to mark the entirety of	10	MR. MLENAK: So Mr. Murawski, you've
11	the Consent Order as Exhibit A-35. And just for ease	11	testified on this matter before. I know you're under
12	of reference I want to mark the plans, even though	12	oath, but it has been some time so I'll swear you in
13	they're contained within the Consent Order, I'll mark	13	just for precaution, if you don't mind.
14	them or have them so indicated as being Exhibit A-36.	14	Raise your right hand, please?
15	Unless Steve or any member of the Board has an	15	State your full name and spell your last
16	objection, that would be my request.	16	name, please.
17	MR. MLENAK: That's appropriate.	17	THE WITNESS: James Murawski.
18	(Exhibits A-35 and A-36 are received and	18	M-u-r-a-w-s-k-i.
19	marked.)	19	MR. MLENAK: And you swear to tell the
20	MR. SCHNEIDER: And I will be marking, and	20	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth
21	I did submit, just so there's no misunderstanding, we	21	tonight?
22	did submit a supplemental visual analysis that Mr.	22	THE WITNESS: I do.
23	Masters will be testifying to. My intention ultimately	23	JAMES MURAWSKI, having been
24	will be to mark that as A-37, but we can hold off until	24	duly sworn, testifies as follows:
25	he testifies. So unless there's any specific questions	25	MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Counsel.
	Page 11		Page 12
1	Page 11 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:	1	_
1 2	_	1	as 3/6/23; is that correct?
	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:	1	_
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented	2	as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape
2 3	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings	2 3	as 3/6/23; is that correct?A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license.
2 3 4	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an	2 3 4	as 3/6/23; is that correct?A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license.Q. We will get to that in a second. And you
2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering.	2 3 4 5	as 3/6/23; is that correct?A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license.Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously	2 3 4 5 6	as 3/6/23; is that correct?A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license.Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New	2 3 4 5 6 7	as 3/6/23; is that correct?A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license.Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as 3/6/23; is that correct?A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license.Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, I'm going to refer you where 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you where appropriate, and Lori is going to be kind enough to post those. And on the screen, I'm going to refer you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Mark you where appropriate, and Lori is going to be kind enough to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, I'm going to refer you where appropriate, and Lori is going to be kind enough to post those. And on the screen, I'm going to refer you to Exhibit A-36 where appropriate. So if there's any specific sheets of the site plan please feel free to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order. And in doing so I want, if necessary, you to address those in the context you previously testified at the March 31st, 2022, hearing with reference to Exhibit A-34. We don't need to refer to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, I'm going to refer you where appropriate, and Lori is going to be kind enough to post those. And on the screen, I'm going to refer you to Exhibit A-36 where appropriate. So if there's any specific sheets of the site plan please feel free to refer to them in the course of your testimony. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order. And in doing so I want, if necessary, you to address those in the context you previously testified at the March 31st, 2022, hearing with reference to Exhibit A-34. We don't need to refer to that, but in the context of the differences between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: No be kind enough to post those. And on the screen, I'm going to refer you to Exhibit A-36 where appropriate. So if there's any specific sheets of the site plan please feel free to refer to them in the course of your testimony. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order. And in doing so I want, if necessary, you to address those in the context you previously testified at the March 31st, 2022, hearing with reference to Exhibit A-34. We don't need to refer to that, but in the context of the differences between that which is reflected on Exhibit A-34, which was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: So if there's any specific sheets of the site plan please feel free to refer to them in the course of your testimony. And just for purposes of the record those plans are sealed under your name dated March 2nd, 2023, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order. And in doing so I want, if necessary, you to address those in the context you previously testified at the March 31st, 2022, hearing with reference to Exhibit A-34. We don't need to refer to that, but in the context of the differences between that which is reflected on Exhibit A-34, which was presented at the March 31st, 2022, hearing, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Mr. Murawski, you have previously presented testimony on, I believe, three separate public hearings before this Board. Your testimony was accepted as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering. Let me ask you, you were previously licensed as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. Are you still so licensed; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you remain a principal of the firm of E2PM; correct? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We still accept him as expert. MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: No be kind enough to post those. And on the screen, I'm going to refer you to Exhibit A-36 where appropriate. So if there's any specific sheets of the site plan please feel free to refer to them in the course of your testimony. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 as 3/6/23; is that correct? A. That's correct. However, the landscape plans were not prepared under my license. Q. We will get to that in a second. And you are the preparer of those plans with the exception of the landscaping plans which were under the seal of Mr. Reynolds who will subsequently follow you; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in the interest of time, and without me performing my usual comprehensive question and answering process, let me ask you just from an overall perspective if you can review for the Board what are the specific revisions to the plans that had been made in conjunction with the settlement what I'll refer to them as the Consent Order. They're technically the Stipulation of Settlement and the Consent Order. And in doing so I want, if necessary, you to address those in the context you previously testified at the March 31st, 2022, hearing with reference to Exhibit A-34. We don't need to refer to that, but in the context of the differences between that which is reflected on Exhibit A-34, which was

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	Page 13		Page 14
1	review for the Board what are the changes to the plans?	1	THE WITNESS: On the shed and the part
2	A. Sure. Perhaps it's best if we can zoom in	2	behind it.
3	on the compound on SP-1. So generally speaking	3	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: That's as far as it
4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You had the page, Lori.	4	goes.
5	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Oh, this is the	5	THE WITNESS: Thank you for that. This
6	page?	6	pointer is not reaching.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes. Just where the compound	7	MR. MLENAK: It was.
8	is, if we can enlarge.	8	THE WITNESS: There you go. So we are
9	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: You want me just to	9	continuing to propose that the compound be located to
10	get it	10	the rear of the recycling shed as before. The
11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Left of the bow-tie.	11	dimensions of the compound have been reduced somewhat.
12	THE WITNESS: Closer in to where the	12	We're now proposing a compound that's 17.5-feet wide by
13	recycling shed is.	13	16 feet in overall length. It will be bordered on the
14	So generally speaking the compound is still	14	open side by an 8-foot tall, wood, board-on-board
15	proposed to be located to the rear of that one	15	fence. The equipment elements within the compound
16	recycling shed. This drawing has the setback	16	remain the same as before; however, they've been
17	dimensions on it. Maybe if we can get a little closer	17	relocated as shown. And the next sheet that we're
18	we can see some of those numbers.	18	going to go to show that better.
19	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: You just want me to	19	The pole we were able to relocate the
20	focus on this bottom part?	20	pole such that it's tucked closer to the recycling shed
21	THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you.	21	than before, such that the center of the pole is now
22	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: By the way, there is	22	72.3 feet off of the property line as illustrated here.
23	a pointer. The yellow button. If you push the yellow	23	The dimension in this direction, the 120. 8-foot
24	button. So let me get you squared away and I'll go in	24	setback is essentially the same, plus or minus a foot
25	so we're just focusing on	25	as before.
	5		
	Page 15		Page 16
1	Page 15 So in the meantime between the last hearing	1	Page 16 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far
1 2	_	1	
	So in the meantime between the last hearing		66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far
2	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two	2	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we
2 3	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer	2 3	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation.
2 3 4	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted	2 3 4	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please.
2 3 4 5	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole.	2 3 4 5	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you
2 3 4 5 6	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that	2 3 4 5 6	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be?
2 3 4 5 6 7	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking	2 3 4 5 6 7	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it. So the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer in his report was that this proposed pole can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent Agreement. The inner circle is the pole itself which at this point in time is approximately 40 inches in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it. So the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer in his report was that this proposed pole can be supported by a caisson-type foundation. That report was then given to a pole manufacturer. The pole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent Agreement. The inner circle is the pole itself which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it. So the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer in his report was that this proposed pole can be supported by a caisson-type foundation. That report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent Agreement. The inner circle is the pole itself which at this point in time is approximately 40 inches in diameter at the base. The outer circle is the concrete caisson foundation which is approximately 6 feet in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it. So the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer in his report was that this proposed pole can be supported by a caisson-type foundation. That report was then given to a pole manufacturer. The pole manufacturer, his analysis was submitted within the consent agreement. The pole was designed and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent Agreement. The inner circle is the pole itself which at this point in time is approximately 40 inches in diameter at the base. The outer circle is the concrete caisson foundation which is approximately 6 feet in diameter, if I'm not mistaken. We're showing it to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it. So the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer in his report was that this proposed pole can be supported by a caisson-type foundation. That report was then given to a pole manufacturer. The pole manufacturer, his analysis was submitted within the consent agreement. The pole was designed and the foundation for it was designed based on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent Agreement. The inner circle is the pole itself which at this point in time is approximately 40 inches in diameter at the base. The outer circle is the concrete caisson foundation which is approximately 6 feet in diameter, if I'm not mistaken. We're showing it to be 3.5 feet off the corner of the shed to the edge of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it. So the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer in his report was that this proposed pole can be supported by a caisson-type foundation. That report was then given to a pole manufacturer. The pole manufacturer, his analysis was submitted within the consent agreement. The pole was designed and the foundation for it was designed based on the geotechnical report provided, and a caisson foundation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent Agreement. The inner circle is the pole itself which at this point in time is approximately 40 inches in diameter at the base. The outer circle is the concrete caisson foundation which is approximately 6 feet in diameter, if I'm not mistaken. We're showing it to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it. So the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer in his report was that this proposed pole can be supported by a caisson-type foundation. That report was then given to a pole manufacturer. The pole manufacturer, his analysis was submitted within the consent agreement. The pole was designed and the foundation for it was designed based on the geotechnical report provided, and a caisson foundation was submitted as part of the consent agreement. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent Agreement. The inner circle is the pole itself which at this point in time is approximately 40 inches in diameter at the base. The outer circle is the concrete caisson foundation which is approximately 6 feet in diameter, if I'm not mistaken. We're showing it to be 3.5 feet off the corner of the shed to the edge of the caisson and you really don't want to go any closer than that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So in the meantime between the last hearing and today a few technical programs was executed. Two soil borings were conducted by a geotechnical engineer and a drilling crew, and the soils that were accounted were evaluated for a foundation for a proposed pole. It was our understanding prior to that report that we would or probably would encounter a layer of shale, thereby, making a caisson-type foundation not feasible. So we were initially thinking that a mat foundation would be required. Now we know that there is shale there. It's highly friable. Up to a depth of 18 feet below grade where it's a little bit more compact but still friable such that an auger can drill into it. So the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer in his report was that this proposed pole can be supported by a caisson-type foundation. That report was then given to a pole manufacturer. The pole manufacturer, his analysis was submitted within the consent agreement. The pole was designed and the foundation for it was designed based on the geotechnical report provided, and a caisson foundation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 66.3 feet, if I'm not mistaken. That's about as far away as we can get or about as close to the shed as we dare push the foundation. If we can go to the next drawing, please. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this where you want to be? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just take it out a little bit so is that everything that you need to see or do we need more? THE WITNESS: That's perfect. Thank you. So this is a little bit more legible, easier to follow. That's the proposed location for the pole that has been submitted within the Consent Agreement. The inner circle is the pole itself which at this point in time is approximately 40 inches in diameter at the base. The outer circle is the concrete caisson foundation which is approximately 6 feet in diameter, if I'm not mistaken. We're showing it to be 3.5 feet off the corner of the shed to the edge of the caisson and you really don't want to go any closer than

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

	Page 17		Page 18
1	hearing Mr. Fox indicated that in his professional	1	BY MR. SCHNEIDER:
2	opinion it should be approximately 2 to 3 feet from a	2	Q. Let me follow up with a couple of questions
3	safety perspective to the recycling center. So we're	3	before we get into a different area. The compound, the
4	maintaining at least that recommendation from Mr. Fox;	4	original compound, as reflected in the original
5	correct?	5	application submission was, if my memory serves me
6	THE WITNESS: Correct. We're showing it at	6	correct, 30-by-60 comprising 1800-square feet; is that
7	3.5 feet.	7	consistent with your recollection?
8	MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.	8	A. Yes.
9	THE WITNESS: What else is a little bit	9	Q. Okay. And to be clear it was the Board who
10	more clearly legible is the location of the equipment,	10	made the inquiry as to whether that compound in terms
11	the 17.5-feet-by-60-foot dimensions of the proposed	11	of its initial construction can be reduced in terms of
12	compound. The compound is sized primarily only for	12	the physical infrastructure. And the Applicant back in
13	Verizon, although there is space available for other	13	March of 2022 in accordance with Exhibit A-34 made that
14	collocators' equipment, but I can't comment exactly on	14	change. Nothing has changed or been revised as to the,
15	whether there's enough space or not, not knowing what	15	essentially, the parameters or dimensions of the
16	their requirements are, but having worked for other	16	compound layout; is that correct?
17	carriers they would probably need to bump the fence out	17	A. That's correct.
18	on one of these sides by, let's say, 3 feet to	18	Q. The fencing remains the same, et cetera?
19	accommodate their equipment layout.	19	A. Correct.
20	The other item of significance is Verizon	20	Q. No change in the generator; correct?
21	is still proposing a 30kW gas emergency generator. The	21	A. Correct.
22	generator does meet the noise criteria as stipulated by	22	Q. Okay. The consent order, which we'll get
23	the Township's Ordinance, as well as the state of New	23	to in a moment, the consent order bear with me. I
24	Jersey given this location. I'm pretty sure that's the	24	want to quote accurately the provisions of the consent
25	summary of the latest changes.	25	order. The consent order does require that the
	summing of the intest changes.		
	Page 19		
	rage 19		Page 20
1	generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken	1	Page 20 Consent Order.
1 2	-	1 2	-
	generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken	1	Consent Order.
2	generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m.	2	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going.
2 3	generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes.	2 3	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the
2 3 4	generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those	2 3 4	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans.
2 3 4 5	generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance?	2 3 4 5	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the
2 3 4 5 6	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. 	2 3 4 5 6	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your attention Lori, can you go back to can you go to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your attention Lori, can you go back to can you go to Exhibit A-35, the Consent Order? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And can you explain for the Board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your attention Lori, can you go back to can you go to Exhibit A-35, the Consent Order? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Where do you want to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And can you explain for the Board what that is intended to be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your attention Lori, can you go back to can you go to Exhibit A-35, the Consent Order? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Where do you want to be in this? Wait a minute, the Consent Order? Wait a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And can you explain for the Board what that is intended to be? A. Sure. This is a photograph of an actual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your attention Lori, can you go back to can you go to Exhibit A-35, the Consent Order? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Where do you want to be in this? Wait a minute, the Consent Order? Wait a minute. That's the stipulation, isn't it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And can you explain for the Board what that is intended to be? A. Sure. This is a photograph of an actual tree pole installation provided to us by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your attention Lori, can you go back to can you go to Exhibit A-35, the Consent Order? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Where do you want to be in this? Wait a minute, the Consent Order? Wait a minute. That's the stipulation, isn't it? MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes. Take your time. If 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And can you explain for the Board what that is intended to be? A. Sure. This is a photograph of an actual tree pole installation provided to us by the manufacturer, in this case it's TAPP. This is the tree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your attention Lori, can you go back to can you go to Exhibit A-35, the Consent Order? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Where do you want to be in this? Wait a minute, the Consent Order? Wait a minute. That's the stipulation, isn't it? MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes. Take your time. If you can go to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And can you explain for the Board what that is intended to be? A. Sure. This is a photograph of an actual tree pole installation provided to us by the manufacturer, in this case it's TAPP. This is the tree pole design that is included in the Consent Agreement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 generator when routinely exercised is to be undertaken Monday through Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. for a duration not to exceed 30 minutes. Can the Applicant comply with those provisions as to its regular maintenance? A. Yes. The Applicant can comply with that. Q. And generally weather permitting the generators are exercised only once a week not to exceed 30 minutes, and I understand generally on Tuesdays, but is that correct? A. Correct. Based on the air quality of the state of New Jersey. Q. Understood. Now, if there's nothing further relative to the compound and the revisions to the actual communications tower I want to turn your attention Lori, can you go back to can you go to Exhibit A-35, the Consent Order? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Where do you want to be in this? Wait a minute, the Consent Order? Wait a minute. That's the stipulation, isn't it? MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes. Take your time. If you can go to SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Is this it here? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Consent Order. MR. MLENAK: Go down, not up. Keep going. MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep going. Skip the plans. MR. MLENAK: Yes. Skip through all the plans, yes. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm not as well versed in this as you are. Wait, so these are MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go. Okay. Stop right there. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me just make this so you can see. BY MR. SCHNEIDER: Q. Jim, references now made to Exhibit-2 of Exhibit A-35, do you recognize what that is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And can you explain for the Board what that is intended to be? A. Sure. This is a photograph of an actual tree pole installation provided to us by the manufacturer, in this case it's TAPP. This is the tree pole design that is included in the Consent Agreement. The design is part of the agreement for this type tree.

	Page 21	Pac	re 22
1	question, will it look exactly like this if the tree	1 conditions. So this tree was physically I'm sor	ry,
2	was 80-foot high with 86 to the top of the branches,	2 conceptually designed by the manufacturer for th	
3	and the reply was yes.	3 application.	
4	So the proposal is to provide this design	4 Q. And in the upper right-hand corner I no	te
5	for the tree pole for this application.	5 it reflects the tree height of 80 feet; correct?	
6	MR. SCHNEIDER: Lori, can you now go to	6 A. Correct.	
7	Exhibit-3 which is the next page?	7 Q. And also contains the various wind spee	ds
8	BY MR. SCHNEIDER:	8 applicable to that standard that you just articulate	ed;
9	Q. Jim, I'm referring you now to Exhibit-3 of	9 correct?	
10	the Consent Order. And it's marked on the first page	10 A. Correct.	
11	with TAPP and that is to whom you just referred to.	11 Q. And I note for informational purposes c	an
12	Can you, without getting into the nitty-gritty details	12 you indicate where the branching on the tree wo	ıld
13	of each page of that can you generally provide an	13 begin since that was the subject of some signific	ant
14	overview to the Board and members of the public as to	14 prior testimony during the course of the many he	arings?
15	that which is reflected on Exhibit-3 to the Consent	15 A. So per the design the branching will beg	çin 🛛
16	Order?	16 at 40 feet above grade.	
17	A. The sheet that's on the screen, as well as	17 Q. And referring to the second sheet, that	
18	the majority of the following sheets, are pages of the	18 references if you can just switch and go to the	next
19	actual design of the tree pole. The pole itself, and	19 sheet, Lori. A little bit lower. There you go.	
20	the caisson foundation follows. The first sheet in the	20 Mr. Murawski, I see that there's a	
21	upper right you'll notice tables, and one of the tables	21 reference to a caisson foundation on the bottom	of that
22	is titled with the word "design." And that is the	22 page. So the caisson foundation, based on the	
23	design criteria for this location as stipulated by	23 testimony that you presented previously here, ha	s been
24	building code criteria, which is the 2018 IBC, which	24 factored into this very specific design; correct?	
25	refers to TIA-222 Rev H, and ASCE 7-16 for windloading	A. That is correct.	
	Page 23	Pac	0 24
			e 24
1	_		e 24
1 2	Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you	1 Alf. Thanks.	
1 2 3	Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the	 Alf. Thanks. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 	ci, so my
2	Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications?	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding	ci, so my
2 3	Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications?A. It's simply their analysis of this design.	 Alf. Thanks. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 	ki, so my
2 3 4	Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications?A. It's simply their analysis of this design.Q. And that analysis is based on the variety	 Alf. Thanks. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 	ki, so my
2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications?A. It's simply their analysis of this design.	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G	ci, so my ?
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot.	si, so my ? other
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. 	1Alf. Thanks.2BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws3question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding4transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions5standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G6THE WITNESS: I cannot.7BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of	si, so my ? other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question	si, so my ? other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the	ki, so my ? other
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you.	ki, so my ? other
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually	ki, so my ? other ?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be	ki, so my ? other ?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw	ki, so my ? other ?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I do. Alf, you can 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows:	ki, so my ? other ? ide to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred	ki, so my ? other ? ide S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred 16 as the cellular frequency band; 1900 which is the PC	ki, so my ? other ? ide S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Alf, go first. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: My questions are, I 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any G 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred 16 as the cellular frequency band; 1900 which is the PC 17 frequency band; 2100 which is AWS; and frequency	ki, so my ? other ? ide to S bands
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Alf, go first. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: My questions are, I guess, on page 18. 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred 16 as the cellular frequency band; 1900 which is the PC 17 frequency band; 2100 which is AWS; and frequency 18 in the 3.5 gigahertz.	ki, so my ? other ? ide to S bands
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER NASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Alf, go first. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: My questions are, I guess, on page 18. MR. MLENAK: On the blue on the Consent 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred 16 as the cellular frequency band; 1900 which is the PC 17 frequency band; 2100 which is AWS; and frequency 18 in the 3.5 gigahertz. 19 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So 5G will be	ki, so my ? other ? ide to S bands e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Alf, go first. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: My questions are, I guess, on page 18. MR. MLENAK: On the blue on the Consent Order, page 18 on the blue, which would be Drawing C-3. 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Muraws 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred 16 as the cellular frequency band; 1900 which is the PC 17 frequency band; 2100 which is AWS; and frequency 18 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So 5G will be 20 available will residents because I believe the	ki, so my ? other ? ide to S bands e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Alf, go first. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: My questions are, I guess, on page 18. MR. MLENAK: On the blue on the Consent Order, page 18 on the blue, which would be Drawing C-3. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Lori, do you hear that 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G, The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred 16 as the cellular frequency band; 1900 which is the PC 17 frequency band; 2100 which is AWS; and frequency 18 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So 5G will b 20 available – will residents – because I believe the 21 testimony was saying it was approximately 1,500 feet	ki, so my ? other ?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER NASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. GHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Alf, go first. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: My questions are, I guess, on page 18. MR. MLENAK: On the blue on the Consent Order, page 18 on the blue, which would be Drawing C-3. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Lori, do you hear that feedback? 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred 16 as the cellular frequency band; 1900 which is the PC 17 frequency band; 2100 which is AWS; and frequency 18 available will residents because I believe the 20 available will residents because I believe the 21 testimony was saying it was approximately 1,500 fee 22 something like that. But will residents actually <th>ki, so my ? pther ? ide to S bands e t or s.</th>	ki, so my ? pther ? ide to S bands e t or s.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. And is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Board's attention relative to the remaining pages of the specifications? A. It's simply their analysis of this design. Q. And that analysis is based on the variety of factors, the geotech, the height of the tower, the windload and all of those current A. Yes. The required design criteria. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for Mr. Murawski. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I do. BOARD MEMBER NASELLI: I do. Alf, you can go first. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Alf, go first. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: My questions are, I guess, on page 18. MR. MLENAK: On the blue on the Consent Order, page 18 on the blue, which would be Drawing C-3. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Lori, do you hear that feedback? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'll get that first. 	1 Alf. Thanks. 2 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mr. Murawsi 3 question actually is on the antenna aspects regarding 4 transmissions. Can you tell us what transmissions 5 standards will be supported by the tower 3G, 4G, 5G 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 7 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is there any of 8 engineer you can have that can answer that question? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you're asking for the 10 frequencies, Mr. Newlin, I can indicate that to you. 11 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Both actually 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: It would be 5G will be 13 deployed as the phrases generally known as Nationw 14 5G. The frequencies to be utilized are as follows: 15 700; 850, which is commonly or previously referred 16 as the cellular frequency band; 1900 which is the PC 17 frequency band; 2100 which is AWS; and frequency 18 available – will residents – because I believe the 20 available – will residents – because I believe the 21 testimony was saying it was approximately 1,500 fee 22 something like that. But will residents actually	ci, so my ? other ? ide to S bands e t or s. tt

1	Page 25		Page 26
L 1	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I'm sorry, but the	1	hearing, asked us to lower the cable bridge to seven
2	fact that there's going to be higher frequencies so you	2	feet. That's contained in the Consent Order. Is that
3	get the higher transmission benefits of 5G. So we get	3	now reflected on the revised zoning drawings?
4	5G service	4	THE WITNESS: So all the dimensions to
5	MR. SCHNEIDER: As one defines 5G service,	5	appurtenances like the cable bridge remain unchanged;
6	yes.	6	however, we will reduce the overall height to 7 feet as
7	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But they also get the	7	required by the Consent Order.
8	higher frequency if they live close enough. So you get	8	MR. SCHNEIDER: And for purposes of the
9	the post office	9	record, Sheet SP-2 shows in accordance with the Consent
10	MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry. I didn't want	10	order, the proposed 7-foot high cable bridge.
11	to interrupt you. They will be receiving the benefit	11	BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: The point being that
12	of the frequency at the 1900 and the 2100 frequency	12	the majority of the equipment, the tower itself will be
13	bands.	13	above the fence, but everything else will essentially
14	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But not the higher?	14	be below the board-on-board fence?
15	MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry?	15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Didn't you say there	16	BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Very good. Thank
17	was going to be higher frequencies?	17	you.
18	MR. SCHNEIDER: 3.5 G gigahertz.	18	you. MR. SCHNEIDER: The fence being 8-feet
19	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Those are my	19	high.
20	questions. Thank you.	20	-
21	MR. SCHNEIDER: Could I just – I forgot to	1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Donato, do you have any
22	ask Mr. Murawski one engineering question.	21	questions?
23	Mr. Murawski, one of the provisions of the	22	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Yes. Can we go back
24	Consent Order required, and I think it was Mr. Simon's,	23	to the tree design, the actual photograph?
25	during the course of the hearing, I think at the second	24	THE WITNESS: The photograph.
20	during the course of the neuring, I dink at the second	25	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: What page is that in
	Page 27		Page 28
1	the blue?	1	helpful because it shows the foreground and background
2	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It should be page	2	and trees without foliage on it doesn't really compare
3	24, 34.	3	to what the TAPP one is. So we can look at those, I
4	So mine's not a technical question, it's	4	don't know what you call them, photo simulations, I
5	more of an aesthetic question, which is important for	5	guess you would call them? I just think the understory
6	me and I think to a lot of folks. But when we look at	6	of the adjacent surrounding trees, you know, fill that
7	this photograph just by scale the foliage is two-thirds	7	spot a little better. I think at 48 or 40 it just
8	and the exposed base is one-third. You can just scale	8	doesn't wind down.
	it if you want on that photograph. And at 48 feet on	9	THE WITNESS: We could certainly lower
9			
	page SP-2 of the 11 by 17 is inconsistent to the TAPP.	10	where the branching starts.
10	page SP-2 of the 11 by 17 is inconsistent to the TAPP. So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides?	1	where the branching starts. MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what
	page SP-2 of the 11 by 17 is inconsistent to the TAPP. So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at	10 11 12	where the branching starts. MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level?
10 11	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at	11	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what
10 11 12	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way.	11 12	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used
10 11 12 13 14	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me	11 12 13	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly
10 11 12 13 14 15	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that	11 12 13 14 15	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60?
10 11 12 13 14	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower?	11 12 13 14	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower? THE WITNESS: Yes, it can.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Say 30?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower? THE WITNESS: Yes, it can. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Because I think that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Say 30? THE WITNESS: Let me check with TAPP. Can
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower? THE WITNESS: Yes, it can. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Because I think that would help. And I think that's what we're so used to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Say 30? THE WITNESS: Let me check with TAPP. Can we get back to you on that?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower? THE WITNESS: Yes, it can. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Because I think that would help. And I think that's what we're so used to seeing than when you look at the TAPP one it doesn't	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Say 30? THE WITNESS: Let me check with TAPP. Can we get back to you on that? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: A hundred percent.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower? THE WITNESS: Yes, it can. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Because I think that would help. And I think that's what we're so used to seeing than when you look at the TAPP one it doesn't really feel like that.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Say 30? THE WITNESS: Let me check with TAPP. Can we get back to you on that? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: A hundred percent. THE WITNESS: Because we're at kind of
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower? THE WITNESS: Yes, it can. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Because I think that would help. And I think that's what we're so used to seeing than when you look at the TAPP one it doesn't really feel like that. THE WITNESS: I understand what you're	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Say 30? THE WITNESS: Let me check with TAPP. Can we get back to you on that? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: A hundred percent. THE WITNESS: Because we're at kind of they need to dictate what we but I don't see any
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower? THE WITNESS: Yes, it can. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Because I think that would help. And I think that's what we're so used to seeing than when you look at the TAPP one it doesn't really feel like that. THE WITNESS: I understand what you're saying.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Say 30? THE WITNESS: Let me check with TAPP. Can we get back to you on that? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: A hundred percent. THE WITNESS: Because we're at kind of they need to dictate what we but I don't see any reason from my perspective why that can't be
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So are we looking at the TAPP ones, that overrides? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will start at elevation 40 which is about half way. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: It's still to me still not two-thirds, one-third and that's what that tree is. Can that foliage be lower? THE WITNESS: Yes, it can. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Because I think that would help. And I think that's what we're so used to seeing than when you look at the TAPP one it doesn't really feel like that. THE WITNESS: I understand what you're	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MLENAK: Do you have any idea to what level? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: If we used two-thirds to one-third and it's 86 it would be roughly 30 and 60? THE WITNESS: Yes. Say 35 feet. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Say 30? THE WITNESS: Let me check with TAPP. Can we get back to you on that? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: A hundred percent. THE WITNESS: Because we're at kind of they need to dictate what we but I don't see any

	Page 29		Page 30
	_		2
1	Rich, why don't you let us know what you're thinking in	1	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Rob, the microphone
2	terms of if you're able to go to 30 or 35 and if	2	is on. You just have to push the button to speak.
3	Verizon is amenable to that as a condition if the Board	3	MR. SCHNEIDER: Just before Mr. Simon
4	were to approve this?	4	starts, in response to Mr. Maselli's question, maybe if
5	MR. SCHNEIDER: When we're able to take a	5	we get a break one of the things I want to talk about
6	break I'll get back to you.	6	is lowering the tree. I want to make sure that if we
7	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Steve, I'm thinking	7	do lower the tree branches that we don't run afoul of
8	more of two-thirds, one-third.	8	the height of the recycling shed.
9	MR. MLENAK: Okay. Perfect. And you'll	9	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I don't think you
10	report back?	10	will. I can actually answer that. I mean, you can go
11	MR. SCHNEIDER: I don't want to take a	11	down 15 feet. That shed's no taller than 15 feet, if
12	break in the proceedings.	12	that. I'll take a little break and go measure it, if
13	MR. MLENAK: That's okay.	13	you'd like.
14	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: That's it for me	14	MR. SCHNEIDER: You just want an excuse to
15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.	15	leave.
16	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: for this portion.	16	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I'll beg for 20 feet
17	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Understood.	17	at that point.
18	Now, members of the public and Mr. Simon,	18	MR. SIMON: Ready to roll. So ladies and
19 20	you're here tonight and I understand you have clients	19	gentlemen of the Board, good evening. Good to be back,
20	here you represent. I'm sure you have questions. Does it make sense to hear your non-clients first or would	20	maybe, maybe not, depending on whose perspective you're
21	you like to go first?	21	saying that from. Rob Simon. I'm here from Herald
23	MR. SIMON: I'd like to go first.	22	Law.
24	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Why don't you	23	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, you're here
25	have a seat wherever you're more comfortable.	24	on behalf of your clients; is that correct?
20	nave a seat wherever you're more connortable.	25	MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm about to explain
	Page 31		
			Page 32
1	_	1	Page 32
1	that.	1	actually pull it closer.
2	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see	2	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON:
2 3	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm	2 3	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you.
2 3 4	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm	2 3 4	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of
2 3 4 5	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So	2 3 4 5	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the
2 3 4	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill	2 3 4 5 6	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the
2 3 4 5 6	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook	2 3 4 5	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in
2 3 4 5 6 7	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots	2 3 4 5 6 7	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am representing that I will be asking questions of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions that you testified to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am representing that I will be asking questions of the various witnesses on their behalf at the appropriate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions that you testified to MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, I'm sorry to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am representing that I will be asking questions of the various witnesses on their behalf at the appropriate time as Mr. Flanagan stated. They may chose to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions that you testified to MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, I'm sorry to interrupt. You refer to equipment shed. Equipment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. I'm here this evening on behalf of certain clients and I'm going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am representing that I will be asking questions of the various witnesses on their behalf at the appropriate time as Mr. Flanagan stated. They may chose to make statements as part of the public portion of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions that you testified to MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, I'm sorry to interrupt. You refer to equipment shed. Equipment shed or equipment compound?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. Im here this evening on behalf of certain clients and Im going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 50. Noil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who Im here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am representing that I will be asking questions of the various witnesses on their behalf at the appropriate time as Mr. Flanagan stated. They may chose to make statements as part of the public portion of the application if we get there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions that you testified to MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, I'm sorry to interrupt. You refer to equipment shed. Equipment shed or equipment compound? MR. SIMON: I'm sorry. Well, equipment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. Im here this evening on behalf of certain clients and Im going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am representing that I will be asking questions of the various witnesses on their behalf at the appropriate time as Mr. Flanagan stated. They may chose to make statements as part of the public portion of the application if we get there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions that you testified to MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, I'm sorry to interrupt. You refer to equipment shed. Equipment area area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. Im here this evening on behalf of certain clients and Im going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am representing that I will be asking questions of the various witnesses on their behalf at the appropriate time as Mr. Flanagan stated. They may chose to make statements as part of the public portion of the application if we get there. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Mr. Simon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions that you testified to MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, I'm sorry to interrupt. You refer to equipment shed. Equipment shed or equipment compound? MR. SIMON: I'm sorry. Well, equipment area. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. But not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I wanted to see MR. SIMON: Rob Simon from Herald Law. Im here this evening on behalf of certain clients and Im going to identify who they are for the record. So first is James Carifa and Sarah Conine, 7 Lees Hill Road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 55. Neil O'Donnell, 28 Millbrook road Block 17, Lot 9.04. So those are the two lots that are adjacent, residential lots that are adjacent to this property, as you may recall. Also Livio Saganic, 32 Millbrook Road, Block 17, lot 9.03. And then finally Harsh and Nina Bansal, 6 Coppertree Lane, Block 17, Lot 55.04. So that's who I'm here representing tonight, and I have instructed my clients who I am representing that I will be asking questions of the various witnesses on their behalf at the appropriate time as Mr. Flanagan stated. They may chose to make statements as part of the public portion of the application if we get there. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Mr. Simon. His microphone is blinking, Lori. Does that mean it's done? No, never mind.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 actually pull it closer. EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Murawski, good evening again to you. So I'm going to start off by going right to some of your testimony this evening. So you talked about the dimensions of an equipment shed and you said the equipment shed has been I think you said reduced in size, but in referring to A-34 which was your plan from March 18, 2022, and A-36 marked this evening it appears that both the facility lease area and the proposed equipment area are identified on SP-1 of each of those plan sets as having the same dimensions; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And with regard to the setback dimensions that you testified to MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, I'm sorry to interrupt. You refer to equipment shed. Equipment shed or equipment compound? MR. SIMON: I'm sorry. Well, equipment area. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. But not the cabinetry? Because you used the phrase "shed," that's

	Page 33		Page 34
1	Q. So the proposed equipment area has the same	1	A. Okay.
2	size dimensions; correct?	2	Q right, that's up on the screen?
3	A. Correct.	3	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you said A-2. You mean
4	Q. And in terms of your setback dimensions, I	4	Exhibit-2?
5	just want to see if I'm clear on this. So with regard	5	MR. SIMON: Is it Exhibit-2, is that what
6	to the property to the south, the distance from that	6	it's called?
7	residential property, I believe that to be the	7	Q. Okay. Exhibit-2 of A-35, does that make
8	O'Donnell property, to the equipment area is 62 feet;	8	sense? It's up on the screen right there. So whether
9	is that accurate?	9	it's at 40 feet or as Mr. Maselli suggested down to
10	A. I believe so, yes.	10	30 feet, what's the diameter of those tree branches?
11	Q. And then you have a dimension next to that	11	A. Well, the tree branchings at the longest
12	of 72.3 feet. What does that dimension represent?	12	branch length is 10 feet.
13	A. That's dimension from the property line to	13	Q. So given the longest branch length what's
14	the centerline of the proposed pole.	14	the distance from the longest branch length to the
15	Q. And when you say "centerline of the	15	southerly property line?
16	proposed pole" you also referred in your testimony that	16	A. Sure. It will be 10 foot less or
17	there's an inner circle which represents the pole and	17	62.3 feet.
18	then an outer circle which is the concrete caisson	18	Q. Well, you have so 62.3 feet would be the
19	foundation that's approximately 6 feet in diameter;	19	distance from the property, the residential property
20	correct?	20	line, to the outer antenna, or
21	A. Correct.	21	A. Outer edge of the tree branch.
22	Q. So what is the and you would agree with	22	Q. Of the tree branch?
23	me and I'll ask you more questions about this later,	23	A. Elevation which right now is 40 feet.
24	that with regard to Exhibit A-2 of A-35, right, the	24	Q. And what about with regard to the other
25	picture of the proposed tree	25	property, the Conine property, what's the distance
	Page 35		Page 36
1	based on SP-1 of A-36 between the residential property	1	Q. Is it the equipment area that you're
2			
	line and the center of the monopole?	2	
3	line and the center of the monopole? A. 120.8 feet.	2 3	referring to? A. Yes.
	-	1	referring to?
3	A. 120.8 feet.	3	referring to? A. Yes.
3 4	A. 120.8 feet.Q. And is fair to say that given the length of	3 4	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant
3 4 5	A. 120.8 feet.Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that	3 4 5	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board?
3 4 5 6	A. 120.8 feet.Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like	3 4 5 6	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted
3 4 5 6 7	A. 120.8 feet.Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet?	3 4 5 6 7	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet.
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? A. One was very close to the pole itself. The 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently. Q. And do you know why they only conducted two
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? A. One was very close to the pole itself. The other one was closer to the middle of the compound. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently. Q. And do you know why they only conducted two soil borings?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? A. One was very close to the pole itself. The other one was closer to the middle of the compound. Q. So when you say "closer to the pole 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently. Q. And do you know why they only conducted two soil borings? A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? A. One was very close to the pole itself. The other one was closer to the middle of the compound. Q. So when you say "closer to the pole itself," the pole in its currently proposed location? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently. Q. And do you know why they only conducted two soil borings? A. No. Q. Do you know who told them, I should say,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? A. One was very close to the pole itself. The other one was closer to the middle of the compound. Q. So when you say "closer to the pole itself," the pole in its currently proposed location? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently. Q. And do you know why they only conducted two soil borings? A. No. Q. Do you know who told them, I should say, where to conduct the soil borings?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? A. One was very close to the pole itself. The other one was closer to the middle of the compound. Q. So when you say "closer to the pole itself," the pole in its currently proposed location? A. Yes. Q. And the other one you say in the middle of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently. Q. And do you know why they only conducted two soil borings? A. No. Q. Do you know who told them, I should say, where to conduct the soil borings? A. Do I know who?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? A. One was very close to the pole itself. The other one was closer to the middle of the compound. Q. So when you say "closer to the pole itself," the pole in its currently proposed location? A. Yes. Q. And the other one you say in the middle of the compound? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently. Q. And do you know why they only conducted two soil borings? A. No. Q. Do you know who told them, I should say, where to conduct the soil borings? A. Do I know who? Q. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. 120.8 feet. Q. And is fair to say that given the length of the proposed tree branching that the distance from that property line to that tree branching will be more like a hundred 110.8 feet? A. Yes. Q. And you talked about relocating the pole to be closer to the recycling shed. And that you conducted a geotechnical review to ascertain whether you could move it from the location proposed in 2022 to a different location; correct? A. Correct. Q. So with regard to the two soil borings that you say you conducted, or that you had done where were those done? A. One was very close to the pole itself. The other one was closer to the middle of the compound. Q. So when you say "closer to the pole itself," the pole in its currently proposed location? A. Yes. Q. And the other one you say in the middle of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 referring to? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware whether the Applicant provided that soil boring data to the Board? A. I don't believe that report was submitted yet. Q. And did your firm E2PM conduct those soil borings? A. No. Q. Who conducted that soil boring analysis? A. A firm by the name of Pete Longo and Associates. Q. And were they did they perform those soil borings and other review of this matter under your supervision or did they do it independently? A. Independently. Q. And do you know why they only conducted two soil borings? A. No. Q. Do you know who told them, I should say, where to conduct the soil borings? A. Do I know who?

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

	Page 37		Page 38
1	Q. And do you know why additional soil borings	1	A. I'm not sure I don't understand the
2	were not performed in different areas of the subject	2	question.
3	property?	3	Q. When you said you said we got as close
4	A. So generally speaking with these sites we	4	to the shed as we can get the foundation to. What do
5	normally only do one soil bothering at the location of	5	you mean by that?
6	the pole for the purposes of designing its foundation.	6	A. Well, the shed itself has a column, the
7	The other foundation within the equipment compound are	7	column has a footing, the footing goes below grade, as
8	shallow foundations and don't really require the exact	8	does the caisson. So the closer you get to the column
9	definition of soil properties to design those.	9	footing for the shed, the greater chance you have of
10	Q. So to your knowledge did Pete Longo and	10	disturbing it, undermining it, causing it to deflect.
11	Associates or any other geotechnical firm conduct any	11	We want to preclude that by staying far enough away
12	type of soil analysis at any other portion of this	12	from it.
13	property other than the areas that you described?	13	Q. Did your firm E2PM attempt to locate the
14	A. They did not.	14	proposed monopole at any other location on this
15	Q. And do you know why well, are you aware	15	property other than as close to the shed as you can get
16	of any request by your client of them to perform any	16	the foundation?
17	other geotechnical activities with regard to this	17	A. We did not.
18	property?	18	Q. So the is it fair to say that the
19	A. I am not aware.	19	recycling shed is preventing you from moving the tower
20	Q. And when you said in your direct testimony	20	in relation to the O'Donnell property further to the
21	that this was as close to the shed as we can get the	21	north, further away from the O'Donnell property?
22	foundation to, is that statement based on instructions	22	A. So we're staying within our lease area
23	to you that the pole the tower can only be sited	23	based on the lease agreement.
24	within the proposed equipment area as you identified on	24	Q. And when you say the "lease agreement"
25	both A-34 and A-36?	25	you're saying the lease agreement with whom?
	Page 39		
	raye 39		Page 40
1		1	Page 40
1 2	A. The Township.	1	expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to
1 2 3	A. The Township.Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are	1 2 3	expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences?
2	A. The Township.Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that	2	expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted.
2 3	A. The Township.Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36?	2 3	expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences?
2 3 4	A. The Township.Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that	2 3 4	expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question
2 3 4 5	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So 	2 3 4 5	expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly.
2 3 4 5 6	A. The Township.Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36?A. That was the original lease.	2 3 4 5 6	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that question. I'm not clear the relevance. We have an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one, when you say "other carriers," are we talking about one other carrier, two other carriers based on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that question. I'm not clear the relevance. We have an 1,800-square foot lease area that's dictated where we can go. MR. MLENAK: Rob, explain. Because it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one, when you say "other carriers," are we talking about one other carrier, two other carriers based on your experience in the wireless telecommunications field?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that question. I'm not clear the relevance. We have an 1,800-square foot lease area that's dictated where we can go. MR. MLENAK: Rob, explain. Because it's almost like you're asking the Applicant why they didn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one, when you say "other carriers," are we talking about one other carrier, two other carriers based on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that question. I'm not clear the relevance. We have an 1,800-square foot lease area that's dictated where we can go. MR. MLENAK: Rob, explain. Because it's almost like you're asking the Applicant why they didn't look at the neighbor's property. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one, when you say "other carriers," are we talking about one other carrier, two other carriers based on your experience in the wireless telecommunications field? if you know. A. There's at least two other carriers that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that question. I'm not clear the relevance. We have an 1,800-square foot lease area that's dictated where we can go. MR. MLENAK: Rob, explain. Because it's almost like you're asking the Applicant why they didn't look at the neighbor's property. MR. SIMON: It's within the property. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one, when you say "other carriers," are we talking about one other carrier, two other carriers based on your experience in the wireless telecommunications field? If you know. A. There's at least two other carriers that could potentially collocate here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that question. I'm not clear the relevance. We have an 1,800-square foot lease area that's dictated where we can go. MR. MLENAK: Rob, explain. Because it's almost like you're asking the Applicant why they didn't look at the neighbor's property. MR. SIMON: It's within the property. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one, when you say "other carriers," are we talking about one other carrier, two other carriers based on your experience in the wireless telecommunications field? If you know. A. There's at least two other carriers that could potentially collocate here. Q. So when you say is it more than two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that question. I'm not clear the relevance. We have an 1,800-square foot lease area that's dictated where we can go. MR. MLENAK: Rob, explain. Because it's almost like you're asking the Applicant why they didn't look at the neighbor's property. MR. SIMON: It's within the property. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Did you ask the Township within this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one, when you say "other carriers," are we talking about one other carrier, two other carriers based on your experience in the wireless telecommunications field? If you know. A. There's at least two other carriers that could potentially collocate here. Q. So when you say is it more than two others or just two others?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. The Township. Q. And so when you say the "lease area" are you talking about this 30 by 60 1,800-square feet that you've identified on both A-34 and A-36? A. That was the original lease. Q. And that's the lease area as of 2017. So almost six years now; correct? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware within from the time that that lease was entered into to today, approximately six years, was there ever an attempt to ascertain whether the lease area could be expanded or moved within the DPW site so to move the proposed cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to that question. I'm not clear the relevance. We have an 1,800-square foot lease area that's dictated where we can go. MR. MLENAK: Rob, explain. Because it's almost like you're asking the Applicant why they didn't look at the neighbor's property. MR. SIMON: It's within the property. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 expanded or moved so to it's a simple question to bring the cell tower further away from residences? MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection remains noted. MR. MLENAK: You can answer the question quickly. A. The answer is no. Q. You talked about, and I apologize, I may have misheard you, that the compound is sized for Verizon and other collocators? A. It's sized primarily for Verizon only. There is room for other collocators, but I can't accurately state that they can locate within the fence line as it is laid out now or not. But based on what I know from past experience that would probably have to expand the fence line to some degree. Q. Couple of questions from that. Number one, when you say "other carriers," are we talking about one other carrier, two other carriers based on your experience in the wireless telecommunications field? If you know. A. There's at least two other carriers that could potentially collocate here. Q. So when you say is it more than two

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

	Page 41		Page 42
1	Q. But at least two?	1	seen that tree live?
2	A. Potentially, yes.	2	A. No.
3	Q. And in your experience will those two other	3	Q. Do you know what the source of that photo
4	carriers be able to put all of their equipment,	4	is?
5	including their generators within the compound as	5	A. It came from TAPP, the manufacturer.
6	currently drawn?	6	Q. Do you know what it's a photo of?
7	A. Right. I can't say for sure.	7	A. Is that a trick question?
8	Q. What about one other carrier?	8	Q. No. Not a trick question. What is it a
9	A. I still can't say for sure.	9	photo of?
10	Q. You talked about the generator, 10:00 a.m.	10	A. A tree pole.
11	to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, 30 minutes once a	11	Q. And is it a tree pole in operation?
12	week; correct?	12	A. That I don't know.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Do you know where the tree pole is located?
14	Q. Why does the carrier need that much of a	14	A. I do not.
15	window during the day? Could it be truncated a bit so	15	Q. Did you ask anything about Exhibit-2 on
16	that the generator isn't being tested, let's say, at	16	A-35?
17	times when young children are out of school or in their	17	A. No.
18	home and they're playing in their backyard, et cetera?	18	Q. And with regard to the design that's on
19	In other words, limiting the time for testing between,	19	A-35 from TAPP, that's from the manufacturer; correct?
20	let's say, 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m., as opposed to	20	A. Yes.
21	10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.?	21	Q. And they're not an engineer, per se;
22	A. I don't see any reason why they can't	22	correct?
23	comply with it if that was the request.	23	A. TAPP is a company
24	Q. With regard to, as Mr. Mlenak corrected me,	24	Q. Right.
25	Exhibit-2 on A-35, the photo of the tree. Have you	25	A that employs engineer that are licensed
	Page 43		Page 44
1	in various states producing the designs.	1	plans were provided to them by somebody else presumably
2	Q. Do you know who from TAPP provided the	2	from Verizon, an individual from Verizon would have
3	information that was in the Consent Order?	3	ordered from him.
4	A. The information being the design?	4	Q. But you don't know if they were your site
5	Q. Yes.	5	plan that they work on?
6	A. The engineer's signature is on the design,	6	A. I don't know what they received.
7	if I recall.	7	Q. And when did you ask them whether they
8	Q. You mean the sketch here on page one, page	8	had a photo similar to Exhibit-2 of A-35 that showed
9	26 of 34?	9	the tree, a tree at an 80-foot height?
10	A. Hang on.	10	A. I did not ask them.
11	MS. CONINE: Can you show this on the	11	Q. And how do you know that the tree that was
12	screen?	12	depicted in that photo, Exhibit-2 on A-35 was of a
13	A. Page 26 of 34?	13	lower height?
14	Q. Yeah.	14	A. So that information was told to me through
15	A. Yeah.	15	Verizon.
16	Q. See that? Right.	16	Q. But there's no dimensions certainly on the
17	A. Not yet.	17	photograph; correct?
18	Q. You got it?	18	A. Right.
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. With regard to the what I'll call the green
20	Q. Okay. So on page 26 of 34 for what is it,	20	covering, right, for the branches, right, what is that
21	A-35, this design was this based on plans that you	21	made out of?
22	provided to this engineer, Mr. LaHobsack (ph.)?	22 23	A. The branching is fiberglass, and I don't
23 24	A. I didn't provide TAPP with anything.Q. So this is just their 80-foot tree?	23	know what the individual pine needles appeared behind it are made out of.
		. 21	
25	A. Well, it is an 80-foot tree pole, but the	25	Q. And do you know in terms of number based on

Page 45

Page	4	6
------	---	---

	Page 45		Page 46
1	specifications, like how many branches are we talking	1	either some of the fiberglass branching or some of the
2	about, how many pine needles are we talking about?	2	pine needles due to whether or other related events
3	A. We do have that information. It may not be	3	will end up peeling off and ending up in people's yards
4	in the Consent Order, but that information is routinely	4	or on that subject property, are you aware of those
5	provided by a manufacturer.	5	circumstances?
6	Q. And you can get that?	6	A. Yes.
7	A. Yes.	7	Q. And so as the engineer on the project what
8	Q. And in terms of the color that we're seeing	8	do you do to prevent that from happening, if anything?
9	on Exhibit-2, it's a green color; correct?	9	A. I'm not aware of any remedies.
10	A. Yes.	10	Q. And when you talked about the dimensions, I
11	Q. The certain type of green. I don't know if	11	just want to make sure I understand your testimony, the
12	it's Hunter green or some other type of green?	12	dimensions, I guess, of the equipment area remain
13	A. Yes.	13	unchanged but you're lowering the cable bridge and the
14		14	other, I guess, equipment. Describe what you're
15	Q. Do you know if there are various shades of coloring that are options?	15	actually doing?
16	A. I do not know.	16	
10		17	A. So the equipment itself is already below
	Q. And do you know what, if anything, is done		the 7-foot stipulated height requirement and agreement.
18	by Verizon once a tree pole such as that one is	18	The cable bridge height is it's an item that we
19	installed to maintain that color so that it doesn't	19	build to support cables that go from the equipment to
20	fade or discolor as we see in so many around the state?	20	the tower. And generally they're high enough so that
21	A. I do not know.	21	you can catch your head on them when you're in the
22	Q. And do you know how the branches and the	22	compound. So those elements will be lower so that
23	pine needles are attached to the pole?	23	nothing is greater than 7 foot.
24	A. That information can be provided.	24	Q. So there's nothing that prevented you prior
25	Q. And are you aware of circumstances where	25	to this evening or prior to the most recent Consent
	D 47		
	Page 47		Page 48
1		1	-
1	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever	1	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2?
2	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct?	2	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No.
2 3	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes.	2 3	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and
2 3 4	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the	2 3 4	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2?A. No.Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, andL-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW.
2 3 4 5	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I	2 3 4 5	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2?A. No.Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, andL-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW.Who's PVW?
2 3 4 5 6	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing	2 3 4 5 6	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a
2 3 4 5 6 7	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscaping? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscaping? A. We have a revised landscape plan. We have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape plan. We have a landscape plan. We have a landscape architect licensed. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape architect licensed. Q. Right. Mr. Schneider said he was going to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water. THE WITNESS: Okay. Different story. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape plan. We have a landscape architect licensed. Q. Right. Mr. Schneider said he was going to provide testimony, and I'm not going to trick you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water. THE WITNESS: Okay. Different story. The name of that individual who prepared these plans
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape plan. We have a landscape architect licensed. Q. Right. Mr. Schneider said he was going to provide testimony, and I'm not going to trick you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water. THE WITNESS: Okay. Different story. The name of that individual who prepared these plans originally was Bill VanWhy. He's a designer with our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape architect licensed. Q. Right. Mr. Schneider said he was going to provide testimony, and I'm not going to trick you. We'll wait for him. A. He will testify. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water. THE WITNESS: Okay. Different story. The name of that individual who prepared these plans originally was Bill VanWhy. He's a designer with our office, no longer with us. So his initials are still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape graphere and the proposed landscape plan. We have a landscape architect licensed. Q. Right. Mr. Schneider said he was going to provide testimony, and I'm not going to trick you. We'll wait for him. A. He will testify. Q. But let me ask you a question about that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water. THE WITNESS: Okay. Different story. The name of that individual who prepared these plans originally was Bill VanWhy. He's a designer with our office, no longer with us. So his initials are still on the drawings from 2015.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape plan. We have a landscape architect licensed. Q. Right. Mr. Schneider said he was going to provide testimony, and I'm not going to trick you. We'll wait for him. A. He will testify. Q. But let me ask you a question about that. In your March 2nd, 2023, plan you include, starting on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water. THE WITNESS: Okay. Different story. The name of that individual who prepared these plans originally was Bill VanWhy. He's a designer with our office, no longer with us. So his initials are still on the drawings from 2015. BY MR. SIMON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape plan. We have a landscaping? A. We have a revised landscape plan. We have a landscape architect licensed. Q. Right. Mr. Schneider said he was going to provide testimony, and I'm not going to trick you. We'll wait for him. A. He will testify. Q. But let me ask you a question about that. In your March 2nd, 2023, plan you include, starting on L-1, so E2PM has submitted L-1, L-2, and L-3; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water. THE WITNESS: Okay. Different story. The name of that individual who prepared these plans originally was Bill VanWhy. He's a designer with our office, no longer with us. So his initials are still on the drawings from 2015. BY MR. SIMON: Q. So when did he cease working for your firm?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Order submission from lowering the height from whatever it was down to 7 feet; correct? A. Yes. Q. Nothing to prevent you. And prior to the Consent Order my recollection in your testimony over, I guess, three separate hearings was that the existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping were going to be able to screen that cable bridge. Now that you've reduced it to 7 feet will that screening still take place with regard to the fencing? A. Yes. Q. So is someone going to be able to see the fencing with the vegetation and the proposed landscape plan. We have a landscape architect licensed. Q. Right. Mr. Schneider said he was going to provide testimony, and I'm not going to trick you. We'll wait for him. A. He will testify. Q. But let me ask you a question about that. In your March 2nd, 2023, plan you include, starting on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Reynolds. So is Mr. Reynolds associated with E2? A. No. Q. Okay. So and I see here for L-1, L-2, and L-3 that it says that these plans were drawn by PVW. Who's PVW? A. Yours? (Mr. Murawski asks Mr. Schneider a question.) Q. No. If you don't know you don't know. So all of these plans both on A-34, as well as A-36, they were all drawn by someone with the initials PVW. Who's that? MR. MLENAK: Just to be clear, are you saying "P"? Because I'm reading "B." MR. SIMON: Sorry. I have to get new glasses. "B" as in boy, "V" as in Victor, "W" as in water. THE WITNESS: Okay. Different story. The name of that individual who prepared these plans originally was Bill VanWhy. He's a designer with our office, no longer with us. So his initials are still on the drawings from 2015. BY MR. SIMON:

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Page 49

	Page 49		Page 50
1	Q. So if he left in 2021, so now I'm going to	1	Q. So a principal of your firm drew what is
2	go back and I'm going to refer to A-34 which is dated	2	L-1, L-2 and L-3?
3	March 18, 2022, and that consists of, you know, drawing	3	A. Yes.
4	SP-1, Sheet three of nine, SP-2 four of nine. It says	4	Q. Right. And then you, JM, that's you, JCM,
5	there that they were also drawn by "B" as in boy, "V"	5	you checked it. So how is Mr. Reynolds getting on
6	as in Victor, "W" as in water. So that individual was	6	who's not associated with your firm, getting on these
7	no longer with your firm at that time in 2022?	7	plans?
8	MR. SCHNEIDER: Hold on. I'm confused.	8	A. He was hired by Verizon to prepare the
9	Exhibit A-34 looks like it's drawn by JS.	9	architectural design for these plans which were drawn
10	MR. SIMON: I can tell you that I'm looking	10	by us.
11	at the title block on the bottom. It says "Drawn by	11	Q. When you say architectural
12	BVW. Checked by JM." The print date was 3/18/22.	12	A. I'm sorry. I misspoke. Landscape design.
13	MR. SCHNEIDER: But if you look at the	13	He was hired by Verizon to prepare the landscape design
14	lower left-hand corner it says "Drawn by JS."	14	that we already have on our plans.
15	MR. SIMON: Okay. I see that but that's	15	Q. So signed these plans that are yours,
16	inconsistent with what's on the right side. But okay.	16	right? So what on L-1, L-2 and L-3 is your work, and
17	So who's JS?	17	what on L-1, L-2 and L-3 is Mr. Reynolds' work?
18	THE WITNESS: He's a principal with our	18	A. So the background of the site plan is our
19	firm.	19	site plan that was designed with the application,
20	BY MR SIMON:	20	submitted with the application. The landscaping, and
21	Q. So that's for A-34. So with regard to A	21	only the landscaping is Mr. Reynolds'.
22	I'm sorry. With regard to A-36 based on what Mr.	22	Q. What about the notes. Are the notes yours?
23	Schneider said, so L-1, L-2 and L-3 are drawn by JS,	23	A. They're Mr. Reynolds'.
24	that's the principal of your firm?	24	Q. They're Mr. Reynolds'. The legends, are
25	A. Yes.	25	those Mr. Reynolds'?
	Page 51		Page 52
-	_		Page 52
1	A. Yes.	1	to A-34 or A-36.
2	A. Yes.Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that	2	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is
2 3	A. Yes.Q. The existing tree removal chart, is thatMr. Reynolds'?	2 3	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr.
2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. The existing tree removal chart, is thatMr. Reynolds"?A. So a lot of this information was prepared	2 3 4	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider?
2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. The existing tree removal chart, is thatMr. Reynolds'?A. So a lot of this information was preparedby us originally, because as you remember we did the	2 3 4 5	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2.
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes.Q. The existing tree removal chart, is thatMr. Reynolds'?A. So a lot of this information was preparedby us originally, because as you remember we did thelandscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping	2 3 4 5 6	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes.Q. The existing tree removal chart, is thatMr. Reynolds"?A. So a lot of this information was preparedby us originally, because as you remember we did thelandscaping plans originally. However, the landscapingbecame a really important issue. So the legend is a	2 3 4 5 6 7	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear?
2 4 5 7 8	A. Yes.Q. The existing tree removal chart, is thatMr. Reynolds'?A. So a lot of this information was preparedby us originally, because as you remember we did thelandscaping plans originally. However, the landscapingbecame a really important issue. So the legend is acarryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. Q. Is that all work from E2? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements Q. That are in green? At least on my plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. Q. Is that all work from E2? A. Some of it is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements Q. That are in green? At least on my plan. A. I think they're green on mine, too, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. Q. Is that all work from E2? A. Some of it is. Q. What that's on A-34 is E2's? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements Q. That are in green? At least on my plan. A. I think they're green on mine, too, but yes, those would have been extracted from the landscape
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. Q. Is that all work from E2? A. Some of it is. Q. What that's on A-34 is E2's? A. Well, the entire background and the outline 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements Q. That are in green? At least on my plan. A. I think they're green on mine, too, but yes, those would have been extracted from the landscape plan that was prepared by Mr. Reynolds.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. Q. Is that all work from E2? A. Some of it is. Q. What that's on A-34 is E2's? A. Well, the entire background and the outline of the proposed landscaping elements. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements Q. That are in green? At least on my plan. A. I think they're green on mine, too, but yes, those would have been extracted from the landscape plan that was prepared by Mr. Reynolds. Q. But Mr. Reynolds has not signed SP-1 or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. Q. Is that all work from E2? A. Some of it is. Q. What that's on A-34 is E2's? A. Well, the entire background and the outline of the proposed landscaping elements. Q. I apologize. What do you mean by that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements Q. That are in green? At least on my plan. A. I think they're green on mine, too, but yes, those would have been extracted from the landscape plan that was prepared by Mr. Reynolds. Q. But Mr. Reynolds has not signed SP-1 or SP-2; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. Q. Is that all work from E2? A. Some of it is. Q. What that's on A-34 is E2's? A. Well, the entire background and the outline of the proposed landscaping elements. Q. I apologize. What do you mean by that? A. So let me back you. The background is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements Q. That are in green? At least on my plan. A. I think they're green on mine, too, but yes, those would have been extracted from the landscape plan that was prepared by Mr. Reynolds. Q. But Mr. Reynolds has not signed SP-1 or SP-2; correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. The existing tree removal chart, is that Mr. Reynolds'? A. So a lot of this information was prepared by us originally, because as you remember we did the landscaping plans originally. However, the landscaping became a really important issue. So the legend is a carryover. Probably most of the notes are a carryover. So the entire sheet was checked by Mr. Reynolds. Exactly what was designed by Mr. Reynolds would be the landscaping itself. Q. So one second. On A-34, which is E2's plan from March 18, 2022, there is landscaping legends and notes on that plan; right? A. Yes. Q. Is that all work from E2? A. Some of it is. Q. What that's on A-34 is E2's? A. Well, the entire background and the outline of the proposed landscaping elements. Q. I apologize. What do you mean by that? A. So let me back you. The background is ours. The compound is ours. The landscaping elements 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 to A-34 or A-36. MR. SIMON: I'm starting at A-34, which is the plan from March 18, 2022. Thank you, Mr. Schneider? A. Oh, that's SP-2. MR. SCHNEIDER: You're referring to SP-2 on A-34, just so we're clear? MR. SIMON: Well, I'm starting with SP-1 on A-34. THE WITNESS: Okay. Q. Okay. So are you with me? So SP-1 on A-34, what of A-34 SP-1 is not yours? A. If you focus on the area of the compound and you note the geometric shapes of the proposed landscaping elements Q. That are in green? At least on my plan. A. I think they're green on mine, too, but yes, those would have been extracted from the landscape plan that was prepared by Mr. Reynolds. Q. But Mr. Reynolds has not signed SP-1 or SP-2; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to on SP-2 of A-34 there is

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

	Page 53		Page 54
1	A. Correct.	1	A. So if the trees within the fence line and
2	Q. And there's also a depiction on I'm	2	close to the fence line it was deemed needed to be
3	sorry James SP-2 of A-34 showing some Xs within the	3	removed by design.
4	equipment area identifying presumably trees that were	4	Q. And you did no independent evaluation to
5	to be removed; correct?	5	determine whether given the drip line and associated
6	A. Correct.	6	root system of the trees whether certain trees needed
7	Q. So those notations of trees to be removed	7	to be saved and certain trees needed to be removed?
8	was that done by E2 Project Management or was that done	8	A. I did not do any evaluation of that.
9	by Mr. Reynolds?	9	Q. And with regard to the landscaping that's
10	A. E2 Project Management.	10	in green on A-34 that Mr. Reynolds did, okay, my
11	Q. So your firm in 2022 did a tree inventory	11	recollection is that on neither SP-1 nor SP-2 of A-34
12	and identified which trees were going to be needed	12	was there a legend identifying those particular
13	to be removed; correct?	13	species. And, in fact, if you look at SP-2 of A-34
14	A. Yes. Correct.	14	there's some arrows on that page toward that
15	Q. You said and we also what	15	landscaping and it says "Proposed landscaping see L-1";
16	A. Identified the species.	16	do you see that?
17	Q. And you identified the species. So on SP-2	17	A. Yes.
18	of A-34 it states tree species to remain; tree species	18	Q. Right. And so my recollection is that L-1
19	to be removed. That's by your firm?	19	refers to a prior plan that E2 Project Management had
20	A. Correct.	20	filed with the Board dated March 4th of 2019; isn't
21	Q. And who from your firm performed that	21	that correct?
22	exercise to determine what tree species were going to	22	A. Yes.
23	be remaining and which ones were going to be removed?	23	Q. And if we go to L-1 on that March 4, 2019,
24	A. So I did simply based on interference.	24	plan that you signed there are there's that
25	Q. I don't know what that means. I'm sorry.	25	landscaping, do you see that? And it's the same
	Page 55		Page 56
1	landscaping practically; correct? Take your time.	1	March 4th 2019 plan, it shows landscaping; do you see
1 2	landscaping practically; correct? Take your time. A. L-1 has been completely revised since our	1	March 4th 2019 plan, it shows landscaping; do you see that?
	 landscaping practically; correct? Take your time. A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. 	1	
2	A. L-1 has been completely revised since our	2	that?
2 3	A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal.	2 3	that? A. Yes.
2 3 4	A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal.Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare	2 3 4	that?A. Yes.Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you
2 3 4 5	A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal.Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34	2 3 4 5	that?A. Yes.Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal.Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34A. Is this our SP-2 now?	2 3 4 5 6	that?A. Yes.Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay.So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019,
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. MLENAK: You're on A-34, Rob? MR. SCHNEIDER: No, he's not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. MLENAK: You're on A-34, Rob? MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. MLENAK: You're on A-34, Rob? MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. MLENAK: You're on A-34, Rob? MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: Q. So I'm going to first show you SP-2 of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of planting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: No, he's not. MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: Q. So I'm going to first show you SP-2 of A-34; you see that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of planting? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. MLENAK: You're on A-34, Rob? MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: Q. So I'm going to first show you SP-2 of A-34; you see that? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of planting? A. Yes. Q. So just so we're clear for the record, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: Q. So I'm going to first show you SP-2 of A-34; you see that? A. Yes. Q. And that depicts in green certain 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of planting? A. Yes. Q. So just so we're clear for the record, on L-1 of your 2019 plan the landscaping that is in green,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: No, he's not. MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: Q. So I'm going to first show you SP-2 of A-34; you see that? A. Yes. Q. And that depicts in green certain landscaping, and in fact there's an arrow and it says 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of planting? A. Yes. Q. So just so we're clear for the record, on L-1 of your 2019 plan the landscaping that is in green, as well as the tree planting detail, was not your work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: Q. So I'm going to first show you SP-2 of A-34; you see that? A. Yes. Q. And that depicts in green certain landscaping, and in fact there's an arrow and it says "Proposed landscaping see L-1"; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of planting? A. Yes. Q. So just so we're clear for the record, on L-1 of your 2019 plan the landscaping that is in green, as well as the tree planting detail, was not your work but rather another landscape architect; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: No, he's not. MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: Q. So I'm going to first show you SP-2 of A-34; you see that? A. Yes. Q. And that depicts in green certain landscaping, and in fact there's an arrow and it says "Proposed landscaping see L-1"; correct? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of planting? A. Yes. Q. So just so we're clear for the record, on L-1 of your 2019 plan the landscaping that is in green, as well as the tree planting detail, was not your work but rather another landscape architect; correct? A. It was a landscape engineer that works for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. L-1 has been completely revised since our prior submittal. Q. So L-1 on March 4, 2019, if you compare that to SP-2 on A-34 A. Is this our SP-2 now? MR. SCHNEIDER: Rob, why don't you show him what you're referring to, the one on 2019? MR. SIMON: Yes. I'm not trying to trick anybody. THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the wrong one. MR. SIMON: That's fine. I don't want you to look at the wrong thing. Okay. MR. SIMON: We'll find out in a second. BY MR SIMON: Q. So I'm going to first show you SP-2 of A-34; you see that? A. Yes. Q. And that depicts in green certain landscaping, and in fact there's an arrow and it says "Proposed landscaping see L-1"; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Let me make sure. What are you referring to? Oh, that's 22. I see. Okay. So the landscaping that's on L-1 from 2019, and SP-2 from 2022, A-34, is almost identical; isn't that correct, or no? A. That's correct. It is identical. Q. Right. And if you look at the right side of L-1 of that March 4, 2019, plan it has tree planting details, and it identifies the plantings by type of planting, right, species? A. Yes. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. And also size, right, at the time of planting? A. Yes. Q. So just so we're clear for the record, on L-1 of your 2019 plan the landscaping that is in green, as well as the tree planting detail, was not your work but rather another landscape architect; correct?

	Page 57		Page 58
1	Q. So was a landscape engineer who worked for	1 dead or dying; do you recal	ll that?
2	E2PM, did he do, or she, do the design that is the	2 A. Yes.	
3	landscaping design on SP-2 of A-34?	3 Q. And that tree inve	ntory was done by a
4	A. Well, it's shown as the same.	4 landscape you said a land	dscape engineer associated
5	Q. So Mr. Reynolds wasn't involved, or was he,	5 with your firm?	
6	with regard to SP-2 of A-34? Because that's what you	6 A. The tree inventory	was not done by him, it
7	said originally, and I just want to make sure that your	7 was done by another indivi	dual.
8	testimony is accurate.	8 Q. Was that other ind	lividual associated with
9	A. Well, SP-2 of A-34 is that the Consent	9 your engineering firm?	
10	Order?	0 A. Yes.	
11	MR. SIMON: No.	Q. So getting back no	ow to A-36 from 2023, so
12	MR. SCHNEIDER: No. That's where you're	2 on L-1, L-2, and L-3, that a	all of the landscaping
13	confused, Jim.	3 information here including	tree removal, whether a
14	THE WITNESS: That's where I'm confused?	4 particular tree is alive or de	ead or dying or native or
15	MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Simon's referring to	5 invasive, none of that, ever	n though it's on your plan,
16	the original plans and then the plans you presented at	6 was done by you or your fi	rm?
17	the prior public hearing in March of 2022. My clear	A. That was all done	•
18	recollection, you can answer, is that those landscaping	8 existing tree inventory, the	
19	details were provided by E2PM and not Mr. Reynolds. BY	9 was dead or alive, and the	
20	MR. SIMON:	Q. Okay. And not by	y Mr. Reynolds?
21	Q. Is that accurate?	A. Correct.	
22	A. Yes.		at, without jumping
23	Q. Because that's helpful. So with regard	around too much, let's start	
24	to until the Consent Order the Applicant through, I	4 did you yourself create this	existing tree removal
25	believe, your office identified certain trees that were	5 chart?	
	Page 59		Page 60
	- 5		Lage 00
1	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two	1 A. No.	rage ou
1 2	-	1 A. No. 2 Q. So if I asked you que	-
	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two		estions about that
2	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan	2 Q. So if I asked you que	estions about that gyou just testified
2 3	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36.	2 Q. So if I asked you que 3 exercise that you're describing	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me
2 3 4	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you.	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species
2 3 4 5	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abc 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species
2 3 4 5 6	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds.	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abc and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify that 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species lead, alive, or dying; t I was there with
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abo and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management,	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abo and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC?	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went about and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify that the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes.	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abc and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay.	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abc and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or something 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023.	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went about and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or sor when was that done? 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species lead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? bu talk about that, that me sort of compilation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes"	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went about and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that proof Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or som when was that done? A. In preparation for my 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? ou talk about that, that ne sort of compilation, y second testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal.	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abc and whether something was d correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or som when was that done? A. In preparation for my Q. And in preparation for my 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? bu talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. for your second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal. Q. So prior to this submittal, this submittal	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abde and whether something was do correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or sor when was that done? A. In preparation for my Q. And in preparation for my A. Testimony, when approximate 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? bu talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. by your second ely?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal. Q. So prior to this submittal, this submittal being March 6th, 2023, what did E2 Project Management,	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abc and whether something was describing Correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or som when was that done? A. In preparation for my Q. And in preparation for testimony, when approximate A. You have it somewhold 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species lead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? bu talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. for your second ely? uere.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal. Q. So prior to this submittal. being March 6th, 2023, what did E2 Project Management, LLC do in terms of existing tree removal chart	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went about and whether something was of correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that proof Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or som when was that done? A. In preparation for my Q. And in preparation for my Q. And in preparation for my Q. Well, I mean, we can solve the somewhile Q. Well, I mean, we can solve the somewhile the somewhile the solve the somewhile the solve the	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species lead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? bu talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. for your second ely? uere.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal. Q. So prior to this submittal, this submittal being March 6th, 2023, what did E2 Project Management, LLC do in terms of existing tree removal chart information? 	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abd and whether something was de correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or sof when was that done? A. In preparation for my Q. And in preparation for my Q. Well, I mean, we can 0 I think that 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? ou talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. for your second ely? tere. n look it up, but I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal. Q. So prior to this submittal, this submittal being March 6th, 2023, what did E2 Project Management, LLC do in terms of existing tree removal chart information? A. We had a we created a tree removal, I'll	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abd and whether something was de correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or sor when was that done? A. In preparation for my Q. And in preparation for my Q. Well, I mean, we can I think that M. SCHNEIDER: The something was can and the something was can be addressed as a set of the source o	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? ou talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. for your second ely? tere. n look it up, but I mean You testified in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal. Q. So prior to this submittal. Deing March 6th, 2023, what did E2 Project Management, LLC do in terms of existing tree removal chart information? A. We had a we created a tree removal, I'll call it a chart or a legend, based on the tree	 Q. So if I asked you que exercise that you're describing to you wouldn't be able to ans in terms of how you went abd and whether something was de correct? A. I can only testify tha the individual during that pro Q. But you didn't do that A. Correct. Q. And James, when you you prepared that chart or som when was that done? A. In preparation for my Q. And in preparation for my Q. And in preparation for my Q. Well, I mean, we can I think that MR. SCHNEIDER: To my Annother Management of the set of time, you testified 	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species dead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? ou talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. for your second ely? eree. n look it up, but I mean You testified in the your second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal. Q. So prior to this submittal, this submittal being March 6th, 2023, what did E2 Project Management, LLC do in terms of existing tree removal chart information? A. We had a we created a tree removal, I'll call it a chart or a legend, based on the tree inventory that we had prepared where we indicated the 	2Q. So if I asked you que3exercise that you're describing4to you wouldn't be able to ans5in terms of how you went abc6and whether something was d7correct?8A. I can only testify tha9the individual during that pro0Q. But you didn't do tha1A. Correct.2Q. And James, when yo3you prepared that chart or sor4when was that done?5A. In preparation for m6Q. And in preparation f7testimony, when approximate8A. You have it somewh9Q. Well, I mean, we can1MR. SCHNEIDER: ``2interest of time, you testified3appearance, meaning not you	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species lead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? ou talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. for your second ely? here. n look it up, but I mean You testified in the your second r consecutive appearances in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MLENAK: Rob, you've referred to two different L-1s. One in the original plan MR. SIMON: This is A-36. MR. MLENAK: Thank you. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Dated March 6, 2023? A. The existing tree removal chart was done by Mr. Reynolds. Q. Was there anything in the existing tree removal chart that was done by E2 Project Management, LLC? A. Originally, yes. Q. Okay. A. But not on this sheet submitted in 2023. Q. When you say "originally, yes" A. Prior to this submittal. Q. So prior to this submittal. Deing March 6th, 2023, what did E2 Project Management, LLC do in terms of existing tree removal chart information? A. We had a we created a tree removal, I'll call it a chart or a legend, based on the tree	2Q. So if I asked you que3exercise that you're describing4to you wouldn't be able to ans5in terms of how you went abc6and whether something was of7correct?8A. I can only testify tha9the individual during that pro0Q. But you didn't do that1A. Correct.2Q. And James, when you3you prepared that chart or some4when was that done?5A. In preparation for my6Q. And in preparation for7testimony, when approximate8A. You have it somewh9Q. Well, I mean, we can1MR. SCHNEIDER: To2interest of time, you testified	estions about that gyou just testified swer any questions for me out determining the species lead, alive, or dying; t I was there with cess. at evaluation? ou talk about that, that me sort of compilation, y second testimony. for your second ely? here. n look it up, but I mean You testified in the your second r consecutive appearances in

	Page 61		Page 62
1	Q. Does that sound correct?	1	Q. So Mr. Murawski, it's probably prior to the
2	A. I think that was the third appearance, too.	2	May 16, 2019, testimony that you prepared that tree
3	MR. SCHNEIDER: Your third appearance, but	3	inventory, correct, or through your office?
4	you testified twice previously in 2020, and then you	4	A. Correct.
5	returned in 2022.	5	Q. And in did anyone other than your firm,
6	BY MR. SIMON:	6	to your knowledge, prior to today do an assessment of
7	Q. And my recollection now is that you	7	what trees were dead, diseased, or dying on or adjacent
8	probably prepared that tree inventory prior to your	8	to the property?
9	second appearance in 2020?	9	A. Prior to today?
10	A. Yes.	10	Q. Yes.
11	Q. Right?	11	A. We did it back in 2019.
12	A. Correct.	12	Q. I'm saying other than in 2019.
13	Q. And so when you prepared your tree	13	A. No.
14	inventory in 2020 do you recall whether that was ever	14	Q. And Mr. Reynolds didn't do that, right?
15	submitted to the Board?	15	A. Mr. Reynolds did do his own site survey.
16	A. It was submitted to the Board via a revised	16	Q. Did Mr. Reynolds to your knowledge do an
17	drawing that we presented as an exhibit.	17	assessment as to whether trees were dead, diseased, or
18	Q. And do you recall what exhibit that was	18	dying?
19	that constituted that revised drawing?	19	A. You'd have to ask him.
20	A. I couldn't tell you. We'd have to look it	20	Q. But my question is regarding A-36
21	up.	21	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Can I ask a question?
22	MR. SCHNEIDER: Can I just interrupt just	22	Because we're frying to follow. Why is this what
23	in the interest of time? Mr. Murawski testified on	23	should we be following here listening to these
24	April 18, 2019, May 16, 2019, and March 31st, 2022.	24	questions?
25	BY MR SIMON:	25	MR. SIMON: Well, because Mr. Newlin,
	Page 63		Page 64
1	there's a lot of information that has shown up for the	1	start again.
2	first time on A-36 that is in terms of that I will	2	(Whereupon, a break is taken at 9:06 p.m.)
3	submit to the Board is nowhere to be found prior to	3	(Back on the record at 9:11 p.m.)
4	this, A-36. And so I am trying to understand from the	4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Roll call, please.
5	witness why it is that according to my recollection	5	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Ms. Sovolos?
6	that the number of trees prior to this A-36 that were	6	BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Here.
7	identified as dead, diseased, or dying was like six or	7	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Maselli?
8	five. And now we're suddenly up to I think it's 22.	8	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Here.
9	And you know, it's big differences. And so I am trying	9	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Symonds?
10	and I apologize but this is important stuff, to	10	BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Here.
11	understand where the discrepancies lie and why we have	11	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Rosenbaum?
12	those discrepancies.	12	BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: Here.
13	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Between the prior	13	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Newlin?
14	testimony and the new landscape plan?	14	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Here.
15	MR. SIMON: Not just the new landscape	15	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Addonizio?
16	plan, that's why I'm asking Mr. Murawski about this	16	BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Here.
	And a second state of the	17	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Chairman Flanagan?
17	tree removal chart which is so important, because it's	10	CHAIDMAN ELANACAN. Have
18	containing a lot of information that this Board and the	18	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Here.
18 19	containing a lot of information that this Board and the public is seeing respectfully for the first time.	19	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Cammarata?
18 19 20	containing a lot of information that this Board and the public is seeing respectfully for the first time. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Thank you.	19 20	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Cammarata? BOARD MEMBER CAMMARATA: Here.
18 19 20 21	containing a lot of information that this Board and the public is seeing respectfully for the first time. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, why don't we	19 20 21	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Cammarata? BOARD MEMBER CAMMARATA: Here. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Boyan is
18 19 20 21 22	containing a lot of information that this Board and the public is seeing respectfully for the first time. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, why don't we take a five-minute break?	19 20 21 22	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Cammarata? BOARD MEMBER CAMMARATA: Here. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Boyan is excused.
18 19 20 21 22 23	containing a lot of information that this Board and the public is seeing respectfully for the first time. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, why don't we take a five-minute break? MR. SIMON: Okay. Thank you. That's	19 20 21 22 23	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Cammarata? BOARD MEMBER CAMMARATA: Here. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Boyan is excused. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, how much
18 19 20 21 22	containing a lot of information that this Board and the public is seeing respectfully for the first time. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, why don't we take a five-minute break?	19 20 21 22	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Cammarata? BOARD MEMBER CAMMARATA: Here. SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Boyan is excused.

	Page 65		Page 66
1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So in terms of minutes	1	settlement that it is critical for the Board to afford
2	or hours.	2	the public an opportunity to participate meaningfully
3	MR. SIMON: More than 30 minutes.	3	in the process. And I believe, and respectfully
4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. And you have two	4	contend, that if the public, I represent a bunch of
5	more witnesses?	5	people plus others here, are going to be cut off
6	MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes.	6	because the clock has run out that that is not legally
0 7		7	sustainable. And it's not substantively, procedurally,
	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Then for	8	
8	those other two witnesses, I know you haven't heard	9	appropriate. And all the questions I'm asking are
9	them yet but how long do you expect to be?	10	incredibly relevant. It is not my client's doing that the tree removal plan is wholly different.
10	MR. SIMON: I'm probably going to have I	11	
11	don't know what they're going to say so but it's	12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. So to not
12	going to be more than 15 minutes each, I promise you	13	waste more time, I agree the public needs to have time to ask their questions, but I don't know if I agree all
13	that.	14	the questions all the lines are going down with
14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So as you know, there's	15	whose initials are these and whose initials are those
15	a deadline on getting this done, right, and having a	16	are relevant.
16	vote on this consent. Just keeping in mind as you're	17	Regarding time for this, Steve, I mean, do
17	asking questions, ask whatever you'd like but there's	18	you agree with what Mr. Simon said?
18	going to come a point where we're just going to have to	19	
19	go and take a vote.	20	MR. MLENAK: The parties have to agree to extend the deadline under the Consent Order.
20	MR. SIMON: I do not agree that under the	20	MR. SCHNEIDER: Correct. I don't want to
21	Consent Order that you have to do that. There's	22	
22	language in there that contemplates that the parties	23	take more time because I don't want to get suckered in
23	can extend the time, certainly, to render a decision.	23	to arguing for ten minutes. The point is that the Exhibit A-36 is the relevant landscape exhibit before
24	And certainly under the applicable law with regards to	25	the Board and I would respectfully suggest we should
25	Whispering Woods and its progeny with regards to	2.5	the Board and I would respectfully suggest we should
	Dage 67		
	Page 67		Page 68
1	_	1	
1 2	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019.	1	whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM.
	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019.		
2	focus our attention on the current plan before the	2	whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the
2 3	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr.	2 3	whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is
2 3 4	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON:	2 3 4	whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there.
2 3 4 5	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead.	2 3 4 5	whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this?
2 3 4 5 6	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the	2 3 4 5 6	whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM.Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there.Q. Did he make changes to some of this?A. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already been removed? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already been removed? A. I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already been removed? A. I don't know. Q. With regard to the condition of these trees 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already been removed? A. I don't know. Q. With regard to the condition of these trees as identified on this chart from your plan what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds that? MR. MLENAK: I agree. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's the sealer of that plan. It's his plan. MR. SIMON: I don't have a seal on my plan,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already been removed? A. I don't know. Q. With regard to the condition of these trees as identified on this chart from your plan what information of that is yours and what information of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds that? MR. MLENAK: I agree. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's the sealer of that plan. It's his plan. MR. SIMON: I don't have a seal on my plan, number one. Number two, I mean, this is not my doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already been removed? A. I don't know. Q. With regard to the condition of these trees as identified on this chart from your plan what information of that is yours and what information of that is Mr. Reynolds? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds that? MR. MLENAK: I agree. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's the sealer of that plan. It's his plan. MR. SIMON: I don't have a seal on my plan, number one. Number two, I mean, this is not my doing. This is on an E2 Project Management site plan. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already been removed? A. I don't know. Q. With regard to the condition of these trees as identified on this chart from your plan what information of that is yours and what information of that is Mr. Reynolds? A. It's a combination. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds that? MR. MLENAK: I agree. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's the sealer of that plan. It's his plan. MR. SIMON: I don't have a seal on my plan, number one. Number two, I mean, this is not my doing. This is on an E2 Project Management site plan. The witness just said that he assisted or was part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 focus our attention on the current plan before the Board versus what was done in 2019. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Fine. All right. Mr. Simon, go ahead. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Sir, when is the last time you were at the property? A. I visited the property last month. Q. And with regard to the existing tree removal chart on L-1 of A-36 are all of the 67 trees that are identified on your plan under existing tree removal chart, are they all at the property? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have any information as to of these 67 trees whether any of these trees have already been removed? A. I don't know. Q. With regard to the condition of these trees as identified on this chart from your plan what information of that is Mr. Reynolds? A. It's a combination. Q. Can you identify for me in terms of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 whether it's dead or alive, was all performed by E2PM. Mr. Reynolds did his own survey and confirmed the information that's on these charts that's on A-36 is there. Q. Did he make changes to some of this? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. So you don't know basically in terms of the existing tree removal chart which of this information is your firms' and which of this information is Mr. Reynolds'; correct? A. That's right. Q. And what do the red cross-outs represent? MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. Mr. Reynolds is the sealer of that plan. Why don't we ask Mr. Reynolds ithat? MR. MLENAK: I agree. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's the sealer of that plan. It's his plan. MR. SIMON: I don't have a seal on my plan, number one. Number two, I mean, this is not my doing. This is on an E2 Project Management site plan. The witness just said that he assisted or was part of the existing tree removal chart. He can't identify which

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

	Page 69		Page 70
1	lot of red lines that are going through some of these	1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. Can we move
2	trees, and I just want to know what that means, if you		Mr. Simon?
3	know?	3	MR. SIMON: I'd like to make the point that
4	THE WITNESS: I don't know.		difference for the Conine property is 1.3 feet.
5	MR. SIMON: You don't know.	5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Point taken.
6	BY MR SIMON:	6 BY	MR. SIMON:
7	Q. Just give me one second. So in terms of	7	Q. And it's actually also closer to the Conine
8	the distances from the various residential properties,		perty than what was originally proposed in 2017;
9	so basically now in 2023 we are proposing 72.3 feet	9 corr	
10	from the centerline of lot 9.04 for a difference of 6	10	A. I'd have to check.
11	feet compared to 2022?	11	Q. And other than the shale evaluation you're
12	A. From the centerline to the lot line.	12 not	aware of any shale evaluation at any other part of
13	Q. From the centerline to the lot line, right,	13 the	property; correct?
14	it's 72.3 feet, right?	14	A. I am not aware.
15	A. Right.	15	Q. With regard to the proposed pole that TAPP
16	Q. And that's a difference of 6 feet from	16 appa	arently designed, do you know if that contemplates a
17	2022. So you've increased the distance by 6 feet;	17 non	-extendable or extendible monopole?
18	correct?	18	A. It's extendible.
19	A. Correct.	19	Q. And what does it mean to be extendible?
20	Q. And that's for Lot 9.04. That's the		A. So it's a function of the capacity of the
21	O'Donnell Lot, right? Regarding the Conine Lot you're	21 pole	to be extended by a certain amount, and that goes
22	at 120 feet from that lot line to the centerline of the	22 for 1	he pole and the foundation. So you could order a
23	tower; correct?	23 pole	that's extendable to 150 feet so that the
24	MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, we went		ndation is designed for a pole that's theoretically
25	through this a half hour ago.	25 150	feet, because you can't go back and it's very
	Doco 71		Domo 70
	Page 71		Page 72
1	-	1	_
1 2	difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with		Q. So when you say "there's been new
	-	2 con:	_
2	difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet.	2 cons 3 SP-	Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new
2 3	difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con:	Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to
2 3 4	difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con:	Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to?
2 3 4 5	difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan?
2 3 4 5 6	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 7 put 8 9	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the : 11 beh	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the: 11 beh 12 stor	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 10 the 11 beh 12 stor 13	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the 11 beh 12 stor 13 14	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the : 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 16	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the : 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 16	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. Q. You said you went to the property a couple 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 14 15 17 we'r 18	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located e showing it. And it's, I believe Q. Right. I see it there. What I asked you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. Q. You said you went to the property a couple of months ago? MR. SCHNEIDER: He said last month. THE WITNESS: Last month. 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the : 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 16 17 wer 18 19 is the	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located e showing it. And it's, I believe Q. Right. I see it there. What I asked you at other than does SP-1 on A-36 accurately
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. Q. You said you went to the property a couple of months ago? MR. SCHNEIDER: He said last month. THE WITNESS: Last month. BY MR SIMON: 	2 cons 3 SP- 4 cons 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the start 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 16 17 we'r 18 19 is th 20 dep	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located e showing it. And it's, I believe Q. Right. I see it there. What I asked you at other than does SP-1 on A-36 accurately ict location of all buildings and structures as of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. Q. You said you went to the property a couple of months ago? MR. SCHNEIDER: He said last month. THE WITNESS: Last month. BY MR SIMON: Q. I neglected to ask you. Does SP-1, A-36, 	2 cons 3 SP- 4 cons 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the: 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 16 17 web 18 19 is th 20 dep 21 whe	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located e showing it. And it's, I believe Q. Right. I see it there. What I asked you at other than does SP-1 on A-36 accurately ict location of all buildings and structures as of an you were there approximately a month ago?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. Q. You said you went to the property a couple of months ago? MR. SCHNEIDER: He said last month. THE WITNESS: Last month. BY MR SIMON: Q. I neglected to ask you. Does SP-1, A-36, accurately depict the current location of all buildings 	2 cons 3 SP- 4 cons 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 16 17 we'r 18 19 is th 20 dep 21 whe	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. An ew what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located e showing it. And it's, I believe Q. Right. I see it there. What I asked you at other than does SP-1 on A-36 accurately ict location of all buildings and structures as of an you were there approximately a month ago? A. I don't remember that salt storage shed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. Q. You said you went to the property a couple of months ago? MR. SCHNEIDER: He said last month. THE WITNESS: Last month. BY MR SIMON: Q. I neglected to ask you. Does SP-1, A-36, accurately depict the current location of all buildings and structures? 	2 cons 3 SP- 4 cons 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 16 17 we'r 18 19 is th 20 dep 21 who 22 23 beir	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located e showing it. And it's, I believe Q. Right. I see it there. What I asked you at other than does SP-1 on A-36 accurately ite location of all buildings and structures as of an you were there approximately a month ago? A. I don't remember that salt storage shed ag there originally.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. Q. You said you went to the property a couple of months ago? MR. SCHNEIDER: He said last month. THE WITNESS: Last month. BY MR SIMON: Q. I neglected to ask you. Does SP-1, A-36, accurately depict the current location of all buildings and structures? A. There's been it does not. There's been 	2 con: 3 SP- 4 con: 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the 11 behr 12 stor 13 14 15 16 17 we'r 18 19 is th 20 dep 21 whe 23 beir 24	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located e showing it. And it's, I believe Q. Right. I see it there. What I asked you at other than does SP-1 on A-36 accurately ict location of all buildings and structures as of an you were there approximately a month ago? A. I don't remember that salt storage shed g there originally. Q. When you say "originally," what do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 difficult to modify a foundation. So we confirmed with TAPP that this particular design, this particular pole is extendible to a hundred feet. Q. To a hundred feet. And was that requested of TAPP to design A. Not by me. Q. But by somebody on your team, so to speak? A. I would think so. Q. And the diameter of the tree as proposed now is the same as what was proposed in 2022; correct? A. The diameter of what? Q. Of the branches? A. I don't remember what was proposed in 2022, but it would make sense. 10 feet is pretty standard for the range. Q. You said you went to the property a couple of months ago? MR. SCHNEIDER: He said last month. THE WITNESS: Last month. BY MR SIMON: Q. I neglected to ask you. Does SP-1, A-36, accurately depict the current location of all buildings and structures? 	2 cons 3 SP- 4 cons 5 6 7 put 8 9 10 the 11 beh 12 stor 13 14 15 16 17 we'r 18 19 is th 20 dep 21 who 22 23 beir	 Q. So when you say "there's been new struction since then," so explain to me referring to 1, A-36, dated March 2nd, 2023, what new struction are you referring to? A. So opposite where is the right plan? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you want me to it back up? MR. MLENAK: Page 23. A. North of in a northerly direction from recycling shed that we are proposing to locate ind along the northern property line is a new salt age shed. Q. A new what? I'm sorry. A. Salt storage shed. Q. And where is that salt storage shed? A. I believe on our SP-1 we're located e showing it. And it's, I believe Q. Right. I see it there. What I asked you at other than does SP-1 on A-36 accurately ict location of all buildings and structures as of an you were there approximately a month ago? A. I don't remember that salt storage shed g there originally. Q. When you say "originally," what do you

	Page 73		Page 74
1	A. In my original site visit in 2019, for	1	current site conditions, which trees are dead, and
2	example.	2	which trees are being proposed.
3	Q. Other than the salt storage shed is there	3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Will you bring back
4	any other building or structure?	4	this witness if it becomes necessary during the
5	A. I'm not aware.	5	testimony?
6	Q. And you heard me answer the question from	6	MR. SCHNEIDER: Correct.
7	the chair. You recall that over the course of your	7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Great.
8	testimony originally 12 trees were going to be removed,	8	MR SIMON: So all my tree questions I'll
9	and then seven trees were going to be removed, and now	9	ask Mr. Reynolds; is that what the Board is suggesting?
10	33 trees are supposed to be removed; correct?	10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Will Mr. Reynolds be
11	A. I don't know if that's accurate.	11	able to answer his tree questions, Mr. Schneider?
12	Q. What would you need to look at to determine	12	MR. SCHNEIDER: I would hope so, but I
13	whether that statement is accurate?	13	don't know what the questions are going to be. But
14	MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. He said that	14	yeah, let me get to the point here, if I may. We have
15	Mr. Reynolds would testify.	15	a plan A-36, Sheets L-1 and two, three under the
16	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. Let's move	16	signage of Mr. Reynolds. He's the certified landscape
17	on.	17	architect. We specifically brought him in because he
18	MR. SIMON: Well, Mr. Reynolds is the	18	is a certified landscape architect, and the landscaping
19	landscape architect. The reason why I'm frustrated is	19	should be deferred to Mr. Reynolds.
20	that this witness testified that regarding the tree	20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. And you'll
21	removal plan that's on his plan he said he prepared	21	bring back this witness
22	some of it and Mr. Reynolds prepared some of it. Mr.	22	MR. SCHNEIDER: If there's an issue I agree
23	Reynolds wasn't	23	to bring back Mr. Murawski.
24	MR. SCHNEIDER: I've indicated that Mr.	24	MR. SIMON: And is Mr. Reynolds able to
25	Reynolds will be the person who will testify as to the	25	testify in terms of trees being removed, trees being
	Page 75		Page 76
1	dead, trees being safe?	1	the public, because I went on the website and I didn't
2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: If he's not he just	2	see that, and I would ask that that be provided to me,
3	said he'd bring back Mr. Murawski.	3	number one.
4	MR. SCHNEIDER: In the interest of time I	4	Number two, with regard to what you just
5	would also refer to the Board to Mr. Fox's	5	stated, Mr. Schneider, I'm fairly confident, I guess we
6	memorandum	6	can ask Mr. Fox whether he individually did that
7	MR. MLENAK: Use your microphone.	7	evaluation himself.
8	MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry. I would refer	8	MR. SCHNEIDER: I'd be glad to provide you
9	to the Board, In the interest of time, to Mr. Fox's	9	with a copy.
10	memorandum in which he indicates an accurate inventory	10	MR. SIMON: All right. Great.
11	of existing trees has been provided of which existing	11	BY MR. SIMON:
12	trees will be removed. He indicates obviously that	12	Q. Did you do, with regard to I think this
13	he's reviewed that and that it reflects current	13	is for this witness, in fairness.
14	conditions.	14	Mr. Murawski, with regard to the removal of
15	MR. SIMON: Mr. Schneider, first of all,	15	the 33 trees that I'm going to ask Mr. Reynolds about,
16	can you please identify what you're referring to?	16	did you do any analysis as to how that will impact
		17	stormwater management on the site?
17	MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm referring to a		_
18	memorandum of Mr. Fox dated April 21st, 2023, second	18	A. No.
18 19	memorandum of Mr. Fox dated April 21st, 2023, second bullet point. "An accurate inventory of the existing	18 19	A. No.Q. Did you do any stormwater management
18 19 20	memorandum of Mr. Fox dated April 21st, 2023, second bullet point. "An accurate inventory of the existing trees has been provided along with identification of	18 19 20	A. No.Q. Did you do any stormwater management analysis with regard to this application?
18 19 20 21	memorandum of Mr. Fox dated April 21st, 2023, second bullet point. "An accurate inventory of the existing trees has been provided along with identification of which existing trees will be removed." And he's	18 19 20 21	A. No.Q. Did you do any stormwater management analysis with regard to this application?A. So we were asked to by Mr. Fox to provide
18 19 20 21 22	memorandum of Mr. Fox dated April 21st, 2023, second bullet point. "An accurate inventory of the existing trees has been provided along with identification of which existing trees will be removed." And he's referring to the sheet plan which has been marked as	18 19 20 21 22	A. No.Q. Did you do any stormwater management analysis with regard to this application?A. So we were asked to by Mr. Fox to provide infiltration to compensate for the gravel that we're
18 19 20 21 22 23	memorandum of Mr. Fox dated April 21st, 2023, second bullet point. "An accurate inventory of the existing trees has been provided along with identification of which existing trees will be removed." And he's referring to the sheet plan which has been marked as Exhibit A-36.	18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No.Q. Did you do any stormwater management analysis with regard to this application?A. So we were asked to by Mr. Fox to provide infiltration to compensate for the gravel that we're placing within the compound. So we installed a
18 19 20 21 22	memorandum of Mr. Fox dated April 21st, 2023, second bullet point. "An accurate inventory of the existing trees has been provided along with identification of which existing trees will be removed." And he's referring to the sheet plan which has been marked as	18 19 20 21 22	A. No.Q. Did you do any stormwater management analysis with regard to this application?A. So we were asked to by Mr. Fox to provide infiltration to compensate for the gravel that we're

	Page 77		Page 78
1	shed and allows it to infiltrate back into the ground.	1	new trees you're placing?
2	Q. And that's from the recycling shed?	2	A. No.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. So if you don't know how many you're
4	Q. So are you collecting water in this	4	removing and you don't know how many you're replacing
5	infiltration chamber from anywhere else besides the	5	them with how can you possibly make that statement that
6	recycling shed?	6	you believe that the new trees will adequately
7	A. No.	7	compensate for the old mature trees mind you that are
8	Q. And so the removal of the 33 trees and the	8	being removed?
9	root systems, do you expect that to have any impact on	9	A. So I've seen the site and I've seen the
10	stormwater management surface water flow?	10	proposed landscaping design.
11	A. I do not.	11	Q. Based on your review of that proposed
12	Q. And why is that?	12	landscaping design how many trees are being installed?
13	A. So we're replacing a lot of these trees	13	A. I didn't count them.
14	with new landscaping and I think the net effect in	14	Q. Do you know at the time of planting what
15	terms of infiltration	15	the diameter breast height will be?
16	MR. SCHNEIDER: Keep your voice up, Jim.	16	MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Reynolds will address
17	A. I believe the net effect of the new	17	that.
18	landscaping versus the trees to be removed is going to	18	BY MR SIMON:
19	be very close in mimicking the existing stormwater	19	Q. So is it fair to say that without knowing
20	runoff versus the new stormwater runoff.	20	any of that information and just looking at a plan and
21	Q. Okay. I'll bite. So you're removing 33	21	circles without even knowing how many trees we're
22	trees; correct? That's what the plan says.	22	talking about that you can't fairly at least at this
23	A. I'm not sure that's accurate.	23	time maybe you can look at it at this point you
24	Q. Okay. Do you know how many trees based	24	can't opine as a professional as to impacts, if any, on
25	on your sworn testimony statement, do you know how many	25	stormwater management by the tree removal?
	Page 79		Page 80
1	A. But I can. So stormwater runoff is not an	1	other members of the public not represented by Mr.
2	exact science. It's based on coefficients, runoff	2	Simon have any questions of this witness? And this is
3	coefficients, and you have a runoff coefficient for a	3	the time for questions directly related to the
4	grassy field, you have runoff coefficient for a	4	testimony the witness gave. There will be
5	graveled surface or paved surface, and you have runoff	5	opportunities later to state your views, give your
6	coefficient for a wooded area. It's still going to be	6	opinion, but any questions for the witness?
7	a wooded area.	7	MS. CHRISTEL ENGEL: I have questions.
8	Q. The root systems are going to be a lot	8	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sure, come on down.
9	shallower with new trees; right?	9	MS. CHRISTEL ENGEL: No. I was not
10	A. It doesn't affect runoff. That won't	10	mentioned. I'm Livio's wife. I just have a general
11	affect runoff.	11	question.
12	Q. The gravel area, will that affect runoff?	12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Hold on a second. So
13	A. Yes.	13	you are represented by Mr. Simon?
14	Q. Is the gravel area being replaced with	14	MS. CHRISTEL ENGEL: Yes, but it's a
15	trees?	15	question regarding stormwater runoff, because I live
16	A. The gravel area is being compensated for by	16	next to it and I want to ask a question.
17	the infiltration basin.	17	MR. SIMON: No, no. That's not appropriate.
18	Q. It's not being replaced by trees; correct?	18	What I will do is can I ask my client the question,
19	A. Correct.	19	what the question is so I can ask the question of the
20	MR. SIMON: All right. I'm going to	20	witness? Is that appropriate?
21	reserve depending on what Mr. Reynolds says, but at	21	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
22	this point with regard to this witness based on his	22	MR. SIMON: Unless you just want her to ask
23	testimony, but I have no further questions at this	23	directly. That's up to you.
24	point, at this time for this particular witness.	24 25	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Come on down. Grab the
25	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Do any	20	mic. Is that on, Lori?
		<u> </u>	

	Page 82
	EL: I'm simply suggesting
2 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, would you 2 that this would add much more	if Mr. Simon is correct.
3 rather have Mr. Schneider 3 MR. SIMON: So, can	ask? I'll ask the
4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Listen, I don't want to 4 appropriate followup.	
5 argue about it for three minutes, because it's quicker 5 BY MR. SIMON:	
6 to answer the question. I agree. 6 Q. So Mr. Murawski, oth	er than capturing the
7 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Tell us your name for 7 runoff from the recycling shed,	are you capturing any
8 the record. 8 runoff from the I'm going to	call it from the
9 MS. CHRISTEL ENGEL: It's Christel Engel. 9 equipment area, including the t	ower?
10 I'm Livio's wife, and live at 32 Millbrook Road. And I 10 A. No.	
11 notice very recently that the entire recycling center 11 MR. SIMON: Okay.	
12 has been paved. And this is regarding the stormwater 12 CHAIRMAN FLANAC	GAN: All right. Any other
13 runoff, because we have increased or we're seeing a 13 members of the public not repr	esented by Mr. Simon have
14 tremendous amount of water coming back to us, 14 a question? Is there anyone here	re not represented by
15 especially now that it is paved. And I just wanted to 15 Mr. Simon? Put your hand up	if you're not represented
16 know whether that has an impact on the questions that 16 by Mr. Simon. (Four public me	embers raise their hands.)
17 you've been asking? 17 All right. Moving on.	
18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Does that 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: M	r. Reynolds.
19question make sense?19MR. SIMON: Can I sta	ny here?
20 THE WITNESS: Yes, it does. I've noticed 20 CHAIRMAN FLANAG	GAN: Mr. Reynolds, come on
21the paving myself. And that's municipal property.21down.	
22 That was all municipal work, so I would have to defer 22 MR. SCHNEIDER: Co	ould I have him sworn,
23the question, because I don't know what other23Steve?	
24 stormwater management measures the municipality has on 24 MR. MLENAK: Raise	your right hand. Do you
25this site.25swear to tell the truth, the whole	e truth, and nothing
Page 83	Page 84
1 but the truth? 1 THE WITNESS: Ye	8.
2 MR. REYNOLDS: Yes, I do. 2 BY MR. SCHNEIDER:	
3 JEFFREY REYNOLDS, having 3 Q. Have you previously	-
4 been duly sworn testified as follows: 4 capacity as a certified landsc	ape architect?
5 MR. MLENAK: Please state your full name 5 A. Yes, I have.	
	ng Boards and Boards of
	8
7 MR. REYNOLDS: Jeffrey D. Reynolds, 7 Adjustment?	0
8 R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s. 8 A. Yes, I have.	-
8 R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s. 8 A. Yes, I have. 9 MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the 9 Q. And has your testime	ony been accepted as
8 R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s. 8 A. Yes, I have. 9 MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the 9 Q. And has your testime 10 benefit of your qualifications? 10 that of an expert witness in the second se	ony been accepted as
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?	ony been accepted as
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testime10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.	ony been accepted as he field of landscape
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testime10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA	ony been accepted as
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testime10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testime10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Ye	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current.
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Yee16Jersey?16CHAIRMAN FLANA	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Yee16Jersey?16CHAIRMAN FLANA17A. Yes, I am.17Thank you.	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current.
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Yee16Jersey?16CHAIRMAN FLANA17A. Yes, I am.17Thank you.18Q. And can you concisely provide the Board18BY MR. SCHNEIDER:	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current. AGAN: You're an expert.
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the test of test of an expert witness in the test of an expert witness in the test of tes	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current. AGAN: You're an expert. oing to refer you
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Yet16Jersey?16CHAIRMAN FLANA17A. Yes, I am.17Thank you.18Q. And can you concisely provide the Board18BY MR. SCHNEIDER:19with the benefit of your education and experience?19Q. Mr. Reynolds, I'm g20A. 1987 I graduated from Paul Smith College of20first of all, have you visited to	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current. AGAN: You're an expert. oing to refer you he site?
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in the11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Yee16Jersey?16CHAIRMAN FLANA17A. Yes, I am.17Thank you.18Q. And can you concisely provide the Board18BY MR. SCHNEIDER:19with the benefit of your education and experience?19Q. Mr. Reynolds, I'm g20A. 1987 I graduated from Paul Smith College of20first of all, have you visited t21forestry with an Associates Degree in Forestry. I21A. Yes, I have. I was the	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current. AGAN: You're an expert. oing to refer you he site?
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in that11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Yee16Jersey?16CHAIRMAN FLANA17A. Yes, I am.17Thank you.18Q. And can you concisely provide the Board18BY MR. SCHNEIDER:19with the benefit of your education and experience?19Q. Mr. Reynolds, I'm g20A. 1987 I graduated from Paul Smith College of20first of all, have you visited t21forestry with an Associates Degree in Forestry. I21A. Yes, I have. I was t22transferred to SUNY Syracuse, College of Environmental22ago.	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current. AGAN: You're an expert. oing to refer you he site? here about two weeks
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in that11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Yee16Jersey?16CHAIRMAN FLANA17A. Yes, I am.17Thank you.18Q. And can you concisely provide the Board18BY MR. SCHNEIDER:19with the benefit of your education and experience?19Q. Mr. Reynolds, I'm g20A. 1987 I graduated from Paul Smith College of20first of all, have you visited t21forestry with an Associates Degree in Forestry. I21A. Yes, I have. I was t23Science and Forestry23Q. And have you also b	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current. AGAN: You're an expert. oing to refer you he site? here about two weeks had the opportunity to
8R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s.8A. Yes, I have.9MR. MLENAK: And can you give the Board the9Q. And has your testim10benefit of your qualifications?10that of an expert witness in that11MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll qualify him.11architect?12EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHNEIDER:12A. Yes, it has.13Q. What is your profession?13CHAIRMAN FLANA14A. I'm a landscape architect.14current.15Q. And you are so licensed by the state of New15THE WITNESS: Yee16Jersey?16CHAIRMAN FLANA17A. Yes, I am.17Thank you.18Q. And can you concisely provide the Board18BY MR. SCHNEIDER:19with the benefit of your education and experience?19Q. Mr. Reynolds, I'm g20A. 1987 I graduated from Paul Smith College of20first of all, have you visited t21forestry with an Associates Degree in Forestry. I21A. Yes, I have. I was t22transferred to SUNY Syracuse, College of Environmental22ago.	ony been accepted as he field of landscape AGAN: And your license is s, my license is current. AGAN: You're an expert. oing to refer you he site? here about two weeks had the opportunity to im dated April 21st, 2023?

	Page 85		Page 86
1	-	1	_
1	Q. And I want to refer you to I want to try	1	status and those which are intended to be removed; is
2	to deal with this in the interest of time. I want to	2	that correct?
3	refer you to Sheets L-1, L-2, and L-3 of Sheet A-36	3	A. That is correct.
4	I'm sorry. Exhibit A-36; correct? A. Correct.	4	Q. Cutting right to the choice cutting
5		6	right to the issue, there are trees which have specific tree numbers and are then denoted with a red line
6 7	Q. And I want to get right to the chase. In referring to Sheet L-1 that plan is entitled "Tree	7	through them; do you see that?
8	survey and removal plan"; correct?	8	A. Yes, I see that.
9	A. That is correct.	9	Q. Okay. And what is that intended to
10	Q. Okay. And in the thank you Lori. And	10	represent?
11	in the lower left-hand well, lower corner toward the	11	A. That is a tree that will be removed with
12	left-hand side there is columns referred to as existing	12	this project.
13	tree removal chart; correct?	13	Q. Okay. And Mr. Simon was about to engage in
14	A. That is correct.	14	lengthy cross-examination of Mr. Murawski as to the
15	Q. And are you familiar with the information	15	total number of trees that are intended to be removed.
16	that is contained within that existing tree removal	16	You were present and you understood that question;
17	chart?	17	correct?
18	A. Yes, I am.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And are you able to independently	19	Q. And can you confirm the total number of
20	verify that you're familiar with the information that's	20	trees that are being removed?
21	contained there based on your review of the site?	21	A. There are 32 trees to be removed.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Okay. Let's put that in some perspective.
23	Q. Okay. And that, if I understand it from a	23	Of the 32 trees, did you do an analysis as to which of
24	lay perspective, that would reflect existing trees and	24	the 32 trees being removed have been denoted as dead or
25	then there's a certain notation as to its current	25	dying?
		1	
	Page 87		Page 88
1	A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are	1	Page 88 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of
1 2	_	1 2	
	A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are	1	denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of
2	A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be	2	denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with?
2 3	A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed.	2 3	denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with?A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been
2 3 4	A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed.Q. Of the let me put that in some	2 3 4	denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with?A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed.Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or	2 3 4 5	denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with?A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago,
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed.Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would	2 3 4 5 6	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are Fill 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2. Okay. Can you indicate what's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are FII be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? A. A Sassafras tree basically doesn't have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2. Okay. Can you indicate what's reflected on Sheet L-2? A. Yes. This is the planting plan that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? A. A Sassafras tree basically doesn't have much significance. It's a weed tree that grows on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2. Okay. Can you indicate what's reflected on Sheet L-2? A. Yes. This is the planting plan that is going to shield or buffer the visuals from the adjacent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? A. A Sassafras tree basically doesn't have much significance. It's a weed tree that grows on the side of highways. It's a pioneer species. It will be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2? A. Yes. This is the planting plan that is going to shield or buffer the visuals from the adjacent properties. And basically we've got four different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? A. A Sassafras tree basically doesn't have much significance. It's a weed tree that grows on the side of highways. It's a pioneer species. It will be one of the first species to come in and grow roots but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2. Okay. Can you indicate what's reflected on Sheet L-2? A. Yes. This is the planting plan that is going to shield or buffer the visuals from the adjacent properties. And basically we've got four different plant species or tree species. They're all evergreen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? A. A Sassafras tree basically doesn't have much significance. It's a weed tree that grows on the side of highways. It's a pioneer species. It will be one of the first species to come in and grow roots but it will not last for long. It's basically a weed tree. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2. Okay. Can you indicate what's reflected on Sheet L-2? A. Yes. This is the planting plan that is going to shield or buffer the visuals from the adjacent properties. And basically we've got four different plant species or tree species. They're all evergreen and we're going to start with the lowest evergreens.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? A. A Sassafras tree basically doesn't have much significance. It's a weed tree that grows on the side of highways. It's a pioneer species. It will be one of the first species to come in and grow roots but it will not last for long. It's basically a weed tree. Q. You also made the comment when we were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2. Okay. Can you indicate what's reflected on Sheet L-2? A. Yes. This is the planting plan that is going to shield or buffer the visuals from the adjacent properties. And basically we've got four different plant species or tree species. They're all evergreen and we're going to start with the lowest evergreens. The Nellie Stevens Hollies are going to be closest to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? A. A Sassafras tree basically doesn't have much significance. It's a weed tree that grows on the side of highways. It's a pioneer species. It will be one of the first species to come in and grow roots but it will not last for long. It's basically a weed tree. Q. You also made the comment when we were discussing this matter this afternoon that some of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2. Okay. Can you indicate what's reflected on Sheet L-2? A. Yes. This is the planting plan that is going to shield or buffer the visuals from the adjacent properties. And basically we've got four different plant species or tree species. They're all evergreen and we're going to start with the lowest evergreens. The Nellie Stevens Hollies are going to be closest to the compound. And then we're going to have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. There are only nine trees that are still alive of the 32 trees that are going to be removed. Q. Of the let me put that in some perspective. There are so nine are not dead or dying. The balance, which I guess under my math would be 23, are dead or dying; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Can you characterize and in some level of detail the nature of some of those trees that are reflected as being removed? For example, I see in a number of cases Sassafras as being the tree species that's intended to be removed. Can you, for those like me who are I'll be blunt ignorant of tree species, what is a Sassafras and what's the significance of something like that being removed? A. A Sassafras tree basically doesn't have much significance. It's a weed tree that grows on the side of highways. It's a pioneer species. It will be one of the first species to come in and grow roots but it will not last for long. It's basically a weed tree. Q. You also made the comment when we were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 denoted as being dead or dying is that by virtue of this Ash Disease that I'm familiar with? A. Yes. The Emerald Ash Borer has been killing the Ash Trees and that's why there are more dead trees now than there were a couple of years ago, because the Emerald Ash Borer is killing the trees. Q. So that when Mr. Simon engaged in his extensive cross-examination of Mr. Murawski relative to the evolution of the tree removal from going back to when the original site plan was prepared and then again in 2022 and now, does that in part account for the nature or the difference in the number of trees that are being removed in those designated as dead or dying? A. Yes. I believe it does. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, turning your attention to Sheet L-2. Okay. Can you indicate what's reflected on Sheet L-2? A. Yes. This is the planting plan that is going to shield or buffer the visuals from the adjacent properties. And basically we've got four different plant species or tree species. They're all evergreen and we're going to start with the lowest evergreens. The Nellie Stevens Hollies are going to be closest to

Page 89

	Page 89		Page 90
1	compound mixed in with some Dark Arborvitae. And then	1	what is the expected time period relative to their
2	along the property line that's where we're going to	2	maximum height?
3	have the biggest of all the pine trees. Those are	3	A. The Nellie Stevens Hollies they're going to
4	going to the Norway Spruce Trees and they will be	4	be actually, everything is going to be planted at 5
5	staggered along the property line planted in the field	5	to 6 foot except for the Norway Spruce. The Norway
6	to make sure that we don't disturb any existing trees	6	Spruce will be bigger. Those are the ones along the
7	that are already out there. So we would field that and	7	property line. They will be 8 to 10 feet. These
8	put them in the right spot.	8	species will grow between 2 and 3 feet a year. And
9	Q. And by my calculation, and if you bear with	9	basically within 10 to 15 years there should be a very,
10	me a second. I'm now referring to Sheet L-3. That	10	very good buffer screen there.
11	denotes let me deal with this in some order. That	11	Q. What can the Board and the public
12	denotes the total number of trees that are being	12	reasonably expect in terms of the maximum growth or
13	proposed. And if I could count 33 replacement trees;	13	height of these trees when they reach their full mature
14	is that correct?	14	height?
15	A. That is correct, 33.	15	A. The Nellie Stevens Hollies can get to be
16	Q. Okay. So 33 replacement trees are being	16	20, 25 feet. The American Hollies get to be bigger,
17	proposed. Five of which are the Nellie Holly, seven	17	30, 35 feet. The Norway Spruces are the really big
18	the American Holly, 15 Norway Spruce, and six Dark	18	ones. Those are the ones that can get to be a hundred
19	American Arborvitae; is that correct?	19	feet at some point. But they will probably only really
20	A. That is correct.	20	get to be about 60 feet in this situation. If they had
21	Q. Again referring to you Sheet L-3. Can you	21	perfect growing conditions they could reach that height
22	provide the Board, without me interrupting you, with an	22	but very doubtful. They'll probably get maxed out at
23	understanding of a little more detail about those type	23	about 60 feet.
24	of species, specifically as to what their height is at	24	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: How long will that
25	time of planting, how tall they will grow to be, and	25	take?
	5 01		
	Page 91		Page 92
1	Page 91	1	Page 92
1	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet?	1	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here
2	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years?	2	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are
2 3	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years.	2 3	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid.
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing	2 3 4	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds.	2 3 4 5	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone	2 3 4 5 6	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board	2 3 4 5 6 7	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you.
2 4 5 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else?
2 4 5 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a native species. The Nellie Stevens Holly is a hybrid	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a native tree. That's a fairly desirable tree. I wonder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a native species. The Nellie Stevens Holly is a hybrid species, but it's still kind of native and it serves a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a native tree. That's a fairly desirable tree. I wonder if you know anything about trees based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a native species. The Nellie Stevens Holly is a hybrid species, but it's still kind of native and it serves a good purpose because it is a very good species. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a native tree. That's a fairly desirable tree. I wonder if you know anything about trees based on THE WITNESS: I know more about trees than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a native species. The Nellie Stevens Holly is a hybrid species, but it's still kind of native and it serves a good purpose because it is a very good species. It's hard to kill. It grows they all grow very well in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a native tree. That's a fairly desirable tree. I wonder if you know anything about trees based on THE WITNESS: I know more about trees than the average person.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. I'd say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a native species. The Nellie Stevens Holly is a hybrid species, but it's still kind of native and it serves a good purpose because it is a very good species. It's hard to kill. It grows they all grow very well in just about any conditions. The I'm sorry. What was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a native tree. That's a fairly desirable tree. I wonder if you know anything about trees based on THE WITNESS: I know more about trees than the average person. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: We're average people.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a native species. The Nellie Stevens Holly is a hybrid species, but it's still kind of native and it serves a good purpose because it is a very good species. It's hard to kill. It grows they all grow very well in just about any conditions. The I'm sorry. What was the question exactly again?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a native tree. That's a fairly desirable tree. I wonder if you know anything about trees based on THE WITNESS: I know more about trees than the average person. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: We're average people. You're supposed to be an expert. Norway Spruce is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, Im sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a native species. The Nellie Stevens Holly is a hybrid species, but it's still kind of native and it serves a good purpose because it is a very good species. It's hard to kill. It grows they all grow very well in just about any conditions. The I'm sorry. What was the question exactly again? MR. SCHNEIDER: Which are native?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a native tree. That's a fairly desirable tree. I wonder if you know anything about trees based on THE WITNESS: I know more about trees than the average person. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: We're average people. You're supposed to be an expert. Norway Spruce is not native.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: To get to 60 feet? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Sixty years? THE WITNESS: No. Id say 30 years. MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Chairman, nothing further for Mr. Reynolds. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Excellent. Does anyone have any questions oh, I'm sorry. Does the Board have any questions? Any members of the public have questions? BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I do. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry, Hugh. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Mr. Reynolds, of the four species that you've selected can you tell me which ones are native species and the selection criteria? THE WITNESS: Yes, American Holly is a native species. The Nellie Stevens Holly is a hybrid species, but it's still kind of native and it serves a good purpose because it is a very good species. It's hard to kill. It grows they all grow very well in just about any conditions. The I'm sorry. What was the question exactly again? MR. SCHNEIDER: Which are native?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on the east coast is because the deer don't eat it here on the east coast. So basically there's two that are native. One that's semi-native because it's a hybrid. And then the other one which we only have six of them are normally grown on the west coast, but we use them a lot in the east now because of the deer problems that we have. BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anyone else? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the Norway Spruce is not native. Are you an Arborist? THE WITNESS: No. I'm not an Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You're not an Arborist. Norway Spruce is not native. Secondly, I never heard of Sassafras being a weed tree. That is a native tree. That's a fairly desirable tree. I wonder if you know anything about trees based on THE WITNESS: I know more about trees than the average person. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: We're average people. You're supposed to be an expert. Norway Spruce is not native. THE WITNESS: If you look at any of the New

	Page 93		Page 94
1	what were native; what weren't. You listed three	1	time. In five to 10 years you're going to see a much
2	trees. One is a variety, that's not native. Nothing	2	better buffer than is originally planted. In ten to
3	else.	3	20 years it will be beautiful.
4	THE WITNESS: I still feel that the Norway	4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anybody else on the
5	Spruce	5	Board?
6	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You feel?	6	Paul, do you have any thoughts on this?
7	THE WITNESS: is or is considered a	7	MR. FOX: No. I'm not going to get into
8	native tree in New Jersey.	8	the debate about the Norway Spruce, but it is a good
9	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's nonsense.	9	selection for this site because it's very hardy and
10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anybody else?	10	it's good survivability. So even in times where we're
11	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Different parts in	11	trying to select native material, that is often
12	New Jersey, right? Because this specific area I would	12	selected because of its durability, and its good growth
13	free with Alf that it's not.	13	rate.
14	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's not to the	14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
15	United States, period.	15	MR. SCHNEIDER: I should note for the
16	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: I'm wondering also	16	record that the landscaping plan that's reflected on
17	as a follow-up question, why aren't we more indigenous	17	L-1, L-2 and L-3 was the subject of significant comment
18	with our tree selection? Why isn't there any deciduous	18	on behalf of the Township. This was not developed
19	trees with color like we see around this town?	19	unilaterally by Verizon Wireless. So it should be
20	THE WITNESS: Well, we're really trying to	20	noted that Verizon Wireless worked cooperatively with
21	buffer them it with evergreen	21	the Township for the purposes of preparing the
22	BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: You're not buffering	22	landscaping.
23	with 5-, 6-feet high. And I'm hearing 30 years,	23	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I think that's right.
24	20 years.	24	Paul, were you involved with that?
25	THE WITNESS: The trees will grow over	25	MR. FOX: Yes, we had back and forth on
	Page 95		
	2090 00		Page 96
1	that.	1	Page 96
1 2	_	1 2	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally,
	that.		have to be fenced in until they become established to
2	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not	2 3 4	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so
2 3	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is	2 3 4 5	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to
2 3 4 5 6	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you.	2 3 4 5 6	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important	2 3 4 5 6 7	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon side.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and making sure it's successful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon side. MR. FOX: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and making sure it's successful. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Thank you. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon side. MR. FOX: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anybody else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and making sure it's successful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon side. MR. FOX: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and making sure it's successful. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Thank you. And who's checking up on it? MR. FOX: Normally that will be the Zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon side. MR. FOX: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anybody else? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Just one question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and making sure it's successful. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Thank you. And who's checking up on it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon side. MR. FOX: Correct. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Just one question for Paul. Survivability, that whole subject of new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and making sure it's successful. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Thank you. And who's checking up on it? MR. FOX: Normally that will be the Zoning Officer or myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon side. MR. FOX: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anybody else? BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Just one question for Paul. Survivability, that whole subject of new species, new trees, young trees, what needs to be done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and making sure it's successful. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Thank you. And who's checking up on it? MR. FOX: Normally that will be the Zoning Officer or myself. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, do you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I believe that's accurate. But it is important that the testimony is accurate, and this testimony is not MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it's important that the testimony is accurate, and this gentleman is not accurate. I agree with Mr. Fox that there's reasons that Norway Spruce is because they are good screeners, they are pretty hardy, et cetera, but it's also important to have accurate testimony. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. I just denote, though, that this landscape plan was the product of Paul working with, I guess, either Verizon or you, right? But certainly somebody on the Verizon side. MR. FOX: Correct. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Just one question for Paul. Survivability, that whole subject of new species, new trees, young trees, what needs to be done to maintain those trees, especially in the initial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have to be fenced in until they become established to prevent browsing by deer and damage by deer. Normally, that will be a two-year period. But also all the trees that have to come with a two-year nursery guarantee, so if they didn't survive for two years they would have to be replaced by the Applicant. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Who's maintaining that? Whose program is it? Are there gator bags on these trees? Do they have irrigation? MR. FOX: The Applicant's responsible. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: But is there a plan in place for irrigation? MR. FOX: Trees this size I wouldn't expect that they'll need irrigation, but if they do it's going to be the Applicant's responsibility. They're responsible for establishing this landscape plan and making sure it's successful. BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Thank you. And who's checking up on it? MR. FOX: Normally that will be the Zoning Officer or myself. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, do you want to go first or would you like to

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

	Page 97		Page 98
1	He'll be done very quickly.	1	architect, and one of them kicked the bucket. And in
2	MR. SIMON: Unless you know what	2	30 years there are going to be long telephone poles
3	MR. WEEKS: I'm the only non-contestant	3	with no foliage underneath them.
4	here.	4	That's my personal experience with Norway
5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Why don't you come on	5	Spruce. A lot of people plant them as foundation
6	down.	6	plantings
7	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. That's my bad.	7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So the question is
8	MR. WEEKS: My impression was	8	what
9	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Speak into the	9	MR. WEEKS: What do you expect this to look
10	microphone.	10	like in 20 years?
11	MR. WEEKS: My name is Richard Weeks, Lees	11	MR. MLENAK: Mr. Reynolds?
12	Hill Road, W-e-e-k-s, 132 Lees Hill Road.	12	THE WITNESS: We expect it to look like a
13	I'm new to this process, but I do have some	13	natural evergreen screen that you will be blocking the
14	experience with trees and I'm a resident of the town,	14	visuals of the yard. And basically we have the Norway
15	and my experience and I'm also a contractor. And my	15	Spruce on the edge with the smaller evergreens behind
16	experience with this particular species is people plant	16	it. So when they do if they were to lose their
17	it sort of as a hedge. The spacing on this tree is in	17	lower branches the hollies would be behind it with the
18	a natural state, not what you're seeing here I suspect.	18	dark green Arborvitaes to fill in those gaps. That's
19	MR. MLENAK: So Mr. Weeks, I just want to	19	why
20	make sure you're going to get into a question, because	20	MR. WEEKS: Do you expect all those trees
21	this is question time.	21	to survive?
22	MR. WEEKS: Well, my question is, what do	22	THE WITNESS: If they don't survive in the
23	you really expect this to look like in a few years.	23	first two years we'll have to replace them. So we're
24	And what are you going to if I mean, my neighbor's	24	going to try to get them to survive. We're going to
25	planted three or four of these, he's a landscape	25	plant them as best we can.
	Page 99		Page 100
1	MR. WEEKS: And in nature, what will the	1	the last 20 years
1 2	MR. WEEKS: And in nature, what will the spacing of this species be?	1	the last 20 years. Q. And you're not an arborist; correct?
	spacing of this species be?	1	Q. And you're not an arborist; correct?
2	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be	2	Q. And you're not an arborist; correct?A. No, I'm not.
2 3	spacing of this species be?	2 3	Q. And you're not an arborist; correct?A. No, I'm not.Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon
2 3 4	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be	2 3 4	Q. And you're not an arborist; correct?A. No, I'm not.
2 3 4 5	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some	2 3 4 5	Q. And you're not an arborist; correct?A. No, I'm not.Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application?
2 3 4 5 6	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And you're not an arborist; correct?A. No, I'm not.Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application?A. Probably about six months ago.
2 3 4 5 6 7	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon? EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and you're responsible for the proposed landscaping plan on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon? EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Reynolds, you signed and sealed the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and you're responsible for the proposed landscaping plan on L-1, L-2, and L-3? A. Yes, I am. Q. And when you created this so this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon? EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Reynolds, you signed and sealed the plan of E2 Project Management with regard to L-1, L-2,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and you're responsible for the proposed landscaping plan on L-1, L-2, and L-3? A. Yes, I am. Q. And when you created this so this landscaping plan was submitted by the Applicant on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon? EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Reynolds, you signed and sealed the plan of E2 Project Management with regard to L-1, L-2, and L-3 of A-36? A. Yes, I it. Q. Do you typically do that for other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and you're responsible for the proposed landscaping plan on L-1, L-2, and L-3? A. Yes, I am. Q. And when you created this so this landscaping plan was submitted by the Applicant on March 6th of 2023, is that fair to say based on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon? EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Reynolds, you signed and sealed the plan of E2 Project Management with regard to L-1, L-2, and L-3 of A-36? A. Yes, I it. Q. Do you typically do that for other engineers? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and you're responsible for the proposed landscaping plan on L-1, L-2, and L-3? A. Yes, I am. Q. And when you created this so this landscaping plan was submitted by the Applicant on March 6th of 2023, is that fair to say based on the notations on the plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon? EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Reynolds, you signed and sealed the plan of E2 Project Management with regard to L-1, L-2, and L-3 of A-36? A. Yes, I it. Q. Do you typically do that for other engineers? A. Yes. I have done that in the past. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and you're responsible for the proposed landscaping plan on L-1, L-2, and L-3? A. Yes, I am. Q. And when you created this so this landscaping plan was submitted by the Applicant on March 6th of 2023, is that fair to say based on the notations on the plan? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon? EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Reynolds, you signed and sealed the plan of E2 Project Management with regard to L-1, L-2, and L-3 of A-36? A. Yes, I it. Q. Do you typically do that for other engineers? A. Yes. I have done that in the past. Q. How often have you done that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and you're responsible for the proposed landscaping plan on L-1, L-2, and L-3? A. Yes, I am. Q. And when you created this so this landscaping plan was submitted by the Applicant on March 6th of 2023, is that fair to say based on the notations on the plan? A. Yes. Q. And by March 6th of 2023 when this plan was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 spacing of this species be? THE WITNESS: In nature they could be overlapping a lot. We've given them room to grow to be able to flourish a little bit better, to give them some room, because when they grow too close sometimes in nature they aren't as hardy, they aren't as happy. MR. WEEKS: So what is the spacing in nature? THE WITNESS: Norway Spruces grow between 25-to-30-feet wide. I think we're going to put them about 20-foot on center. MR. WEEKS: Well, we'll see. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Mr. Simon? EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON: Q. Mr. Reynolds, you signed and sealed the plan of E2 Project Management with regard to L-1, L-2, and L-3 of A-36? A. Yes, I it. Q. Do you typically do that for other engineers? A. Yes. I have done that in the past. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And you're not an arborist; correct? A. No, I'm not. Q. And when were you first retained by Verizon for this application? A. Probably about six months ago. Q. So prior to six months ago you've had no involvement with regard to this project; correct? A. That's correct. Q. And how many times have you been to the site? A. I've only been there once. Q. And when was that? A. About two weeks ago. Q. And when you so when you put this and you're responsible for the proposed landscaping plan on L-1, L-2, and L-3? A. Yes, I am. Q. And when you created this so this landscaping plan was submitted by the Applicant on March 6th of 2023, is that fair to say based on the notations on the plan? A. Yes.

	Page 101		Page 102
1		1	-
2	A. No. I hadn't been to the site yet.	1 2	we had to produce to get a revised plan. Q. So the information about the 67 different
3	Q. So with regard to the existing tree removal chart that is contained in L-1 that was submitted on	3	trees that are identified here you did not, because you
4	March 6, 2023, it contains when you turn to it. You	4	had not been retained, you didn't yourself identify any
5	got it?	5	of these trees; correct?
6	A. I got it.	6	A. No. But when I went out a couple of weeks
7	Q. It contains information with regard to 67	7	ago I did check this plan out and it looked to be very
8	trees; is that accurate?	8	accurate.
9	A. Yes, that's accurate.	9	Q. Okay. So we'll get to that. So with
10	Q. Did you create, per Mr. Murawski, the	10	regard to the identification the identity of the
11	contents of the existing tree removal chart that's	11	number of trees, the name of the trees, the diameter
12	contained on L-1 of A-36?	12	breast height, the condition of the tree, and the
13	A. I didn't create the content but I did	13	status of the tree, you did not prepare any of that
14	review it.	14	information that is contained on L-1 of A-36; correct?
15	Q. So you didn't create the content, but you	15	A. No, I didn't prepare that information, but
16	reviewed it. When did you first review it?	16	I did
17	A. When I first looked at the plans.	17	MR. SCHNEIDER: Let him finish the answer.
18	Q. When did you first look at the plans, sir?	18	MR. SIMON: I asked him if he had prepared
19	A. Probably four to six months ago.	19	the information and he said he didn't. I'm ready for
20	Q. When you first were retained?	20	my next question.
21	A. When I was first retained I was looking at	21	MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. But he's not
22	some plans, yes.	22	finished with his answer.
23	Q. So you looked at these plans?	23	THE WITNESS: I did look at some of the
24	A. Not this plan. It was an older plan. It	24	conditions of the trees, and for instance, there's a
25	was the plan before this one. This was the plan that	25	25-inch Red Maple there that they had concern about.
		<u> </u>	
	Page 103		D = 104
			Page 104
1	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw	1	Page 104 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them
1 2		1 2	-
	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw	1	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them
2	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was	2	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists?
2 3	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking	2 3 4 5	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my
2 3 4 5 6	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON:	2 3 4 5 6	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very
2 3 4 5 6 7	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you	2 3 4 5 6 7	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing. MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm just telling you what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. You yourself did not determined what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing. MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm just telling you what his qualifications are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. You yourself did not determined what tree identifications to put red lines through because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing. MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm just telling you what his qualifications are. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Okay. But he's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. You yourself did not determined what tree identifications to put red lines through because you had not yet been to the site at the time that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing. MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm just telling you what his qualifications are. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Okay. But he's not an arborist. He can't tell if trees whether they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. You yourself did not determined what tree identifications to put red lines through because you had not yet been to the site at the time that this plan was submitted; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing. MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm just telling you what his qualifications are. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Okay. But he's not an arborist. He can't tell if trees whether they're living or dying, he can't tell species. His testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. You yourself did not determined what tree identifications to put red lines through because you had not yet been to the site at the time that this plan was submitted; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing. MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm just telling you what his qualifications are. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Okay. But he's not an arborist. He can't tell if trees whether they're living or dying, he can't tell species. His testimony might be primarily valid for things you want to do but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. You yourself did not determined what tree identifications to put red lines through because you had not yet been to the site at the time that this plan was submitted; correct? A. No, I did not. But I worked very closely with the guys at E2PM and I've known the people that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing. MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm just telling you what his qualifications are. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Okay. But he's not an arborist. He can't tell if trees whether they're living or dying, he can't tell species. His testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And I did look at that condition of that tree. I saw that it looked like it was growing pretty well. It was healthy, and we're trying to save it. So I was looking at the trees that we were trying to save and I think we can. BY MR. SIMON: Q. That's not I didn't ask you whether you went out after the fact, I'm asking you whether you were responsible for contents of anything contained in the existing tree removal chart that's on L-1. And you answered, no, you're not; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to the red lines that are on there, that you testified in response to Mr. Schneider's question, represented trees that are to be removed, that's what you testified to; correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. You yourself did not determined what tree identifications to put red lines through because you had not yet been to the site at the time that this plan was submitted; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Were any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: Wetlands specialist, yes. They are very good at what they do. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not my question. Can you just answer my question. It's very simple. Are any of them arborists? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: You don't know. You know, we had testimony, Mike, about tree expertise. I would say any expertise regarding what an arborist should provide he doesn't have it. MR. SCHNEIDER: He's a certified landscape architect. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not the same thing. MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm just telling you what his qualifications are. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Okay. But he's not an arborist. He can't tell if trees whether they're living or dying, he can't tell species. His testimony might be primarily valid for things you want to do but he's not an arborist. He's not an expert.

	Page 105		Page 106
1	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not a licensed	1	A. Yes, I did.
2	arborist.	2	Q. Okay. And did you identify while you were
3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So you're not an expert	3	on-site each of these trees that are slated to be
4	arborist.	4	removed?
5	MR. FOX: What's your training at Paul	5	A. I did not identified each of them, no.
6	Smith's College?	6	Q. So if I was to tell you that of the 32
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did have some training	7	trees that you've identified as to be removed would it
8	at Paul Smith's.	8	surprise you if I told you that some of these trees
9	MR. FOX: What was your degree?	9	have already been removed?
10	THE WITNESS: Forest recreation.	10	A. Would that surprise me? No.
11	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's not an	11	Q. Based on your visit to the site with this
12	arborist.	12	L-1 that you signed, A-36, do you know how many trees
13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I agree. Continue. Go	13	of the 32 that you've identified as to be removed have
14	ahead.	14	already been removed?
15	BY MR. SIMON:	15	A. I have no idea. There is so much dead wood
16	Q. With regard to let me go back. So other	16	and down wood out there it's really ugly. It needs to
17	than you being on the site two weeks ago you had never	17	be cleaned up.
18	been to the site before; correct?	18	Q. You provided sworn testimony that you
19	A. That's correct.	19	verified the information that's contained on L-1 of
20	Q. And when you were at the site two weeks ago	20	A-36; do you remember doing that?
21	did you have this existing tree removal chart with you	21	A. Everything that I've seen on this plan has
22	that you did not prepare?	22	been correct. I haven't found anything that's been
23	A. Yes, I did.	23	wrong.
24	Q. And at the time when you had it you had it	24	Q. But did you verify all the information on
25	with the red lines through it; right?	25	the existing tree removal chart in terms of to verify
	Page 107		Page 108
1	that the location of the tree identified on this plan	1	pretty much. T-37, I believe I saw. And that was all
2	that you signed, you signed it before you went out to	2	I cared about at that time because those are the only
3	the site?	3	trees that are close to where the monopole is going.
4	A. Because I work so closely with the guys at	4	And that's where our plantings were going to go, too.
5	E2PM, actually worked with for many, many years, we are	5	So that's why we had to look at those.
6	thinking very much along the same lines. And I trust	6	Q. So other than T-37 and T-38 you didn't
7	E2PM and I haven't seen anything wrong.	7	identify any of the other 65 trees that are in the
8	Q. So of the 67 trees which of the trees did	8	existing tree removal chart that you signed; correct?
9	you go out and identify as being in the right location,	9	A. No. There was no reason to do that.
10	being the correct DBH, that they're alive versus dead	10	Q. And sir, did you review any of the prior
11	or dying, and that they are either native or invasive	11	plans submitted in connection with this application by
12	species?	12	E2 Project Management that you worked so closely with?
13	A. I was just looking at the compound area	13	A. I only saw the last landscape plan prior to
14	where we were putting the pole and I verified whatever	14	this one.
15	was in that area to be true.	15	Q. When you say the last landscape plan prior
16	Q. So which trees are those that are in the	16	to this one, are you referring to A-34 which is from
17	compound area?	17	March 18th of 2022?
18	A. The T-48, T-51, T	18	A. I'm not certain if that was the last plan
19	Q. Hold on. Hold on. I'm going to write this	19	submitted, then yes.
20 21	down. This is good.	20	Q. I'm going to show it to you. I'm going to
21	A. The T-48.Q. So the Red Maple you identified?	21 22	show you what's been marked previously in this matter as A-34. It's an E2 Project Management plan dated
22	Q. So the Red Maple you identified?A. Yes.	22	3/18/2022, and I'm specifically referring to SP-2; do
23	A. Fes.Q. As alive and native. Go ahead.	23	you see that?
25	A. I was just looking for the alive ones,	25	A. Yes. I do recall seeing this.
	-		-

	Page 109	Page 110
1	Q. And did you did you review the	1 Q. And when you say "wide," are you talking
2	information as to tree species to remain and tree	2 about the root systems? What are you talking about
3	species to be removed on SP-2?	3 when you say wide?
4	A. No. I didn't get involved with this plan	4 A. I'm talking about the branch systems and
5	at all.	5 the root systems. In theory the roots are the same
6	Q. So you didn't opine at all or even review	6 Q. As the branch systems, right? So the
7	this plan in terms of the appropriateness of the	7 canopy of the tree should basically mimic the extent to
8	landscaping that was proposed at the time; correct?	8 which the root systems branch out?
9	A. That was done by E2PM, because they have	9 A. In theory.
10	been involved with the project since the first day.	10 Q. And so if I told you that in this
11	Q. And when you talked about the hollies and	11 application originally 12 trees were going to be
12	how much they're going to grow a year, and maximums,	12 removed, and then seven trees were going to be removed,
13	and the Norway Spruce, where did you get that	13 and now 33 trees are going to be removed.
14	information from?	14 Do you know based on your involvement in
15	A. It's all from our DIRR Manual of plants	15 this project how we went from seven trees to be removed
16	that all landscape architects use.	16 to now 33 trees to be removed in literally one year?
17	Q. So it's a manual that you refer to?	17 A. Like I said, the Emerald the Ash Bore
18	A. Yes. It's a reference manual that's	18 has been killing a lot of Ash Trees. There's plenty of
19	basically considered the Bible for landscape	19Ash Trees out there. Most of them or all of them are
20	architects.	20 dead and it's just a dead, dying situation back there.
21	Q. And is it fair to say so for example,	21 You've got to get rid of all that dead wood and plant
22	you talked about the Norway Spruce growing to be about	22 some good stuff in there.
23	20-to-25-feet wide, is that	23 MR. SCHNEIDER: I would note for the record
24	A. Sure. It could be could get to be	2.4 Mr. Reynolds had testified that he wasn't familiar with
25	30-feet wide.	25 the prior plan.
	Page 111	Page 112
1	-	
1	BY MR. SIMON:	1 Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?
2	BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees,	 Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago? A. It could be the soil. It could be the
2 3	BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago,	 Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago? A. It could be the soil. It could be the 3 species. It could be different things.
2 3 4	BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease?	 Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago? A. It could be the soil. It could be the species. It could be different things. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one
2 3	BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago,	 Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago? A. It could be the soil. It could be the 3 species. It could be different things.
2 3 4 5	BY MR. SIMON:Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease?A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease.	1 Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago? 2 A. It could be the soil. It could be the 3 species. It could be different things. 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one 5 second. 6 Paul, aside from the trees that are located
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. SIMON:Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease?A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease.Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease?	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. SIMON:Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease?A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease.Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that14happens.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that14happens.15CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash Borer? 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that14happens.15CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of16anybody going in, cutting live trees outside of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash Borer? A. Yes. 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that14happens.15CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of16anybody going in, cutting live trees outside of that17equipment compound? I know you don't know everything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash Borer? A. Yes. Q. And what's the other and to Mr. 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that14happens.15CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of16anybody going in, cutting live trees outside of that17equipment compound? I know you don't know everything18that goes on in town.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash Borer? A. Yes. Q. And what's the other and to Mr. Schneider's point, you don't know the conditions of those trees a year ago; right? A. No. 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that14happens.15CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of16anybody going in, cutting live trees outside of that17equipment compound? I know you don't know everything18that goes on in town.19MR. FOX: Not that I'm aware of, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Disease. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash Borer? A. Yes. Q. And what's the other and to Mr. Schneider's point, you don't know the conditions of those trees a year ago; right? A. No. Q. Okay. And with regard to the other two 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that14happens.15CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of16anybody going in, cutting live trees outside of that17equipment compound? I know you don't know everything18that goes on in town.19MR. FOX: Not that I'm aware of, no.20CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: The point is, if21they're dead trees it seems reasonable to have them22removed. The cause of their death, does it matter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Diseases. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash Borer? A. Yes. Q. And what's the other and to Mr. Schneider's point, you don't know the conditions of those trees a year ago; right? A. No. Q. Okay. And with regard to the other two thirds, what's why are they dead or dying or 	1Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago?2A. It could be the soil. It could be the3species. It could be different things.4CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one5second.6Paul, aside from the trees that are located7where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that8are proposed to be removed here or already have been9removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you10know?11MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one12by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either13dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that14happens.15CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of16anybody going in, cutting live trees outside of that17equipment compound? I know you don't know everything18that goes on in town.19MR. FOX: Not that I'm aware of, no.20CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: The point is, if21they're dead trees it seems reasonable to have them22MR. SIMON: Well, let me ask him a couple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Diseases. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash Borer? A. Yes. Q. And what's the other and to Mr. Schneider's point, you don't know the conditions of those trees a year ago; right? A. No. Q. Okay. And with regard to the other two thirds, what's why are they dead or dying or diseased? 	1 Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago? 2 A. It could be the soil. It could be the 3 species. It could be different things. 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one 5 second. 6 Paul, aside from the trees that are located 7 where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that 8 are proposed to be removed here or already have been 9 removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you 10 know? 11 MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one 12 by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either 13 dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that 14 happens. 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of 16 anybody going in, cutting live trees outside of that 17 equipment compound? I know you don't know everything 18 that goes on in town. 19 MR. FOX: Not that I'm aware of, no. 20 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: The point is, if 21 they're dead trees it seems reasonable to have them 22 removed. The cause of their death, does it matter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. SIMON: Q. With regard to the 23 dead or dying trees, how many of them, based on your visit two weeks ago, are to be removed because of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I think about 35 percent was for the Ash Borer Diseases. Q. And how did you come up with 35 percent of the Ash Borer Disease? A. I just added up the numbers and then divided them. Q. You added up what numbers? A. The numbers of the Ash Trees that were dead or dying and then I divided them by the percentage of how many were to be removed. Q. So one-third of them were due to the Ash Borer? A. Yes. Q. And what's the other and to Mr. Schneider's point, you don't know the conditions of those trees a year ago; right? A. No. Q. Okay. And with regard to the other two thirds, what's why are they dead or dying or 	1 Q. Based on your investigation two weeks ago? 2 A. It could be the soil. It could be the 3 species. It could be different things. 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, just one 5 second. 6 Paul, aside from the trees that are located 7 where the equipment's going, are any of the trees that 8 are proposed to be removed here or already have been 9 removed alive, or were these all dead trees; do you 10 know? 11 MR. FOX: I didn't inventory them each one 12 by one. Certainly, any of the Ash Trees are either 13 dead or will be dead and need to be removed before that 14 happens. 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Are you aware of 16 anybody going in, cutting live trees outside of that 17 equipment compound? I know you don't know everything 18 that goes on in town. 19 MR. FOX: Not that I'm aware of, no. 20 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: The point is, if 21 they're dead trees it seems reasonable to have them 22 MR. SIMON: Well, let me ask him a couple

	Page 113		Page 114
1	BY MR. SIMON:	1	some point doesn't have to be removed right away?
2	Q. So with regard to because I I have	2	A. Yes. That could be a situation where a
3	personal experience with this. So with regard to the	3	tree doesn't have to be removed immediately, but
4	Emerald Ash Trees, right, there's certainly	4	Q. Okay. And then with regard to these
5	circumstances where an arborist will determine that	5	there are certain trees here that have two DBH
6	even though they're Ash Trees and may have some disease	6	measurements. Do you know what that means?
7	that the recommendation is actually not to remove them	7	A. Two DBH measurements?
8	at a certain moment in time based on the advice of the	8	Q. Yes.
9	arborist that, in fact, they have certain number of	9	A. Yes. It's a twin tree.
10	years left and they're budding, and they don't need to	10	Q. What's that mean?
11	be removed just yet. Have you experienced that?	11	A. It has two stumps coming out of it.
12	A. No. My experience is that basically	12	Q. And do you if I told you that the total
13	they're getting rid of the Ash Trees right now.	13	DBH of the trees that you on your plan that you
14	They're not trying to save them, they're getting rid of	14	signed intend to be removed, the 32 trees have a total
15	them.	15	diameter breast height of approximately 30 feet, would
16	Q. I didn't use sorry. I didn't use the	16	that surprise you?
17	word "save." I said just "defer" on actually getting	17	A. No.
18	rid of them because they are sprouting leaves and	18	Q. If I told you that the trees that the 33
19	flowers and they look nice, and maybe even near a cell	19	trees that you intend to plant, the saplings, that at
20	tower that they would provide some form of screening?	20	the time of planting will have a combined diameter
21	A. So what's the question?	21	breast height of approximately 9 feet. Would that
22	Q. So the question is, have you had an	22	surprise you or I'm sorry, eight and a third?
23	experience where an arborist that you may work with in	23	A. No.
24	your profession makes a determination that a certain	24	Q. And with regard to this chart that you
25	tree that may be dying or may be going to be dead at	25	signed, did you identify at any time, including two
	D 11 F		
	Page 115		Page 116
1	weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the	1	like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether
2	weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal	2	like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes
2 3	weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart?	2 3	like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red?
2 3 4	weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No.	2 3 4	like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species.
2 3 4 5	weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two	2 3 4 5	like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red?A. That could be a different tree species.Q. But you don't know; right?
2 3 4 5 6	weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart?A. No.Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in	2 3 4 5 6	like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red?A. That could be a different tree species.Q. But you don't know; right?A. No, I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7	weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart?A. No.Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you	2 3 4 5 6 7	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart?A. No.Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Right. And you didn't create this plan, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the size I'll call it the size of the circle, are those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the size I'll call it the size of the circle, are those accurate based on the scale of this plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Right. And you didn't create this plan, but do you know so do you know who put those legends 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the size I'll call it the size of the circle, are those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Right. And you didn't create this plan, but do you know so do you know who put those legends in the proper places on this L-1 of A-36? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the size I'll call it the size of the circle, are those accurate based on the scale of this plan? A. They should be pretty accurate based upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Right. And you didn't create this plan, but do you know so do you know who put those legends in the proper places on this L-1 of A-36? A. No. I don't know exactly who. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the size I'll call it the size of the circle, are those accurate based on the scale of this plan? A. They should be pretty accurate based upon the DBH of the tree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Right. And you didn't create this plan, but do you know so do you know who put those legends in the proper places on this L-1 of A-36? A. No. I don't know exactly who. Q. And do you know, Mr. Reynolds, and maybe 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the size I'll call it the size of the circle, are those accurate based on the scale of this plan? A. They should be pretty accurate based upon the DBH of the tree. Q. How did you make that conclusion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Right. And you didn't create this plan, but do you know so do you know who put those legends in the proper places on this L-1 of A-36? A. No. I don't know exactly who. Q. And do you know, Mr. Reynolds, and maybe just because of the printing, so there's certain trees 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the size I'll call it the size of the circle, are those accurate based on the scale of this plan? A. They should be pretty accurate based upon the DBH of the tree. Q. How did you make that conclusion? A. We in my office we make conclusions like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 weeks ago the one time that you were at the site, the height of these trees in your existing tree removal chart? A. No. Q. Did you identify at any time, including two weeks ago, the canopy diameter of any of these trees in your existing tree removal chart inventory that you signed off on? A. No, I did not. Q. And with regard to and I apologize, because I may get this wrong and I'm the first to admit it. On L-1 of A-36 there are there's an identification of trees to be removed, existing pine trees to remain, and existing trees to remain; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Right. And you didn't create this plan, but do you know so do you know who put those legends in the proper places on this L-1 of A-36? A. No. I don't know exactly who. Q. And do you know, Mr. Reynolds, and maybe just because of the printing, so there's certain trees here, like so, for example, T-46, it says White Ash 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 like spokes that are coming out. Do you know whether there's a distinction between the spokes and the spokes with the circle on them that are in red? A. That could be a different tree species. Q. But you don't know; right? A. No, I don't know. Q. So there's a scale on this I think there's a scale. I thought there was a scale. Is there a scale on your plan that you signed L-1? A. There is a scale on it. It says one inch equals 10 feet. Q. Can you just show me where that is, identify where that is? I lost it. Oh, there it is. I'm sorry. My fault. So you have one inch equals 10 feet as a scale. So are the legend, tree legends in terms of the size I'll call it the size of the circle, are those accurate based on the scale of this plan? A. They should be pretty accurate based upon the DBH of the tree. Q. How did you make that conclusion? like that. We have certain tree diameters that we put with

	Page 117		Page 118
1	canopy.	1	recall how many trees on your plan that you signed are
2	Q. And is that something that's in your manual	2	dead is dead, as opposed to dying?
3	that you're referring to?	3	A. Somewhere around 20.
4	A. No. That's in our survey manual when we	4	Q. Twenty. Okay. So did you identify
5	locate our trees when we survey that's how we identify	5	yourself of the 20 dead-is-dead trees whether any of
6	them and we put them on the plan so that they're all	6	them have any leaves or flowers currently?
7	different sizes close to what they should be.	7	A. No, I didn't. Because who wants a tree
8	Q. But you don't know especially in the	8	that's only got 10 percent of life?
9	case of 33 I'm sorry, my bad. In the case of 23	9	Q. Did you as a landscape architect let me
10	dead or dying trees how big those canopies actually	10	back up. You understand that you were retained by
11	are; right?	11	Verizon in connection with a wireless
12	A. They're dead.	12	telecommunications application; correct?
13	Q. But you don't know how big the canopies	13	A. Correct.
14	are; correct?	14	Q. And have you worked on telecommunications
15	A. They don't really have a canopy. It's dead	15	applications before?
16	standing wood.	16	A. Yes, actually, I have.
17	Q. So are there circumstances where dead or	17	Q. How many times have you?
18	dying trees sprout leaves or flowers?	18	A. Not in a while. Back about ten or 15 years
19	A. Dead is dead. Dead doesn't sprout.	19	ago we were doing quite a few.
20	Q. So somebody else other than you determined	20	Q. So other in the last ten to 15 years you
21	whether something dead is dead; right?	21	haven't the worked on any of these applications? This
22	A. Yes.	22	is the first one, right?
23	Q. Right. Now, so with regard to something	23	A. I believe you're right, yes.
24	that's dying, right well, let me back up. In terms	24	Q. And with regard to telecommunications
25	of the dead-is-dead trees that are identified, do you	25	applications you understand even from, you know, back
	Page 119		D 100
	Tage II)		Page 120
1	in the day when you used to work on these that a	1	Page 120 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know?
1 2	_	1 2	
	in the day when you used to work on these that a	1	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know?
2	in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding	2	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know?A. It looks like 9.04 and 55.Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting
2 3	in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely.	2 3	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one
2 3 4	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this 	2 3 4	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know?A. It looks like 9.04 and 55.Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property?
2 3 4 5	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not 	2 3 4 5	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04.
2 3 4 5 6	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration 	2 3 4 5 6	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know?A. It looks like 9.04 and 55.Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into consideration any other residence or surrounding 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan on L-2, we'll jump around for a second because you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into consideration any other residence or surrounding residence or surrounding property in your signed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan on L-2, we'll jump around for a second because you raised it. What about that plan is providing screening
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into consideration any other residence or surrounding residence or surrounding property in your signed landscaping plan? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan on L-2, we'll jump around for a second because you raised it. What about that plan is providing screening for Lot 55, the Conine property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into consideration any other residence or surrounding residence or surrounding property in your signed landscaping plan? A. Tm not sure I understood that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan on L-2, we'll jump around for a second because you raised it. What about that plan is providing screening for Lot 55, the Conine property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into consideration any other residence or surrounding residence or surrounding property in your signed landscaping plan? A. Tm not sure I understood that. Q. You talked about the residents to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan on L-2, we'll jump around for a second because you raised it. What about that plan is providing screening for Lot 55, the Conine property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into consideration any other residence or surrounding residence or surrounding property in your signed landscaping plan? A. Tm not sure I understood that. Q. You talked about the residents to the south? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan on L-2, we'll jump around for a second because you raised it. What about that plan is providing screening for Lot 55, the Conine property? A. Lot 55 already has existing vegetation out there and there are White Pine Trees along that property line that do a very good job with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into consideration any other residence or surrounding residence or surrounding property in your signed landscaping plan? A. I'm not sure I understood that. Q. You talked about the residents to the south? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan on L-2, we'll jump around for a second because you raised it. What about that plan is providing screening for Lot 55, the Conine property? A. Lot 55 already has existing vegetation out there and there are White Pine Trees along that property line that do a very good job with the buffering.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 in the day when you used to work on these that a relevant consideration would be to a surrounding residential neighborhood such as this one would be visual impact; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. And did you when you reviewed this landscaping plan that was signed by you but not submitted by you, did you take into consideration visual impact? A. Yes. Q. Visual impact to whom? A. To the neighboring properties to the south. Q. And you had not been to the neighborhood when you created your landscaping plan to take into account the residential property to the south; correct? A. Correct. Q. And with regard to can you take into consideration any other residence or surrounding residence or surrounding property in your signed landscaping plan? A. Tm not sure I understood that. Q. You talked about the residents to the south? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 south," which lot is that? Is that do you know? A. It looks like 9.04 and 55. Q. Okay. So it's Block 55 Lot 9.04. That one property. So other than that one property in putting together your landscaping plan did you take into consideration any other surrounding property? MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he said 55 and 9.04. I think he was referring to both properties, not one property. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Okay. Thank you Rich for the clarification. So you created so the landscaping plan took account 9.04 and 55; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Okay. So in terms of your landscaping plan on L-2, we'll jump around for a second because you raised it. What about that plan is providing screening for Lot 55, the Conine property? A. Lot 55 already has existing vegetation out there and there are White Pine Trees along that property line that do a very good job with the

	Page 121		Page 122
1	referring to, are they shown on L-2?	1	10.1 all along the Lot 55 border are slated to be
2	A. They are turned off on L-2. You can see	2	removed because they got that red line through them;
3	the labels T-5, T-6, T-7.	3	right?
4	Q. What do you mean "turned off? What does	4	A. Yes.
5	that mean?	5	Q. And is that some of the buffer or screening
6	A. In AutoCAD there are different layers and	6	that you were talking about that's adequate enough
7	sometimes layers get turned off accidentally by a	7	to
8	draftsman. There are hundreds of layers in there. If	8	A. That's not part of that buffer and
9	one layer gets turned off then a tree might disappear.	9	screening. I was talking about the White Pine Trees.
10	Q. So on L-2 of A-36 there was some some	10	Now, Pine Trees are very good screening. The dead
11	layers got turned off, right? It looks like -	11	trees are not and we're going to get rid of them.
12	A. It looks like it has a couple of missing	12	Q. And the Pine Trees how tall are they right
13	trees there.	13	now?
14	Q. A couple some missing trees there,	14	A. Oh, geez. I don't know. Probably 30-foot,
15	right? And that's the L-2 plan that you signed; right?	15	would be my guess.
16	A. That's correct.	16	Q. The trees along your testimony is that
17	Q. Okay. And so your professional opinion is	17	the Pine Trees along Lot 55 are 30-feet tall on the DPW
18	that you don't need any additional landscaping for Lot	18	property?
19	55 because of the existing vegetation in that area;	19	A. That's my guess at this time, yes.
20	correct?	20	Q. And do you know and you're saying
21	A. Correct.	21	these are ones that have the diameter breast height of
22	Q. And I notice that there's certain	22	six, 6.9, 4, 6.3. Those are 30-feet tall?
23	vegetation such as T-15, T-13. So for example, T-13 at	23	A. Those 6-inch trees they could be 20- to
24	a diameter breast height of 16.8, and T-15 diameter	24	30-feet tall, White Pines.
25	breast height of 27, T-16 diameter breast height of	25	Q. And when you're talking about the screening
	Page 123		Page 124
	1090 120		rage 124
1	from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're	1	Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to
1 2	_	1 2	_
	from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're	1	Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to
2	from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is.	2	Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow
2 3	from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04?	2 3	Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right?
2 3 4	from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what?A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is.Q. And regarding Lot 9.04?A. That's where the majority of the screening	2 3 4	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it.
2 3 4 5	from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going.	2 3 4 5	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON:
2 3 4 5 6	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping 	2 3 4 5 6	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot contemplates the 15 Norway Spruce after a period of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow. Depends on the living conditions. They could grow 2 to 3 feet a year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot contemplates the 15 Norway Spruce after a period of 30 years; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow. Depends on the living conditions. They could grow 2 to 3 feet a year. Q. You talked about a two-year I think you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot contemplates the 15 Norway Spruce after a period of 30 years; right? A. So what's the question? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow. Depends on the living conditions. They could grow 2 to 3 feet a year. Q. You talked about a two-year I think you said, and I apologize. I might have gotten this wrong.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot contemplates the 15 Norway Spruce after a period of 30 years; right? A. So what's the question? Q. When you say you testified earlier that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow. Depends on the living conditions. They could grow 2 to 3 feet a year. Q. You talked about a two-year I think you said, and I apologize. I might have gotten this wrong. A two-year guarantee, right, with these trees?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot contemplates the 15 Norway Spruce after a period of 30 years; right? A. So what's the question? Q. When you say you testified earlier that they're going to grow to 60-feet max after 30 years; 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow. Depends on the living conditions. They could grow 2 to 3 feet a year. Q. You talked about a two-year I think you said, and I apologize. I might have gotten this wrong. A two-year guarantee, right, with these trees? A. I think Paul had mentioned that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot contemplates the 15 Norway Spruce after a period of 30 years; right? A. So what's the question? Q. When you say you testified earlier that they're going to grow to 60-feet max after 30 years; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow. Depends on the living conditions. They could grow 2 to 3 feet a year. Q. You talked about a two-year I think you said, and I apologize. I might have gotten this wrong. A two-year guarantee, right, with these trees? A. I think Paul had mentioned that. Q. I'm sorry. That was Paul. What is your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot contemplates the 15 Norway Spruce after a period of 30 years; right? A. So what's the question? Q. When you say you testified earlier that they're going to grow to 60-feet max after 30 years; right? A. They could get taller, sure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow. Depends on the living conditions. They could grow 2 to 3 feet a year. Q. You talked about a two-year I think you said, and I apologize. I might have gotten this wrong. A two-year guarantee, right, with these trees? A. I think Paul had mentioned that. Q. I'm sorry. That was Paul. What is your based on your client's representations, do you know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 from Lot 55, what are you referring to? You're screening what? A. I'm not screening anything there. I'm going to leave it natural the way it is. Q. And regarding Lot 9.04? A. That's where the majority of the screening is going. Q. Right. And so what is that landscaping intending to screen? A. It's going to screen the entire compound and the majority of the monopole. Q. What do you mean by the majority of the monopole? A. Well, if the monopole is 80-feet high, and our trees get to be 60-feet high, then the monopole might be sticking up 20 feet. Q. So your proposed screening for one lot contemplates the 15 Norway Spruce after a period of 30 years; right? A. So what's the question? Q. When you say you testified earlier that they're going to grow to 60-feet max after 30 years; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Hollies, one set of Hollies could grow to 20- to 25-feet max, and that another set of Hollies could grow to 30- to 35-feet max; right? A. Correct. Q. How tall is the tower as proposed? MR. SCHNEIDER: He just answered it. BY MR. SIMON: Q. Eighty-six feet; right? A. Correct. Q. And how long will the Hollies take to grow to the 25 feet or the 35 feet? A. Ten years. Q. Ten years to get to 25 feet or 35 feet; that's your testimony? A. It may take a little longer, 10, 20. I don't know exactly how long the tree will take to grow. Depends on the living conditions. They could grow 2 to 3 feet a year. Q. You talked about a two-year I think you said, and I apologize. I might have gotten this wrong. A two-year guarantee, right, with these trees? A. I think Paul had mentioned that. Q. I'm sorry. That was Paul. What is your

	Page 125	Page 126
1	beyond the two years associated with any tree	1 Q. And did you do any evaluation in that one
2	installation?	2 time you were at the site two weeks ago about shading
3	A. No. I don't know about anything beyond two	3 in that particular area given even given the
4	years.	4 existing trees that are slated to remain in terms of
5	Q. Is it in terms of Mr. Fox was kind	5 the viability of these new plantings?
6	enough to provide his comments to the Board with regard	6 A. That's why we need to get rid of all the
7	to maintenance of the trees in terms of irrigation. Do	7 old dead wood that's out there and remove a lot of that
8	you as a landscape architect have a recommendation or	8 wood so we can make room and get the sunlight in there
9	any expertise with regard to the maintenance of these	9 to grow the evergreens.
10	trees into the future?	10 Q. So of those 23 trees that you're going to
11	A. Who's going to maintain them?	11 get out of there, do you know the existing height of
12	Q. How would you, if your the expert, how	12 any of those trees?
13	would you recommend that they be maintained so to	13 A. No.
14	ensure that those Norway Spruce are going to get to	14 Q. Do you know how the diameter of their
15	60-feet high and that the Hollies are going to get to	15 branch extensions?
16	25- or 35-feet high?	16 A. No.
17	A. By making sure that they were planted	17 Q. With regard to your plan that you signed
18	properly, that they're healthy and that they've got	18 identifies this is again, I'm sorry, A-36. I'm on
19	room to grow.	19 L-1. Identifies overgrown areas. Do you see that?
20	Q. And what about irrigation and other type	20 A. Where are you pointing to?
21	of	21 Q. So if you look here, let me show you.
22	A. Irrigation's not necessary.	A. Oh, overgrown area. Yes I see it.
23	Q. Why is that?	23 Q. But you see it, Mr. Reynolds, like all over
24	A. Plants grow all over the world without	24 there's like four or five different areas and it says
25	irrigation.	25 "overgrown areas," right. What does it mean that
	Page 197	5 100
		Page 128
1	Page 127	Page 128
1	there's an overgrown area that you signed off on?	1 of those trees currently?
2	there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine
2 3	there's an overgrown area that you signed off on?A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was
2 3 4	there's an overgrown area that you signed off on?A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,
2 3	there's an overgrown area that you signed off on?A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was
2 3 4 5	there's an overgrown area that you signed off on?A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition.
2 3 4 5 6	there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well.	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like 	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition. Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your 	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition. Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees? A. If he wanted to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like 	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition. Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees? A. If he wanted to. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where 	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition. Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees? A. If he wanted to. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're in a tree conservation area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you 	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition. Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees? A. If he wanted to. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're in a tree conservation area. MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? 	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition. Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees? A. If he wanted to. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're in a tree conservation area. MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank you for reminding me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's 	 of those trees currently? A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition, too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good condition. Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees? A. If he wanted to. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're in a tree conservation area. MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank you for reminding me. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" you're talking about T-33 that's supposed to be 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.17Mr. Reynolds, with regard to any of the18trees that are to remain or to the trees that you19intend to plant as part of this application does the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" you're talking about T-33 that's supposed to be removed, right, and T-32 that's supposed to be removed, right? 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.17Mr. Reynolds, with regard to any of the18trees that are to remain or to the trees that you19intend to plant as part of this application does the20Applicant intend to impose any type of conservation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" you're talking about T-33 that's supposed to be removed, right? A. I was referring to T-27 and T-26 on the neighbor's properties. Those are some good sized trees 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.17Mr. Reynolds, with regard to any of the18trees that are to remain or to the trees that you19intend to plant as part of this application does the20Applicant intend to impose any type of conservation21restriction with regard to either category or both,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" you're talking about T-33 that's supposed to be removed, right, and T-32 that's supposed to be removed, right? A. I was referring to T-27 and T-26 on the neighbor's properties. Those are some good sized trees that are going to buffer naturally from their property. 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.17Mr. Reynolds, with regard to any of the18trees that are to remain or to the trees that you19intend to plant as part of this application does the20Applicant intend to impose any type of conservation21restriction with regard to either category or both,22meaning that in the future that those trees can't be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" you're talking about T-33 that's supposed to be removed, right, and T-32 that's supposed to be removed, right? A. I was referring to T-27 and T-26 on the neighbor's properties. Those are some good sized trees that are going to buffer naturally from their property. Q. That's on the neighbor's property; right? 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.17Mr. Reynolds, with regard to any of the18trees that are to remain or to the trees that you19intend to impose any type of conservation21restriction with regard to either category or both,22meaning that in the future that those trees can't be23removed unless they are dead, diseased, dying, et
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" you're talking about T-32 that's supposed to be removed, right, and T-32 that's supposed to be removed, right, and T-27 and T-26 on the neighbor's properties. Those are some good sized trees that are going to buffer naturally from their property. Q. That's on the neighbor's property; right? A. Correct. 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.17Mr. Reynolds, with regard to any of the18trees that are to remain or to the trees that you19intend to plant as part of this application does the20Applicant intend to impose any type of conservation21restriction with regard to either category or both,22meaning that in the future that those trees can't be23removed unless they are dead, diseased, dying, et24cetera.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 there's an overgrown area that you signed off on? A. Well, overgrown areas means that that could be part of the problem is that the trees are getting choked out and there's too many plant species in there and they can't live and thrive. So we need to get it cleaned out so that we have room to put the Pine Trees so that they can grow very well. Q. So with regard to but it looks like there are certain areas that you've identified on your plan as overgrown areas, particularly to the east where you're not proposing any type of plantings. Do you know why that is? A. Because there's big existing trees and it's overgrown and we don't really need a buffer right there. That's why. Q. You're referring to, when you say "trees" you're talking about T-33 that's supposed to be removed, right, and T-32 that's supposed to be removed, right? A. I was referring to T-27 and T-26 on the neighbor's properties. Those are some good sized trees that are going to buffer naturally from their property. Q. That's on the neighbor's property; right? 	1of those trees currently?2A. It's a 42-inch Red Maple. I would imagine3it's in good condition because the 24-inch I was4looking at a couple weeks ago is in good condition,5too. So yeah, I think those trees are probably in good6condition.7Q. Could the neighbor remove those trees?8A. If he wanted to.9BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It depends if they're10in a tree conservation area.11MR. SIMON: Well, that's true. And I thank12you for reminding me.13BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Well, I didn't want14to remind you15MR. SIMON: No, no. You don't even know16what you reminded me about.17Mr. Reynolds, with regard to any of the18trees that are to remain or to the trees that you19intend to impose any type of conservation21restriction with regard to either category or both,22meaning that in the future that those trees can't be23removed unless they are dead, diseased, dying, et

	Page 129		Page 130
1	have that availability. It's on Township property.	1	right on the property line, but on your side. When I
2	MR. SIMON: Thank you.	2	say yours, the DPW side. But that based on the scale
3	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: And there is no tree	3	and based on your testimony that they grow to 20- to
4	conservation area on Township land, on public land.	4	25-feet wide, that certainly they are going to extend,
5	With public land they can do whatever they want,	5	those branches are going to well extend onto the
6	almost.	6	neighboring property; correct?
7	BY MR. SIMON:	7	A. Yes. They may extend onto the neighboring
8	Q. With regard to the let's see. So you	8	property.
9	can't because you weren't around you can't do any	9	Q. So how is Verizon or the Township or
10	type of analysis as to why the data that's on A-36 with	10	someone going to maintain those trees in terms of
11	regard to existing trees, trees that are dead, trees	11	trimming and related activities regarding those trees
12	that are to remain, et cetera, why that data is way	12	on property that they don't have a right to walk on?
13	different than the data from prior plan sets?	13	MR. SCHNEIDER: They'd have to work that
14	A. Right. I just know what's currently there	14	out with the Township.
15	now, and this is pretty representative of what's going	15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I ask, is there a
16	on.	16	plan to trim these trees?
17	Q. That's fair. So basically you are	17	MR. FOX: I'm not understanding what
18	you're removing 32 trees and you're putting in 33 new	18	maintenance would be required.
19	trees?	19	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sorry?
20	A. Correct.	20	MR. FOX: I'm not understanding what
21	Q. What about are you intending on your	21	maintenance would be required.
22	L-2, it looks like, and I know you have a note here	22	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I'm not either. So
23	that you're going to have to determine all this out on	23	what maintenance will be required?
24	the field. But it looks like some of the trees and	24	MR. SIMON: I have plenty of trees at my
25	you're proposing Norway Spruce, you're proposing them	25	house that we trim them because they get dangerous in
	Page 131		Page 132
1	terms of the branches extending out in all different	1	to cross over onto the O'Donnell property.
2	directions. And this planting plan contemplates that	2	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So it's approximately
3	based on the and coupled with the testimony, that	3	12 or 13 feet from the center of the trees. How close
4	these branches are going to well extend onto	4	are these trees on the property line?
5	neighboring properties. And to the extent that they	5	MR. SIMON: Yeah, I mean, you can look at
6	need to be trimmed, the branches need to be removed, et	6	it.
7	cetera, et cetera, I'm asking the witness if that was	7	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I am looking at it.
8	contemplated I should have asked it differently.	8	MR. SIMON: They're right there.
9	That's my bad.	9	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: How much they're
10	Was that contemplated when you put together	10	not on the property line.
11	or somebody put together your landscaping plan?	11	MR. SIMON: They're 3 feet off.
12	THE WITNESS: It's natural for trees to go	12 13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Well, let's ask the
13 14	over property lines. So I have no problem with	14	landscape architect exactly how far it is.
14	planting trees that are going to go and the branches go over the property a little bit. I was okay with that.	14	THE WITNESS: This plan is not to scale. I
16	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Can we ask, what kind	16	do have a full size. Let me take a look. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: To save some time here,
17	of distances are we talking about here? What's the	17	are they less than 12 feet from the property line? Are
18	closest	18	
19	MR. SIMON: So right now if you look at L-2	19	they 12 feet or less from the property line? THE WITNESS: They appear to be there is
20	and you look at the Norway Spruce they're showing one,	20	a couple that are probably less than 12 feet.
20	two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight of them that	20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So some of the branches
22	are just inside the property line. He testified that	22	are going to grow over.
23	they can grow to be 20- to 25-feet wide. So if you do	23	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: How many and what
24	the at least my elementary math says that those are	24	distance?
25	going to certainly the branches are certainly going	25	THE WITNESS: For the most part they look

	Page 133		Page 134
1	to be about 10 to 12 feet off the line.	1	issue. I'm looking at the plan here and I'm looking at
2	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are there any ones	2	the scale and you say they're 20- to 30-feet apart.
3	that are less than 10, 12 feet from the property line,	3	They are not. That's not correct. If you look at this
4	could they be moved back?	4	and it looks like it's much less than 20 feet on
5	THE WITNESS: I think we probably could,	5	center.
6	yes. We still have to field place those properly.	6	THE WITNESS: That's because we wanted them
7	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Is that something you	7	to grow together and form
8	think you can follow up on?	8	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Form together you'll
9	MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes.	9	lose branches and then you will see right threw.
10	MR. SIMON: And I would also ask to Mr.	10	MR. SCHNEIDER: We will take a look at
11	Newlin's point that from experience before this Board,	11	moving them slightly back off the property.
12	other Boards, that sometimes as you know as a landscape	12	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I want to make a
13	architect that plantings can be proposed such that	13	suggestion to maybe get ahead of ourselves, but can you
14	they're going to be too crowded and therefore they	14	agree to have an arborist actually, I don't know if
15	won't survive properly or grow to their maximum height.	15	this is permitted if we approve this that you would
16	You're familiar with that, right?	16	have an arborist look at this plan and make the
17	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.	17	corrections? I don't know if the other Board members
18	MR. SIMON: So I would ask that when the	18	would want to see that or not, but there's a missing
19	Applicant looks at that based on Mr. Newlin's comment	19	expertise here and it's going to impact the neighbors
20	that you take that into consideration as well. Because	20	if these plants don't provide any screening.
21	I'm concerned about the way this is sort of at least	21	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: What are you asking
22	on the landscaping plan that they're getting	22	Alf? Are you asking to provide
23	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The gentleman that	23	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I'm asking that we
24	brought that point up that the branches those	24	get to it as a condition, because this landscape plan
25	branches are too close together seems like that is an	25	is a problem.
	Page 135		Page 136
1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to	1	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a
2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for?	2	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent
2 3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems	2 3	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this	2 3 4	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist.	2 3 4 5	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look	2 3 4 5 6	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because	2 3 4 5 6 7	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist would probably be the best for us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that was funny.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist would probably be the best for us. MR. MLENAK: Would Verizon consent to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that was funny. So notwithstanding the last comments, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist would probably be the best for us. MR. MLENAK: Would Verizon consent to a condition that the Town Arborist review and approve the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that was funny. So notwithstanding the last comments, I think it's critical with regard to this application,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist would probably be the best for us. MR. MLENAK: Would Verizon consent to a condition that the Town Arborist review and approve the landscape plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that was funny. So notwithstanding the last comments, I think it's critical with regard to this application, even pursuant to Whispering Woods Hearing, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist would probably be the best for us. MR. MLENAK: Would Verizon consent to a condition that the Town Arborist review and approve the landscape plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that was funny. So notwithstanding the last comments, I think it's critical with regard to this application, even pursuant to Whispering Woods Hearing, that something like landscaping that's so critical is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist would probably be the best for us. MR. MLENAK: Would Verizon consent to a condition that the Town Arborist review and approve the landscape plan? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that was funny. So notwithstanding the last comments, I think it's critical with regard to this application, even pursuant to Whispering Woods Hearing, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist would probably be the best for us. MR. MLENAK: Would Verizon consent to a condition that the Town Arborist review and approve the landscape plan? MR. MLENAK: As to the placement of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that was funny. So notwithstanding the last comments, I think it's critical with regard to this application, even pursuant to Whispering Woods Hearing, that something like landscaping that's so critical is not left to a condition that the Township Arborist, just as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want an arborist to double check. This is what you're asking for? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: To fix the problems to make sure this is their expertise, to see if this actually works. And it can be the Town Arborist. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So an arborist to look at this and either move some things around. Because this is the exact location of each of these. It's not brick and stone; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what you're asking for, Alf, is for an arborist to look at it and just say yes, this should be here, that should be there. So the Town Arborist. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: The Town Arborist would probably be the best for us. MR. MLENAK: Would Verizon consent to a condition that the Town Arborist review and approve the landscape plan? MR. MLENAK: As to the placement of the trees.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	unilaterally by Verizon. It was there was a collaborative effort with the Township. To the extent we further need to obtain the expertise or the recommendations of the Town Arborist I have no issue whatsoever with it. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: It's just prudent given the testimony. MR. SCHNEIDER: No issue, no fight. That's an easy one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We all agree. MR. SIMON: Can I just in the interest of time just to sort of (Whereupon, there is laughter from the Board members.) MR. SIMON: I don't know what you guys are talking about all night. That was funny. I know that was funny. So notwithstanding the last comments, I think it's critical with regard to this application, even pursuant to Whispering Woods Hearing, that something like landscaping that's so critical is not left to a condition that the Township Arborist, just as an example, review it and verify and make changes.

	Page 137		Page 138
1	-	1	
1	years and many hearing dates, that it needs to be	1	right and it should be done, when I say now I mean in
2	respectfully vetted here before the Board, before the	2	front of this Board with public input. That's all.
3	Board's experts, before the public so that it can be	3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Let me, not to beat
4	done correctly with appropriate public input as opposed	4	this dead horse, but again this plan was developed in
5	to, yeah, we'll just have a condition that the Township	6	conjunction with Paul, one of our experts, and I guess
6	Arborist will be consulted. Let's move on. Because	1	you on the other side, right? So we did put our expert
7	then you're missing a valuable piece on an issue that	7	on this. I think what we're saying now is for a double-check let's have John Linson, our Arborist take
8	is incredibly important and always has been.	1	,
9	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But Mr. Simon, that's	9	a look at it.
10	exactly what we're doing now, and you're exactly	10	MR. SCHNEIDER: This is easy to deal with.
11	providing that. And you've brought up a number of	11	Here's what we should do. Today's what, I loose track
12	points that make sense. And the gentleman that made	12	of the days. Today is May 18th. Why don't we have
13	the comment also made a very good point and we're being	13	with the assistance of your Town Engineer, have the
14	responsive. We're trying to be responsive. We're in	14	current plan which by the way has Township input,
15	fact doing that process.	15	immediately referred to the Town Arborist to report
16	MR. SIMON: Yea, no. I'm just saying that	16	back to the Board by November I hope not November.
17	maybe I misunderstood, but I wouldn't want, and this	17	MR. SIMON: Rich, I'm busy that week.
18	is one person's opinion representing property owners	18	MR. SCHNEIDER: You're unavailable, right,
19	I wouldn't want on this particular issue just as an	19	Rob? By May 30th so that we don't lose any time. I
20	example that, okay, fine, let's move on to the next	20	don't think that's an unreasonable request. And have
21	topic and then when the Board's deliberating, oh, yeah,	21	the plans on file, have it immediately referred to the
22	what about that? We'll include that condition if	22	Town Arborist for comments.
23	we're if somebody's making a motion to approve that	23	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Specifically with the
24	the Township Arborist look at that.	24	issues identified tonight.
25	I'm saying that I think it should be done	25	MR. SCHNEIDER: If you could just I
	Page 139		Page 140
1			rage in
	would ask for Paul's cooperation in that regard. I	1	-
2	would ask for Paul's cooperation in that regard, I mean. I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the	1	Township, are you willing
2	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the	2	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why
2 3 4	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to	2 3	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we
3	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense?	2	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony.
3 4	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk	2 3 4	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we
3 4 5	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding	2 3 4 5	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st.
3 4 5 6	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight.	2 3 4 5 6	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th.
3 4 5 6 7	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
3 4 5 6 7 8	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special Meeting. We already have two other applications that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that you need it's not just the Board and you, it's also
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special Meeting. We already have two other applications that we bumped from tonight. I have to hear that, right. I have other stuff I have to hear. I don't know how much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that you need it's not just the Board and you, it's also the Township. So we would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special Meeting. We already have two other applications that we bumped from tonight. I have to hear that, right. I have other stuff I have to hear. I don't know how much time we're going to have on the 30th. So are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that you need it's not just the Board and you, it's also the Township. So we would MR. SCHNEIDER: But I can't address the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special Meeting. We already have two other applications that we bumped from tonight. I have to hear that, right. I have other stuff I have to hear. I don't know how much time we're going to have on the 30th. So are you willing to consent and I didn't realize and Steve,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that you need it's not just the Board and you, it's also the Township. So we would MR. SCHNEIDER: But I can't address the Township's consent tonight here on May 18.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special Meeting. We already have two other applications that we bumped from tonight. I have to hear that, right. I have other stuff I have to hear. I don't know how much time we're going to have on the 30th. So are you willing to consent and I didn't realize and Steve, let me ask you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that you need it's not just the Board and you, it's also the Township. So we would MR. SCHNEIDER: But I can't address the Township's consent tonight here on May 18. MR. MLENAK: The bottom line is, we don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special Meeting. We already have two other applications that we bumped from tonight. I have to hear that, right. I have other stuff I have to hear. I don't know how much time we're going to have on the 30th. So are you willing to consent and I didn't realize and Steve,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that you need it's not just the Board and you, it's also the Township. So we would MR. SCHNEIDER: But I can't address the Township's consent tonight here on May 18. MR. MLENAK: The bottom line is, we don't need the Township's permission to go to the 30th. So
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special Meeting. We already have two other applications that we bumped from tonight. I have to hear that, right. I have other stuff I have to hear. I don't know how much time we're going to have on the 30th. So are you willing to consent and I didn't realize and Steve, let me ask you. MR. MLENAK: The Township has as well. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: But do we do the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that you need it's not just the Board and you, it's also the Township. So we would MR. SCHNEIDER: But I can't address the Township's consent tonight here on May 18. MR. MLENAK: The bottom line is, we don't need the Township's permission to go to the 30th. So we carry it to the 30th.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 mean, I'll be glad to facilitate it, but I think the Township has the more easy ability to convey that to the Town Arborist. Does that make sense? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. So let's talk about that. My understanding my misunderstanding was that we were going to get through this tonight. That we were going to get through this hearing tonight. Did anybody not hear that? BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I heard it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So based on your comment it sounds like you believe that we won't, and I wouldn't disagree. May 30th we're having a Special Meeting. We already have two other applications that we bumped from tonight. I have to hear that, right. I have other stuff I have to hear. I don't know how much time we're going to have on the 30th. So are you willing to consent and I didn't realize and Steve, let me ask you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Township, are you willing MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we do this? Why don't we carry it to May 30th with the hope that we will get through some testimony. MR. SIMON: Rich, is it 30th or 31st? MR. MLENAK: 31st. MR. SCHNEIDER: We're meeting the 30th. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. SIMON: No, I was wrong. I just wanted to MR. SCHNEIDER: It's the 30th, the date of action is May 31st, but carry it to May 30th and we'll address further consent at that time. MR. SIMON: Except for the problem is that you need it's not just the Board and you, it's also the Township. So we would MR. SCHNEIDER: But I can't address the Township's consent tonight here on May 18. MR. MLENAK: The bottom line is, we don't need the Township's permission to go to the 30th. So

24

25

24

25

MR. MLENAK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have to get the

complete the matter on May 30th I'll presumably,

together with Steve's assistance, address the issue

	Page 141		Page 142
1	whether the Township will consent past May 30th.	1	30th and then now I'm booked. Just saying. Trying to
2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So just practically, so	2	be helpful.
3	it's now midnight May 30th, so at noon or whatever day	3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I understand. Well, I
4	you show up to work on the 31st you're going to say,	4	can tell you one thing we have on the calendar, which
5	hey, we need to carry this or we need to consent	5	is the third Thursday.
6	MR. MLENAK: No. The idea would be we	6	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Which is June 15th.
7	would go into the 30th with the Township's consent.	7	MR. SIMON: I don't think that works.
8	MR. SIMON: Knowing.	8	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: And there are other
9	MR. SCHNEIDER: But I don't want the	9	Boards that meet that first week. Like that first
10	assumption to be that we're not going to get anything	10	there's a meeting, and I do believe that.
11	accomplished on May 30th.	11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, wow, the 15th is
12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So you're going to go	12	the third
13	lobby the Township starting tomorrow. Then if they	13	MR. SIMON: I can't make the 15th.
14	need to consent to push us beyond the 30th you're	14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: It's very early in the
15	not going to wait until the 31st to ask for that	15	month. The first is actually Thursday. I can't
16	consent.	16	BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Next meeting can we
17	MR. SCHNEIDER: We will have that issue	17	start early?
18	resolved.	18	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: If you call a
19	MR. SIMON: Can I make a suggestion?	19	Special Meeting you can call it for any time that you'd
20	Assuming that given the Board's calendar, cross-exam,	20	like.
21	all that other stuff, public participation, that we go	21	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We've noticed for the
22	beyond May 30th, are we able to now establish a date,	22	Special Meeting for the 30th.
23	in fairness to the Applicant, on the calendar in June	23	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: We can notice on the
24	so that that can be locked in as appropriate?	24	record this evening to
25	I'm saying as opposed to waiting until the	25	MR. FOX: 3:00 p.m.
			1
	Page 143		Page 144
1		1	_
1 2	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written,	1	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but
		1	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you
2	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to	2	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way
2 3	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet.	2 3	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you
2 3 4	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications	2 3 4	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the
2 3 4 5	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or	2 3 4 5	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time.
2 3 4 5 6	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications.	2 3 4 5 6	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early
2 3 4 5 6 7	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori?	2 3 4 5 6 7	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews? MR. SIMON: My respectful recommendation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend? BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Memorial Day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews? MR. SIMON: My respectful recommendation would be that given where we are that the that if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend? BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Memorial Day Weekend.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews? MR. SIMON: My respectful recommendation would be that given where we are that the that if there's going to be a revised plan why don't we let the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend? BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Memorial Day Weekend. BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Tuesday after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews? MR. SIMON: My respectful recommendation would be that given where we are that the that if there's going to be a revised plan why don't we let the arborist take a look at it. If there's going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend? BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Memorial Day Weekend. BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Tuesday after Memorial Day. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to - so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews? MR. SIMON: My respectful recommendation would be that given where we are that the that if there's going to be a revised plan why don't we let the arborist take a look at it. If there's going to be revised plans submitted, so be it. I'll take a look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend? BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Memorial Day Weekend. BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Tuesday after Memorial Day. Sorry. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what is everyone's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to - so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews? MR. SIMON: My respectful recommendation would be that given where we are that the that if there's going to be a revised plan why don't we let the arborist take a look at it. If there's going to be revised plans submitted, so be it. I'll take a look at it. And it may cut down a lot of questions. That's my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend? BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Memorial Day Weekend. BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Tuesday after Memorial Day. Sorry. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what is everyone's time preference? Are we talking about May 30th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to - so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews? MR. SIMON: My respectful recommendation would be that given where we are that the that if there's going to be a revised plan why don't we let the arborist take a look at it. If there's going to be revised plans submitted, so be it. I'll take a look at it. And it may cut down a lot of questions. That's my suggestion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend? BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Memorial Day Weekend. BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Tuesday after Memorial Day. Sorry. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what is everyone's time preference? Are we talking about May 30th? MR. MLENAK: Yes, the Tuesday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Notice is written, but I haven't sent for that yet. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Funny. Do you want to - so on the 30th would you rather we do this first or would you rather we do the other two applications first? It is two, right, Lori? SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Two applications. They're returning applications. We have heard very no testimony from which we had the site inspection, right? MR. MLENAK: We just have to get past were you done with your cross-examination? MR. SIMON: No. MR. MLENAK: Do you have more for tonight or after the arborist reviews? MR. SIMON: My respectful recommendation would be that given where we are that the that if there's going to be a revised plan why don't we let the arborist take a look at it. If there's going to be revised plans submitted, so be it. I'll take a look at it. And it may cut down a lot of questions. That's my suggestion. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rather go first, because (A), I'd like to go first, but (B), maybe the matters behind the matters behind you may drop off. Whatever the case may be, and that way you can control the agenda and we'll know what the Township's position is at that time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's fine. How early can people be here? So on the 30th we have a special meeting. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Are you asking for MR. MLENAK: Start time. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: First of all, is everyone here for the 30th? BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Yes, I'm around. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is that Labor Day Weekend? BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Memorial Day Weekend. BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Tuesday after Memorial Day. Sorry. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what is everyone's time preference? Are we talking about May 30th? MR. MLENAK: Yes, the Tuesday. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Whatever

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

	Page 145		Page 146
1	long it's going to run, based on today.	1	need
2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Seriously, I can do any	2	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: So we're going to
3	time. I'll work from home that day.	3	say six o'clock.
4	BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: I need to figure out	4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This will not begin
5	child care.	5	before 6:00 p.m.
6	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So five o'clock, six	6	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: But we have to
7	o'clock?	7	notice for the record tonight.
8	MR. SIMON: I just want to say that,	8	MR. SCHNEIDER: That's like the tennis
9	starting too early I think in all due respect is not	9	match at the U.S. Open. Not before 1:00 p.m.
10	fair to my clients.	10	So why don't we do this. You can decide
11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Six is the earliest.	11	when you want to start your meeting on November 30th
12	MR. MLENAK: I would suggest 6:00.	12	with the other applications which you're going to have
13	MR. SIMON: I would suggest 6:30.	13	to do a Special Meeting notice, but for purposes of
14	BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Let's get this done.	14	this application why don't we carry it to November I
15	BOARD MEMBER ROSENBAUM: This is going to	15	keep saying November May 30th at 6:00 p.m.
16	go on. I want to strive for completion.	16	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes, with the
17	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Unless we start at	17	understanding that
18	5:30 and hear the other we can carry on the record	18	MR. SCHNEIDER: And we cannot start legally
19	the other applications	19	any earlier than six. We may start later than six.
20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. If we can get the	20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: There you go. And
21	other ones in here? All right. How about this. This	21	Lori, so we don't know what time we want to notice for
22	will not start before six. We may come in here earlier	22	the other applications.
23	than that and knockout the other applications. How	23	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: But if we start at
24	about that? We'll see who we can get at what time,	24	six that's an hour and a half to hear two applications,
25	okay. So we won't start this before six. Do we	25	and then 7:30 to continuation for this we go until it's
	Page 147		Page 148
1	done. That's probably	1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And then we may do the
2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Fine.	2	other applications at the end. The preference is to
3	BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: I thought we were	3	get this
4	going to do the two applications prior then start this	4	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Okay. I will notify
5	at six after those two applications.	5	the other parties.
6	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: We can but we have	6	MR. SCHNEIDER: So for members of the
7	to pick that time because we have to notify the parties	7	public, we're carrying this to November 30th May
8	to let them know what time we're starting.	8	30th at 6:00 p.m. No further notice, and there's no
9	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And we have to do that	9	extension required.
10	tonight?	10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Correct. Yes. And you
11	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: We do, because it's	11	guys are going to talk to the Township in the interim.
12	got to be on the record.	12	Hold on one second. You have a question?
13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We can't publish	13	MR. McKittrick: Is the public able to ask
14	another notice to say this has been moved?	14	a couple of questions?
15	MR. MLENAK: They have to notice the	15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Not tonight. You will.
16	application.	16	So what we're going to do
17	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: It's going to be	17 18	MR. McKITTRICK: One quick question?
18 19	carried to the May 30th meeting at blah, blah blah	19	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: He's not done asking
	time.	20	his questions. So what we're going to do is we're going to pick this up on the 30th sometime after six.
20	MR MIENAK' Not them The other	_ <u> </u>	going to pick and up on the sour sometime after six.
20 21	MR. MLENAK: Not them. The other		Mr. Simon's going to finish asking his questions
21	applicant. You carried it on the record tonight.	21	Mr. Simon's going to finish asking his questions. We're likely going to have some new stuff from the
21 22	applicant. You carried it on the record tonight. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So we start at		We're likely going to have some new stuff from the
21 22 23	applicant. You carried it on the record tonight. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So we start at six o'clock we'll start.	21 22	We're likely going to have some new stuff from the Arborist. At that point I promise you you can ask your
21 22	applicant. You carried it on the record tonight. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So we start at	21 22 23	We're likely going to have some new stuff from the

	Page 149		Page 150
1	really going to suggest that they bring additional	1	coming.
2	material to the May 30th meeting.	2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: There's a whole other
3	MR. SIMON: I think that's appropriate.	3	packet.
4	MR. McKITTRICK: Thank you. I appreciate	4	MR. SCHNEIDER: And the "picture of the
5	it. I'll try to be brief.	5	86-foot tree" has been electronically submitted and
6	Hello everyone. I am Brian McKittrick. I	6	it's available for inspection by the Board and members
7	live at 14 Millbrook Road. And the last time I was	7	of the Board.
8	here was question was	8	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: It is on the Agenda.
9	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ask your question.	9	If you click on the Agenda the photos are linked into
10	MR. McKITTRICK: Okay. Everything I've	10	the Agenda.
11	heard tonight is about an 86-foot tall fake tree,	11	MR. McKITTRICK: Okay. My suggestion is
12	right. Now, the question I have, for clarification is,	12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Questions.
13	the photos that we were shown tonight was of a 63-foot	13	MR. McKITTRICK: My question is, can you
14	tree, I believe; is that correct?	14	bring to the May 30th meeting a picture that shows an
15	MR. SCHNEIDER: No.	15	86-foot tree in the location that's proposed and shows
16	MR. McKITTRICK: What was the height of	16	views from different perspectives?
17	that exhibit in the green?	17	MR. SCHNEIDER: The answer is yes, and it's
18	MR. MLENAK: The testimony that was	18	on file.
19	provided was either 60 or 70 feet by the engineer.	19	SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: It's in the Agenda.
20	MR. McKITTRICK: Sixty or 70 feet. So my	20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: It's in the packet and
21	question is, why not have a picture of an 86-foot tree?	21	we'll discuss it at a future meeting.
22	MR. SCHNEIDER: There is one that was	22	All right. Anybody else have any the
23	submitted.	23	Board have any questions for Mr. Simon or anything?
24	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: There's a whole packet.	24	Mr. Schneider?
25	MR. MLENAK: There's another witness	25	MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
	Page 151		Page 152
			5
1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. We're	1	CERTIFICATE
1 2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. We're adjourned.	1 2	
		1	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and
2	adjourned.	2	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New
2 3	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true
2 3 4	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken
2 3 4 5	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C E R T I F I C A T E I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE I, IRIS LA ROSA, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and That I am not financially interested in the action.

				Page 153
	accepted 11:4	adjustments	135:12	appears 32:9
$\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}}$	84:9	135:20	alive 58:14,19	applicable 22:8
A-2 33:24 34:3	accidentally	Administrator	59:24 60:6	65:24
A-34 12:21,23	121:7	1:17	68:1 87:2	applicant 2:6
18:13 32:8	accommodate	admit 115:11	107:10,24,25	5:21 6:4,5,20
37:25 39:4	17:19	adopt 4:25	112:9	7:10 18:12
48:9 49:2,9,21	accomplished	adopted 5:7	allows 77:1	19:4,6 36:4
51:12,18 52:1	28:24 141:11	adopted 3.7 advice 113:8	amenable 29:3	39:20 57:24
52:2,7,9,12,12	account 88:11	aesthetic 27:5	143:25	96:6 100:20
52:23 53:3,18	119:15 120:15	affect 79:10,11	American 88:25	128:20,25
54:10,11,13	accounted 15:4	79:12	89:18,19 90:16	133:19 141:23
55:5,14,19		affirmative	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	133:19 141:23
56:7 57:3,6,9	accurate 7:12	135:24	91:15,24	
108:16,22	32:13 33:9		amount 70:21 81:14	Applicant's 6:10
A-35 3:13 9:4,7	57:8,21 73:11	afford 66:1		8:10,22 96:10 96:15
9:11,18 19:17	73:13 75:10,19	aforesaid 8:20	analysis 9:22	
20:15 33:24	77:23 95:3,4,8	afoul 30:7	15:19 23:4,5	application 4:3
34:7 41:25	95:9,12 101:8	afternoon 87:24	36:11 37:12	4:12,12,24 5:4
42:16,19 43:21	101:9 102:8	agenda 144:4	76:16,20 86:23	5:24 6:13,16
44:8,12	116:18,19	150:8,9,10,19	129:10	6:18 18:5 21:5
A-36 3:14 9:14	152:6	ago 69:25 71:17	anew 6:16	22:3 31:20
9:18 11:20	accurately 18:24	72:21 84:22	answer 24:8	50:19,20 76:20
12:25 32:9	40:12 71:22	88:5 100:6,7	30:10 40:4,6	100:5 108:11
35:1 37:25	72:19	100:14 101:19	57:18 60:4	110:11 118:12
39:4 48:9	action 5:5	102:7 105:17	73:6 74:11	128:19 136:19
49:22 52:1	140:12 152:11	105:20 111:3	81:6 102:17,22	136:25 146:14
58:11 59:3	152:13	111:20 112:1	104:6 135:24	147:16 151:3
62:20 63:2,4,6	activities 37:17	115:1,6 118:19	150:17	applications
66:24 67:10	130:11	126:2 128:4	answered	118:15,21,25
68:3 71:21	actual 19:15	agree 33:22	103:11 124:6	139:14 143:5,7
72:3,19 74:15	20:19 21:19	65:20 66:12,13	answering 12:12	143:8 145:19
75:23 85:3,4	26:23	66:18,19 68:16	antenna 24:3	145:23 146:12
99:19 101:12	add 82:2	70:1 73:16	34:20	146:22,24
102:14 106:12	added 111:9,11	74:20,22 81:6	anybody 55:10	147:4,5 148:2
106:20 115:12	additional 37:1	95:9,13 104:24	93:10 94:4	appreciate 149:4
115:19 121:10	121:18 149:1	105:13 134:14	95:19 112:16	
126:18 129:10	Addonizio 1:13	136:10	139:9 150:22	appropriate
A-37 9:24	64:15,16	agreed 5:23	apart 134:2	6:10 9:17
a.m 19:2 41:10	144:13,18	agreement	apologize 40:7	11:18,20 31:17
41:20,21	address 12:19	15:20,23 16:15	51:21 63:10	66:8 80:17,20
ability 139:3,22	78:16 140:13	20:22,23 38:23	115:10 124:20	82:4 137:4
able 14:19 29:2	140:17,25	38:24,25 46:17	apparently	141:24 149:3
29:5 41:4 47:8	adequate 122:6	ahead 67:4 97:7	70:16	appropriateness
47:12 60:4	adequately 78:6	105:14 107:24	appear 132:19	109:7
74:11,24 85:19	adjacent 28:6	134:13	appearance	approval 4:15
99:5 141:22	31:9,9 62:7	AICP 1:18	60:23,24 61:2	approve 6:13
148:13	88:19	air 19:11	61:3,9	29:4 134:15
Absolutely	adjourned 151:2	Alf 1:12 23:14 23:16 24:1	appearances 60:23	135:18 137:23
119:5 133:17	Adjustment 1:1 4:2,23 5:7 84:7	93:13 134:22		approximately
accept 11:13	4.2,23 3.7 84:7	75.15 154:22	appeared 44:23	16:17,19 17:2

				Page 154
20:24 24:21	93:12 107:13	Associates 36:13	118:10,18,25	15:25 24:20
33:19 39:10	107:15,17	37:11 83:21	133:4 134:11	33:7,10 36:6
60:17 72:21	121:19 126:3	Assuming	138:16	57:25 66:3
114:15,21	126:22 127:1	141:20	background	72:16,17 75:24
132:2	128:10 129:4	assumption	28:1 50:18	77:17 78:6
appurtenances	areas 37:2,13	141:10	51:19,22	88:14 95:2
26:5	126:19,24,25	attached 45:23	backyard 41:18	108:1 118:23
April 61:24	120:19,24,23	attempt 38:13	bad 97:7 117:9	139:12 142:10
75:18 84:24	argue 81:5	39:11	131:9	149:14
arborist 92:11	arguing 66:23	attention 19:16	bags 96:8	benefit 24:23
92:12,14 100:2	ARIC 1:13	23:2 67:1	balance 87:6	25:11 83:10,19
104:11,20,23	arrow 55:22	88:16	band 24:16,17	benefits 25:3
104:25 105:2,4	arrows 54:14	attorney 152:10	bands 24:17	best 4:9 13:2
104.23 103.2,4	articulated 22:8	152:12	25:13	98:25 135:16
113:23 134:14	ASCE 21:25	102112		
134:16 135:1,5	ASCE 21:25 ascertain 35:11	Attorneys 2:3,6 2:8	Bansal 2:10 31:12	better 14:18 28:7 94:2 99:5
	ascertain 35:11 39:11		base 16:18 27:8	
135:6,12,14,15 135:18 136:4		auger 15:13		beyond 125:1,3
135:18 136:4 136:22 137:6	Ash 88:2,3,4,6	authorized 5:8 AutoCAD 121:6	based 9:3 15:21 19:11 22:22	141:14,22 Bible 109:19
	110:17,18,19			
137:24 138:8	111:4,5,8,12	availability	23:5 35:1	big 63:9 90:17
138:15,22	111:15 112:12	129:1	37:22 38:23	117:10,13
139:4 143:15	113:4,6,13	available 17:13	40:13,18 43:21	127:13
143:19 148:23	115:23	24:20 75:25	44:25 49:22	bigger 90:6,16
arborists 104:2	aside 112:6	150:6	53:24 59:22	biggest 89:3
104:7	asked 6:12	average 92:19	77:24 78:11	Bill 48:19
Arborvitae 89:1	20:25 26:1	92:20	79:2,22 85:21	bit 15:12 16:9,12
89:19 91:24	60:2 72:18	aware 36:4	92:17 100:21	17:9 22:19
Arborvitaes	76:21 102:18	37:15,19 39:9	106:11 110:14	41:15 88:25
98:18	131:8	45:25 46:4,9	111:3 112:1	99:5 131:15
architect 8:17	asking 24:9	70:12,14 73:5	113:8 116:18	bite 77:21
47:16 56:23	31:16 39:20	112:15,19	116:19 124:24	blah 147:18,18
73:19 74:17,18	63:16 65:17	AWS 24:17	130:2,3 131:3	147:18
83:14 84:4,11	66:8 68:25	<u> </u>	133:19 139:11	blinking 31:22
98:1 104:14	81:17 103:8		145:1	block 1:6 4:19
118:9 125:8	131:7 134:21	B 3:10 48:13,15 49:5 144:2	basically 68:8	31:7,8,11,13
132:13 133:13	134:22,23		69:9 87:18,22	49:11 120:3
architects	135:2,11 144:9	back 4:1,3,5,14	88:20 90:9	blocking 98:13
109:16,20	148:18,21	7:6 18:12	92:2 98:14	blue 1:8 23:19
architectural	asks 48:6	19:16 26:22	109:19 110:7	23:20 27:1
50:9,11	aspects 7:16	28:19 29:6,10	113:12 129:17	blunt 87:15
area 18:3 32:10	24:3 136:24	30:19 49:2	basin 79:17	BOA 1:4 4:12
32:11,21,25	assessment 62:6	51:22 58:11	bear 18:23 89:9	5:7
33:1,8 36:1	62:17	62:11 64:3	beat 138:3	Board 1:1,10,17
37:24 38:22	assistance	70:25 72:7	beautiful 94:3	2:3 4:2,23,25
39:2,6,12,17	138:13 140:25	74:3,21,23	beg 30:16	5:3,6,19,22,24
39:25 46:12	assisted 68:22	75:3 77:1	behalf 7:10	6:8,12 9:10,15
52:13 53:4	associated 48:1	81:14 88:9	30:24 31:4,17	11:4 12:13
79:6,7,12,14	50:6 54:5 58:4	94:25 105:16	94:18	13:1 18:9
79:16 82:9	58:8 125:1	110:20 117:24	believe 9:4 11:3	20:17 21:14

22 12 12 14 17			00.0116.17	22.15 (0.10.12
23:12,13,14,17	border 122:1	bring 23:2 40:2	82:8 116:17	33:15 69:10,12
24:2,7,11,19	bordered 14:13	74:3,21,23	142:18,19	69:13,22
25:1,7,14,16	Bore 110:17	75:3 149:1	called 34:6	certain 31:4
25:19 26:11,16	Borer 88:3,6	150:14	76:24	45:11 54:6,7
26:22 27:2,14	111:4,6,8,16	brought 74:17	Cammarata	55:21 57:25
27:18,24 28:13	boring 36:5,11	133:24 137:11	1:14 64:19,20	70:21 85:25
28:17,20 29:3	borings 15:3	browsing 96:2	canopies 117:10	108:18 113:8,9
29:7,14,16	35:15 36:9,15	bucket 98:1	117:13	113:24 114:5
30:9,16,19	36:19,22 37:1	budding 113:10	canopy 110:7	115:22 116:23
36:5 54:20	bothering 37:5	buffer 88:19	115:6 116:24	121:22 127:9
61:15,16 62:21	bottom 13:20	90:10 93:21	116:25 117:1	certainly 28:9
63:3,13,18,20	22:21 49:11	94:2 122:5,8	117:15	44:16 65:23,24
64:6,8,10,12	140:19	127:14,22	capacity 70:20	95:16 112:12
64:14,16,20	bow-tie 13:11	buffering 93:22	84:4	113:4 130:4
66:1,25 67:2	boy 48:15 49:5	120:24	capturing 82:6,7	131:25,25
74:9 75:5,9	Boyan 64:21	build 46:19	care 145:5	Certificate
83:9,18 89:22	branch 34:12,13	building 1:8	cared 108:2	152:19
90:11,24 91:2	34:14,21,22	21:24 73:4	Carifa 2:9 31:6	certified 1:21,23
91:7,10,12	110:4,6,8	buildings 71:22	carried 147:18	8:16 74:16,18
92:8,10,13,20	126:15	72:20	147:21	84:4 104:13
92:25 93:6,9	branches 21:2	bullet 75:19	carrier 40:18	152:4
93:11,14,16,22	30:7 34:10	bump 17:17	41:8,14	certify 152:5,9
94:5 95:2,7,20	44:20 45:1,22	139:23	carriers 17:17	cetera 18:18
96:7,11,18	71:12 98:17	bumped 139:15	40:17,18,21	41:18 95:11
104:1,5,9,15	130:5 131:1,4	bunch 66:4	41:4	128:24 129:12
104:19 105:11	131:6,14,25	business 4:14	carry 140:3,12	131:7,7
125:6 128:9,13	132:21 133:24	busy 138:17	140:21,23	chair 73:7
129:3 131:16	133:25 134:9	button 13:23,24	141:5 145:18	Chairman 1:11
132:2,7,9,23	branching 22:12	30:2	146:14	4:1 6:19,21 7:7
133:2,7,11,23	22:15 28:10	BVW 49:12	carrying 148:7	11:13 13:4,11
134:8,12,17,23	35:5,6 44:22		carryover 51:8,8	23:9,11,16,21
135:3,15,20	46:1	<u> </u>	case 1:4 5:18,20	23:25 26:20,25
136:6,14 137:2	branchings	C 2:1 152:1,1	6:6,11 20:21	29:15,17,24
137:9 138:2,16	34:11	C-3 23:20	117:9,9 144:3	30:23 31:2,21
138:23 139:10	break 29:6,12	cabinetry 32:23	cases 87:12	63:21,25 64:4
140:15 142:16	30:5,12 63:22	cable 26:1,5,10	136:25	64:17,18,23
144:9,13,16,18	64:2	46:13,18 47:8	catch 46:21	65:1,4,7,14
144:24 145:4	breast 78:15	cables 46:19	category 128:21	66:11 67:3
145:14,15	102:12 114:15	caisson 15:22	cause 112:22	69:24 70:1,5
147:3 150:6,7	114:21 121:24	16:19,22 21:20	causing 38:10	73:16 74:3,7
150:23	121:25,25	22:21,22 33:18	cease 48:23	74:10,20 75:2
Board's 5:4 23:2	122:21	38:8	cell 39:13 40:2	79:25 80:8,12
137:3,21	Brian 3:19	caisson-type	113:19	80:21,24 81:2
141:20 143:24	149:6	15:8,17	cellular 24:16	81:7,18 82:12
board-on-board	brick 135:9	calculation 89:9	center 14:21	82:20 83:24
14:14 26:14	bridge 26:1,5,10	calendar 141:20	17:3 35:2	84:13,16 91:4
Boards 84:6,6	46:13,18 47:8	141:23 142:4	81:11 99:12	91:6,11 92:9
133:12 142:9	brief 8:8 149:5	call 28:4,5 44:19	132:3 134:5	93:10 94:4,14
booked 142:1	briefly 7:15	59:22 64:4	centerline 33:14	94:23 95:13,19
				ŕ
		•	•	·

				Page 156
96:22,25 97:5	50:5 51:9	32:1 35:10,19	137:13 139:12	6:12 116:21
97:9 98:7		35:20 38:8	comments 3:17	conclusions
99:15 104:24	checking 96:19 child 145:5	70:7	125:6 136:18	116:22
105:3,13 112:4		closest 88:23	123:0 130:18	concrete 16:18
,	children 41:17			33:18
112:15,20	choice 86:4	131:18	commonly 24:15	
130:15,19,22	choked 127:4	CME 1:18	communicatio	condition 29:3
132:12,16,21	chose 31:18	coast 92:1,2,5	9:3 19:15	67:18 102:12
134:21 135:1,6	Christel 3:18	code 21:24	compact 15:13	103:1 127:25
135:11 136:10	80:7,9,14 81:9	coefficient 79:3	company 42:23	128:3,4,6
138:3 139:5,11	81:9 82:1	79:4,6	compare 28:2	134:24 135:18
139:21,25	circle 16:16,18	coefficients 79:2	55:4	136:22 137:5
140:8 141:2,12	33:17,18	79:3	compared 69:11	137:22
142:3,11,14,21	115:25 116:3	collaborative	compensate	conditioned
143:3 144:6,11	116:17	136:2	76:22 78:7	5:22
144:14,20,23	circles 78:21	collecting 77:4	compensated	conditions 5:25
145:2,6,11,20	circumstances	collects 76:25	79:16	8:3,5 22:1 74:1
146:4,16,20	45:25 46:5	College 83:20,22	compilation	75:14 90:21
147:2,9,13,22	113:5 117:17	105:6	60:13	91:20 102:24
148:1,10,15,18	civil 5:5 11:5	Collins 2:5 7:9	complete 140:24	111:19 124:17
149:9,24 150:2	clarification	collocate 40:22	completely 55:2	conduct 36:8,22
150:12,20	120:13 149:12	collocators 40:9	completion	37:11
151:1	cleaned 106:17	40:11	145:16	conducted 15:3
Chait 2:5 7:9	127:6	collocators'	comply 19:4,6	35:11,16 36:11
challenging 5:3	clear 18:9 33:5	17:14	41:23	36:18
chamber 76:25	39:16 48:12	color 45:8,9,19	compound 13:3	confident 76:5
77:5	52:7 56:20	93:19	13:7,14 14:9	confirm 86:19
chance 38:9	57:17	coloring 45:15	14:11,12,15	confirmed 68:2
change 18:14,20	clearly 17:10	column 38:6,7,8	17:12,12 18:3	71:1
changed 18:14	135:25	columns 85:12	18:4,10,16	confused 49:8
changes 13:1	click 150:9	combination	19:14 32:19	57:13,14
17:25 68:5	client 37:16	67:22,24	35:19,24 37:7	Conine 2:9 31:6
136:23	80:18	combined	40:8 41:5	34:25 43:11
characterize	client's 66:9	114:20	46:22 51:23	69:21 70:4,7
87:9	124:24	come 10:2 65:18	52:13 76:23	120:20
chart 51:2 58:25	clients 29:19	80:8,24 82:20	88:24 89:1	conjunction
59:7,10,19,22	30:24 31:4,15	87:21 96:4	107:13,17	12:15 138:5
60:13 63:17	145:10	97:5 111:7	112:17 123:10	connection
67:10,12,19	clock 66:6	145:22	comprehensive	108:11 118:11
68:8,23 85:13	close 16:2 23:24	comfortable	7:11 12:11	connotations
85:17 101:3,11	25:8 35:18	29:25	comprising 18:6	24:25
103:10 105:21	37:21 38:3,15	coming 81:14	conceptually	consecutive
106:25 108:8	54:2 77:19	114:11 116:1	22:2	60:23
	99:6 108:3	150:1	concern 102:25	consent 3:13 5:9
114:24 115:3,7				
charts 68:3	117:7 132:3	Commencing	concerned	5:13 6:7,14
chase 85:6	133:25	1:9	133:21	7:19,23,24 8:1
check 28:18	closely 103:22	comment 6:12	concise 7:12	8:2,5,6,13 9:11
70:10 102:7	107:4 108:12	6:22,24 7:3	concisely 83:18	9:13 12:16,17
135:2	closer 13:12,17	17:14 87:23	concludes 151:4	15:20,23 16:14
checked 49:12	14:20 16:22	94:17 133:19	conclusion 6:10	18:22,23,24,25

-				Page 157
10.17 10 20.1	103:9	80.14 15 10 20		141:3 144:14
19:17,19 20:1		89:14,15,19,20	critical 66:1	
20:22 21:10,15 23:19 25:24	context 12:19,22 continuation	95:18 100:2,8 100:9 102:5,14	136:19,21 cross 132:1	144:16,19 145:3
	146:25	100:9 102:3,14		days 138:12
26:2,7,9 43:3 45:4 46:25	Continue 105:13	, ,	cross-exam 141:20	DBH 107:10
43:4 46:23		103:17,21 105:18,19	cross-examina	114:5,7,13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	continuing 14:9 contractor	105:18,19	86:14 88:8	114:3,7,13
65:16,21 66:20 135:17 139:18	97:15	108:8 109:8	143:12	dead 58:1,14,19
139:22 140:13	control 144:4	117:14 118:12	cross-outs 68:12	59:24 60:6
140:18 141:1,5	convey 139:3	117.14 118.12	crowded 133:14	62:7,17 63:7
140.18 141.1,3	convey 139.5 cooperation	119:15,16	CSR 152:18	68:1 74:1 75:1
conservation	139:1	120:10,15,16	current 23:7	86:24 87:5,7
128:10,20		120.10,13,10	67:1 71:22	
128:10,20	cooperatively 94:20	121:10,20,21	74:1 75:13	88:1,5,13 106:15 107:10
conservative		129:20 130:6		
144:25	copies 9:9	129:20 130:6	83:25 84:14,15 85:25 138:14	110:20,20,21 111:2,12,23
consider 5:10	Coppertree 31:12	134:3 133:9,10	currently 4:20	111:2,12,23
consider 5:10	copy 76:9	corrected 41:24	35:21 41:6	112:9,13,13,21
119:2,8,18	copy 76:9 corner 11:25	corrections	118:6 128:1	113:23 113:24
120:6 133:20	16:21 22:4	134:17	129:14	117:10,12,13
considered 93:7	49:14 85:11		cut 66:5 143:21	117:19,21,21
109:19	correct 9:5 11:8	correctly 137:4 counsel 10:25		117:19,21,21
considers 24:25		152:10,12	cutting 86:4,4 112:16	126:7 128:23
consistent 18:7	11:9,11 12:1,2 12:8,9 17:5,6	count 78:13	112:10	120:7 128:25
consists 49:3	12:0,9 17:3,0	89:13	D	dead-is-dead
constituted	18:20,21 19:10	couple 8:23 18:2	D 1:18 3:1 83:3	117:25 118:5
61:19	19:11 22:5,6,9	40:16 71:16	83:7	deadline 65:15
construction	22:10,24,25	88:5 102:6	D/b/a 1:5	66:20
4:16 18:11	30:24 33:2,3	112:23 121:12	damage 96:2	deal 85:2 89:11
71:25 72:2,4	33:20,21 35:13	121:14 128:4	DAN 1:12	138:10 143:23
consulted 137:6	35:14 39:7	132:20 148:14	dangerous	death 112:22
contained 6:17	41:12 42:19,22	coupled 131:3	130:25	debate 94:8
9:13 26:2	44:17 45:9	course 8:24	dare 16:3	December 5:6
85:16,21 101:3	47:2,24,25	11:22 22:14	dark 89:1,18	decide 146:10
101:12 102:14	52:21,22,25	25:25 73:7	91:24 98:18	deciduous 93:18
103:9 106:19	53:1,5,6,13,14	Court 5:14,20	data 36:5 129:10	decision 65:23
containing 5:14	53:20 54:21	covering 44:20	129:12,13	deemed 54:2
63:18	55:1,23,24	COVID 48:24	date 49:12	deer 92:1,6 96:2
contains 7:24	56:8,9,23	48:24	140:11 141:22	96:2
22:7 101:4,7	58:21 60:7,11	create 58:24	152:8	defer 81:22
contemplated	61:1,12 62:3,4	101:10,13,15	dated 11:24 49:2	113:17
131:8,10	66:21 68:10	115:17	54:20 59:6	deferred 74:19
contemplates	69:18,19,23	created 59:21	72:3 75:18	defines 25:5
65:22 70:16	70:9,13 71:10	100:19 119:14	84:24 108:22	definition 37:9
123:18 131:2	73:10 74:6	120:14	152:22	deflect 38:10
contend 66:4	77:22 79:18,19	crew 15:4	dates 137:1	degree 40:15
content 101:13	82:2 85:4,5,8,9	criteria 17:22	DAVIS 2:2	83:21 105:9
101:15	85:13,14 86:2	21:23,24 23:8	day 41:15	deliberating
contents 101:11	86:3,17 87:7,8	91:14	109:10 119:1	137:21
L	•		•	

denoted 86:6,24 determine 53:22 72:9 49:3 61:17,19 early	st 145:11 142:14,17 :6 145:9 9:11
denote 95:14 denoted 86:6,2458:18 113:24 determine 53:22direction 14:23 72:916:4 23:20 49:3 61:17,19 drawings 10:6,9earliege earliege88:1 denotes 89:1154:5 73:12 113:5 129:23directions 131:2 directly 80:3,23dcawings 10:6,9 	st 145:11 142:14,17 :6 145:9 9:11
denoted 86:6,24 88:1determine 53:22 54:5 73:1272:949:3 61:17,19 drawings 10:6,9early 144:denotes 89:11113:5 129:23directions 131:2 directly 80:3,2326:3 48:21ease 9	142:14,17 :6 145:9):11
88:1 denotes 89:1154:5 73:12 113:5 129:23directions 131:2 directly 80:3,23drawings 10:6,9 26:3 48:21144: ease 9	:6 145:9):11
denotes 89:11 113:5 129:23 directly 80:3,23 26:3 48:21 ease 9):11
	2:1,2,6
Department determining disagree 139.13 48.10 49.3,9 east 9. 105.18 117.20 disagree 139.13 49.10 49.3,9 127:	
4:21 60:5 discolor 45:20 50:9 easy 1	-
e de la companya de l	:10 139:3
30:20 79:21 135:25 138:4 63:11,12 drill 15:14 eat 92	
depends 124:17 diameter 16:18 discuss 150:21 drilling 15:4 edge	
	21 98:15
	tion 83:19
	6:22 77:14
depicted 44:12 114:15,20 113:6 145:9 77:1	
	:136:2
1 2	114:22
deplots 55.21 121.24,24,25 05.7 111.24 durability 94.12 legit 1 deployed 24:13 122:21 126:14 128:23 duration 19:3 131:	
	.21 y-six 124:8
Describe 46:14 116:23 34:14,19,25 60:6 62:7,18 either	·
	5 107:11
	:12 128:21
	:22 135:7
BESCRIPTI difference 09:10 distances 09:8 104:21 107:11 130: 3:12 69:16 70:4 131:17 110:20 111:2 149:	
	onically
design 20.22,23 68.12 distinction 111.13,25 electro 21:4,19,22,23 differences 116:2 113:25 117:10 150:	•
21:4,19,22,25 anterences 110.2 113.25 117.10 130. 22:15,24 23:4 12:22 63:9 district 5:4,14 117:18,24 eleme	
22: 13,24 23:4 12:22 03:9 district 3:4,14 117:18,24 ereme 23:8 26:23 different 18:3 disturb 89:6 118:2 128:23 131:	·
	.24 ents 14:15
	22 51:20,23
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	· · · · · ·
	tion 27:13
50:25 57:2,5 68:20 95:11 111.15 10:25 05:0,5,5 71:2,5 76:24 102:2 112:3 Docketed 5:5 152:1,1 34:2	
	ABETH
designated 121:6 126:24 8:23 53:8,10 54:19 1:15	
	, ald 88:3,6
	:17 113:4
designed 15.20 150.10 12.18 40.15 50.10 110. 15:21 22:2 differently 66:9 68:20 108:22 emerged	
13.21 22.2 differently 00.9 08.20 100.22 50:19 51:10 131:8 106:20 118:19 E2's 51:12,18 17:2	•
50.19 51.10 151.8 100.20 118.19 12 551.12,10 17.2 70:16,24 difficult 71:1 137:10,15 E2PM 11:11 emplo	
	:10,12
	oys 42:25
	ed 15:24
	inter 15:7
	ge 86:13
	ged 88:7
	3:18 80:7
	9,14 81:9,9
$\begin{bmatrix} 30.12 \ 57.17 \\ 0.12 \ 57.17 \\ 0.10 \ 10.10, 12 \\ 0.10 \ 121.0 \\ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \\ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \\ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \\ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \\ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \\ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \\ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \ 0.12 \\ 0.12 \ 0.1$,17 01.7,7

				Page 159
82:1	129:12 131:6,7	66:24,24 75:23	138:5	Fantastic 83:24
engineer 8:11	evaluated 15:5	85:4 149:17	explain 20:17	far 14:3 16:1
11:7 15:3,16	evaluation 54:4	Exhibit-2 19:25	30:25 39:19	38:11 132:13
24:8 42:21,25	54:8 60:10	20:14 34:4,5,7	72:2	fault 116:14
43:22 46:7	70:11,12 76:7	41:25 42:15	exposed 27:8	feasible 15:9
56:24 57:1	126:1	44:8,12 45:9	expressly 6:17	Federal 5:2
58:4 138:13	evening 30:19	Exhibit-3 21:7,9	extend 65:23	feedback 23:22
149:19	31:4 32:3,5,9	21:15	66:20 130:4,5	feel 11:21 27:21
engineer's 43:6	46:25 142:24	Exhibits 9:18	130:7 131:4	93:4,6
engineering	events 46:2	existing 47:6	extendable	feet 14:13,22
11:5 25:22	evergreen 88:21	51:2 58:18,24	70:23	15:12 16:1,19
58:9	93:21 98:13	59:7,9,19 67:9	extended 70:21	16:21 17:2,7
engineers 99:22	evergreens	67:11 68:8,23	extendible 70:17	17:18 18:6
enlarge 13:8	88:22 98:15	75:11,11,19,21	70:18,19 71:3	20:25 22:5,16
ensure 125:14	126:9	77:19 85:12,16	extending 131:1	24:21 26:2,6
entered 39:10	everybody 7:8	85:24 89:6	extension 148:9	27:9 28:16
entire 51:9,19	everyone's	101:2,11	extensions	30:11,11,16
81:11 123:10	144:20	103:10 105:21	126:15	33:8,12,19
entirety 9:10	evolution 88:9	106:25 108:8	extensive 88:8	34:9,10,12,17
entitled 85:7	exact 37:8 79:2	115:2,7,13,14	extensive solid extent 110:7	34:18,23 35:3
Environmental	135:8	120:21 121:19	131:5 136:2	35:7 39:3 47:2
83:22	exactly 17:14	126:4,11	extra 9:9	47:9 69:9,11
equals 116:11	21:1 51:10	127:13 129:11	extracted 52:18	69:14,16,17,22
116:15	91:21 115:20	expand 40:15		70:4,23,25
equipment	124:16 132:13	expanded 39:12	F	71:3,4,14 90:7
14:15 17:10,14	137:10,10	40:1	F 2:8 83:3,3	90:8,16,17,19
17:19 26:12	EXAMINATI	expect 65:9 77:9	152:1	90:20,23 91:1
32:6,7,11,18	11:1 32:2	90:12 96:13	facilitate 139:2	114:15,21
32:18,19,20,25	83:12 99:16	97:23 98:9,12	facility 4:21	116:11,15
33:1,8 36:1	example 73:2	98:20	32:10	123:16 124:8
37:7,24 41:4	87:12 109:21	expected 6:6	fact 25:2 54:13	124:11,11,13
46:12,14,16,19	115:23 121:23	90:1	55:22 103:8	124:13,18
53:4 82:9	136:23 137:20	experience	113:9 137:15	132:3,11,17,18
112:17	exceed 19:3,8	40:14,19 41:3	factored 22:24	132:20 133:1,3
equipment's	Excellent 91:6	83:19 97:14,15	factors 23:6	134:4 149:19
112:7	exception 12:5	97:16 98:4	fade 45:20	149:20
especially 81:15	excuse 30:14	113:3,12,23	fair 35:4 38:18	fence 14:15
95:23 117:8	excused 64:22	133:11	78:19 100:21	17:17 26:13,14
ESQUIRE 2:3,5	executed 15:2	experienced	109:21 129:17	26:18 40:12,15
2:8	execution 5:8	113:11	145:10	54:1,2
ESQUIRES 2:5	exercise 53:22	expert 11:5,14	fairly 76:5 78:22	fenced 96:1
essentially 14:24	60:3	84:10,16 92:21	92:16	fencing 18:18
18:15 26:13	exercised 19:1,8	104:23 105:3	fairness 76:13	47:10,13
establish 141:22	exhibit 3:12 9:4	125:12 138:6	141:23	fiberglass 44:22
established 96:1	9:11,14 11:20	expertise 104:10	fake 149:11	46:1
establishing	12:21,23,25	104:11 125:9	familiar 85:15	field 11:5 40:19
96:16	18:13 19:17	134:19 135:4	85:20 88:2	79:4 84:10
et 18:18 41:18	20:15 33:24	136:3	110:24 133:16	89:5,7 129:24
95:11 128:23	49:9 61:17,18	experts 137:3	famous 8:8	133:6

				Page 160
fight 136:8	fix 135:3	52:13 67:1	95:18,25 96:10	generator 17:21
figure 145:4	Flanagan 1:11	focusing 13:25	96:13,20 105:5	17:22 18:20
147:24	4:1 6:21 11:13	foliage 27:7,16	105:9 112:11	19:1 41:10,16
file 138:21	13:4,11 23:11	28:2 98:3	112:19 125:5	generators 19:8
150:18	23:16,21,25	folks 27:6	130:17,20	41:5
filed 4:13 5:2,13	26:20,25 29:15	follow 12:7	142:25	gentleman 95:8
54:20	29:17,24 30:23	16:13 18:2	Fox's 75:5,9	133:23 137:12
filing 5:8	31:2,18,21	62:22 133:8	84:24	gentlemen 30:19
fill 28:6 98:18	63:21,25 64:4	follow-up 93:17	free 11:21 93:13	geometric 52:14
Final 4:15	64:17,18,23	following 21:18	frequencies	geotech 23:6
finally 31:12	65:1,4,7,14	62:23	24:10,14 25:2	geotechnical
financially	66:11 67:3	follows 8:9	25:17	15:3,15,22
152:13	70:1,5 73:16	10:24 21:20	frequency 24:16	35:11 37:11,17
find 55:16	74:3,7,10,20	24:14 83:4	24:17,17 25:8	getting 21:12
fine 55:12 67:3	75:2 79:25	followup 82:4	25:12,12	50:5,6 58:11
137:20 144:6	80:8,12,21,24	foot 14:24 34:16	friable 15:11,13	65:15 113:13
137:20 144:0	81:2,7,18	39:17 46:23	Friday 19:2	113:14,17
finish 102:17	81:2,7,18 82:12,20 83:24	90:5	41:11	127:3 133:22
148:21	84:13,16 91:6	footing 38:7,7,9	front 138:2	gigahertz 24:18
finished 102:22	91:11 92:9	U U U U U U U U U U	frustrated 73:19	25:18
		foregoing 152:5		
firm 7:9 11:10	93:10 94:4,14	foreground 28:1	frying 62:22	give 4:6 69:7
36:8,12 37:11	94:23 95:13,19	Forest 105:10	full 10:15 83:5	80:5 83:9 99:5
38:13 48:23	96:22,25 97:5	forestry 83:21	90:13 132:15	given 15:18
49:7,19,24	97:9 98:7	83:21,23	function 70:20	17:24 34:13
50:1,6 53:11	99:15 104:24	forgot 25:21	funny 136:16,17	35:4 54:5 99:4
53:19,21 58:5	105:3,13 112:4	form 113:20	143:3	126:3,3 136:7
58:9,16,17	112:15,20	134:7,8	further 7:16	141:20 143:17
62:5	130:15,19,22	forth 8:5 94:25	19:14 23:10	glad 76:8 139:2
firms' 68:9	132:12,16,21	152:8	38:20,21 39:13	glasses 48:15
first 21:10,20	134:21 135:1,6	found 63:3	40:2 79:23	go 13:24 14:8,18
23:15,16,23	135:11 136:10	106:22	88:25 91:5	16:4,22 19:16
29:21,22,23	138:3 139:5,11	foundation 15:5	136:3 140:13	19:16,22 20:2
31:6 55:18	139:21,25	15:9,10,17,21	148:8 152:9	20:9 21:6
58:23 63:2,19	140:8 141:2,12	15:22 16:3,19	furthermore	22:18,19 23:15
75:15 84:20	142:3,11,14,21	21:20 22:21,22	27:24	23:16 26:22
87:21 95:25	143:3 144:6,11	33:19 37:6,7	future 125:10	29:2,22,23
96:23,24 98:23	144:14,20,23	37:22 38:4,16	128:22 150:21	30:10,12 39:18
100:4 101:16	145:2,6,11,20	70:22,24 71:1	G	46:19 49:2
101:17,18,20	146:4,16,20	98:5	G 2:3,9 25:18	54:23 55:25
101:21 109:10	147:2,9,13,22	foundations		65:19 67:4
115:11 118:22	148:1,10,15,18	37:8	gaps 98:18 gas 17:21	70:25 96:23,24
142:9,9,15	149:9,24 150:2	four 7:19 49:4	gator 96:8	97:7 105:13,16
143:4,6 144:1	150:12,20	82:16 88:20	geez 122:14	107:9,24 108:4
144:1,11	151:1	91:13 97:25	general 80:10	131:12,14,14
five 63:8 89:17	flourish 99:5	101:19 126:24	generally 13:3	140:20 141:7
94:1 126:24	flow 77:10	131:21	13:14 19:7,9	141:12,21
131:21 145:6	flowers 113:19	Fox 1:18 17:1,4	21:13 24:13	144:1,1 145:16
five-minute 6:22	117:18 118:6	75:18 76:6,21	37:4 46:20	146:20,25
63:22	focus 8:17 13:20	94:7,25 95:9	57.440.20	goes 14:4 38:7
	l		l	l
B				

-				Page 161
70:21 112:18	95:10 103:25	124:25	22:14 47:6	home 41:18
going 11:17,18	103:25 104:4	guess 23:18 28:5	hedge 97:17	145:3
11:19 14:18	107:20 110:22	46:12,14 47:6	height 20:25	hope 8:7 74:12
20:2,3 25:2,17	120:23 122:10	76:5 87:6,25	22:5 23:6 26:6	138:16 140:3
31:5 32:4,4	127:21 128:3,4	95:15 122:15	30:8 44:9,13	horse 138:4
47:7,12,17,18	128:5 137:13	122:19 138:5	46:17,18 47:1	hour 69:25
49:1,2 53:12	gotten 124:20	guys 103:23	78:15 89:24	146:24
53:22,23 55:18	Grab 80:24	107:4 136:15	90:2,13,14,21	hours 19:2 65:2
65:10,11,12,18	grade 15:12	148:11	102:12 114:15	house 130:25
65:18 66:5,14	22:16 38:7		114:21 115:2	Hugh 1:14 91:11
69:1 73:8,9	graduated 83:20	H	121:24,25,25	hundred 28:20
74:13 76:15	grassy 79:4	H 3:10 21:25	122:21 126:11	35:7 71:3,4
77:18 79:6,8	gravel 76:22	half 19:24 27:13	133:15 149:16	90:18
79:20 82:8	79:12,14,16	69:25 146:24	Hello 149:6	hundreds 121:8
84:19 87:2	graveled 79:5	hand 10:14	help 27:19	Hunter 45:12
88:9,19,22,23	Great 74:7	82:15,24	helpful 28:1	hybrid 91:16
88:24 89:2,4	76:10	hands 82:16	57:23 63:24	92:3
90:3,4 94:1,7	greater 38:9	Hang 43:10	142:2	
96:14 97:20,24	46:23	happening 46:8	Herald 30:21	<u> </u>
98:2,24,24	green 44:19 45:9	happens 112:14	31:3	IBC 21:24
99:11 107:19	45:11,12,12	happy 99:7	hereinbefore	idea 28:11
108:3,4,20,20	52:16,17 54:10	hard 91:19	152:8	106:15 141:6
109:12 110:11	55:21 56:21	HARDING 1:1	HEROLD 2:7	identical 56:7,9
110:12,13	98:18 149:17	hardy 94:9	hey 141:5	identification
112:7,16,24	GREENBAUM	95:11 99:7	high 21:2 26:10	67:25 75:20
113:25 115:24	2:2	Harsh 2:9 31:12	26:19 46:20	102:10 115:13
122:11 123:4,7	ground 77:1	hatched 6:7	93:23 123:14	identifications
123:10,22	Group 8:16	He'll 97:1	123:15 125:15	103:19
125:11,14,15	grow 87:21	head 46:21	125:16	identified 32:11
126:10 127:22	89:25 90:8	healthy 103:3	higher 25:2,3,8	37:24 39:4
129:15,23	91:19 93:25	125:18	25:14,17	53:12,16,17
130:4,5,10	99:4,6,10	hear 23:21	highlight 7:15	57:25 63:7
131:4,14,25,25	109:12 123:22	29:21 95:5	7:16	67:11,19 102:3
132:22 133:14	124:1,2,10,16	139:9,15,16	highly 15:11	106:5,7,13
134:19 139:7,8	124:17 125:19	145:18 146:24	highways 87:20	107:1,22
139:17 141:4	125:24 126:9	heard 65:8 73:6	Hill 31:6 97:12	117:25 127:9
141:10,12,15	127:7 130:3	92:15 139:10	97:12	138:24
143:18,19	131:23 132:22	143:8 149:11	hired 50:8,13	identifies 56:12
145:1,15 146:2	133:15 134:7	hearing 4:11	history 4:7 7:14	126:18,19
146:12 147:4	growing 90:21	5:10,16,16,17	hold 9:24 49:8	identify 31:5
147:17 148:11	103:2 109:22	5:23 6:2,8,16	80:12 107:19	67:23 68:23
148:16,19,20	grown 92:5	7:13 12:20,24	107:19 148:12	75:16 102:4
148:21,22	grows 87:19	15:1 17:1	hollies 88:23,25	106:2 107:9
149:1	91:19	25:25 26:1	90:3,15,16	108:7 114:25
good 26:16	growth 90:12	57:17 93:23	98:17 109:11	115:5 116:13
30:19,19 31:24	94:12	136:20 137:1	124:1,1,2,10	117:5 118:4
31:25 32:3	guarantee 96:4	139:8 151:3	125:15	identifying 53:4
90:10 91:18,18	124:21	hearings 6:18	Holly 89:17,18	54:12
94:8,10,12	guarantees	7:21 11:3	91:15,16	identity 102:10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

				Page 162
ignorant 87:15	44:2,23 48:18	20:18 86:1,9	James 2:9 3:3	49:3 53:25
illustrated 14:22	49:6 58:7,8	86:15 87:13	8:9 10:17 31:6	63:9 65:8,11
imagine 128:2	60:9	intending 123:9	53:3 60:12	65:14 66:13
immediately	individually	129:21	JCM 50:4	67:13,17 68:6
114:3 138:15	76:6	intention 9:23	Jeffrey 3:5 8:15	68:7,7 69:2,3,4
138:21	infiltrate 77:1	interest 6:1	47:25 83:7	69:5 70:16
impact 76:16	infiltration	12:10 60:22	Jersey 5:5 11:8	73:11 74:13
77:9 81:16	76:22,24 77:5	61:23 75:4,9	17:24 19:12	77:24,25 78:3
119:4,9,11	77:15 79:17	85:2 136:11	83:16 92:24	78:4,14 81:16
134:19	information	interested	93:8,12 152:5	81:23 92:17,18
impacts 78:24	43:3,4 44:14	152:13	Jim 10:2 20:14	97:2 103:25
important 27:5	45:3,4,24 51:4	interference	21:9 57:13	104:8,9,10
51:7 63:10,17	58:13 59:20	53:24	77:16	106:12 110:14
95:3,7,12	63:1,18 67:14	interim 148:11	JM 49:12 50:4	111:19,25
136:24 137:8	67:20,20 68:3	interrupt 16:24	job 103:25	112:10,17,17
impose 128:20	68:9,10 78:20	25:11 32:18	120:23	114:6 115:18
impression 97:8	85:15,20 101:7	61:22	John 138:8	115:18,20,21
inch 116:10,15	102:2,14,15,19	interrupting	JS 49:9,14,17,23	116:1,5,6
inches 16:17	106:19,24	89:22	jump 120:18	117:8,13
include 47:22	109:2,14	invasive 58:15	jumping 58:22	118:25 120:1
137:22	informational	107:11	June 4:24	122:14,20
included 20:22	22:11	inventory 53:11	141:23 142:6	124:16,24,25
includes 6:1	infrastructure	58:3,6,18		124:25 125:3
including 41:5	18:12	59:23 61:8,14	K	126:11,14
58:13 82:9	initial 18:11	62:3 75:10,19	K 10:23	127:12,25
114:25 115:5	95:23	112:11 115:7	keep 4:9 6:24	128:15 129:14
inconsistent	initially 15:9	investigation	20:2,3 77:16	129:22 133:12
27:10 49:16	initials 48:10,20	112:1	146:15	134:14,17
incorporated	66:15,15	involved 57:5	keeping 65:16	136:15,16,25
6:17 7:22	inner 16:16	94:24 109:4,10	kicked 98:1	139:16 144:4
increased 69:17	33:17	involvement	kill 91:19	146:21 147:8
81:13	input 137:4	100:8 110:14	killing 88:4,6	knowing 17:15
incredibly 66:9	138:2,14	IRIS 1:20 152:3	110:18	78:19,21 141:8
137:8	inquiry 18:10	152:18	kind 11:18	knowledge
independent	inside 131:22	irrigation 96:9	28:21 91:17	37:10 62:6,16
54:4	inspection 143:9	96:12,14 125:7	115:25 125:5	known 5 :18
independently	150:6	125:20,25	131:16	24:13 103:23
36:16,17 85:19	installation	Irrigation's	knockout	
indicate 8:2	20:20 125:2	125:22	145:23	
22:12 24:10	installed 45:19	issue 7:13 51:7	know 10:11	L 83:3
88:16	76:23 78:12	74:22 86:5	15:10 28:4,6	L-1 8:19 47:23
indicated 9:14	instance 102:24	134:1 136:4,8	29:1 36:18,21	47:23 48:3
17:1 59:23	instructed 31:15	137:7,19	36:23 37:1,15	49:23 50:2,16
73:24	instructions	140:25 141:17	40:14,20,25	50:17 54:15,18
indicates 75:10	37:22	issues 138:24	42:3,6,12,13	54:23 55:2,4
75:12	intend 114:14	item 17:20 46:18	43:2 44:4,6,11	55:23,25 56:6
indigenous	114:19 128:19	Т т	44:23,25 45:11	56:11,21 58:12
93:17	128:20	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}_{10} 22 22 2}$	45:14,16,17,21	58:23 67:10
individual 8:15	intended 8:21	J 10:23 83:3	45:22 48:8,8	74:15 85:3,7

				Page 163
94:17 99:18	47:14 50:20,21	legend 51:7	listed 93:1	34:14
100:17 101:3	51:6,6,11,13	54:12 59:22	Listen 81:4	Longo 36:12
101:12 102:14	51:20,23 52:15	116:16	listening 62:23	37:10
103:10 106:12	54:9,15,15,25	legends 50:24	literally 110:16	look 21:1 27:6
106:19 115:12	55:1,22,23	51:13 115:18	litigation 5:11	27:20 28:3
115:19 116:9	56:1,6,21 57:3	116:16	5:15	39:21 49:13
126:19	57:18 58:12	legible 16:12	little 13:17	54:13 55:13
L-1s 59:2	74:18 77:14,18	17:10	15:12 16:9,12	56:10 60:19
L-2 8:19 47:23	78:10,12 94:16	length 14:13	17:9 22:19	61:20 73:12
48:3 49:23	94:22 100:16	34:12,13,14	28:7 30:12	78:23 92:23
50:2,16,17	100:20 109:8	35:4	88:25 89:23	97:23 98:9,12
58:12 85:3	119:7,14,20	lengthy 86:14	99:5 124:15	101:18 102:23
88:16,17 94:17	120:5,14,17	let's 17:18 41:16	131:15	103:1 108:5
99:18 100:17	121:18 123:8	41:20 58:23	live 25:8 42:1	113:19 126:21
120:18 121:1,2	131:11 133:22	73:16 86:22	80:15 81:10	131:19,20
120:10 121:1,2	136:21	129:8 132:12	112:16 127:5	132:5,15,25
129:22 131:19	Lane 31:12	137:6,20 138:8	149:7	134:3,10,16
L-3 8:19 47:23	language 65:22	139:5 144:24	living 104:21	135:6,12
48:4 49:23	LaROSA 1:20	145:14	124:17	137:24 138:9
50:2,16,17	latest 17:25	level 28:12	Livio 2:9 31:10	143:19,20
58:12 85:3	laughter 136:13	87:10	Livio's 80:10	looked 101:17
89:10,21 94:17	law 2:7 6:5 7:9	license 12:3	81:10	101:23 102:7
99:19 100:17	30:22 31:3	83:25 84:13,15	LLC 59:11,19	103:2
LA 152:3,18	65:24	licensed 11:7,8	LLP 2:2	looking 27:11
labels 121:3	lawsuit 5:3,21	42:25 47:16	lobby 141:13	49:10 55:11
Labor 144:14	lay 85:24	83:15 104:25	locate 38:13	58:22 78:20
ladies 30:18	layer 15:8 121:9	105:1	40:12 72:10	101:21 103:3
LaHobsack	layers 121:6,7,8	lie 63:11	117:5	107:13,25
43:22	121:11	life 118:8	located 4:17	128:4 132:7
laid 40:13	layout 17:19	Likewise 6:25	13:15 14:9	134:1,1
land 6:5 129:4,4	18:16	Limited 1:4 4:13	42:13 72:16	looks 49:9 120:2
129:5	lease 32:10	limiting 41:19	112:6	121:11,12
landscape 8:17	38:22,23,24,25	line 14:22 33:13	location 16:13	127:8 129:22
12:2 47:15,16	39:2,5,6,10,12	34:15,20 35:2	17:10,24 21:23	129:24 133:19
50:12,13 52:18	39:17,25	35:6 40:13,15	35:12,13,21	134:4
56:23,24 57:1	leave 30:15	54:1,2,5 69:12	37:5 38:14	loose 138:11
58:4,4 63:14	123:4	69:13,22 72:11	71:22 72:20	Lori 1:17 9:3,5
63:15 66:24	leaves 113:18	86:6 89:2,5	107:1,9 135:8	11:18 13:4
73:19 74:16,18	117:18 118:6	90:7 120:23	150:15	19:16 21:6
83:14 84:4,10	led 7:13	122:2 130:1	locked 141:24	22:19 23:21
95:14 96:16	Lees 31:6 97:11	131:22 132:4	logistics 147:25	31:22 80:25
97:25 104:13	97:12	132:10,17,18	long 64:25 65:9	85:10 143:6
108:13,15	left 13:11 48:24	133:1,3 140:19	87:22 90:24	146:21
109:16,19	49:1 113:10	lines 66:14 69:1	98:2 124:10,16	lose 98:16 134:9
118:9 125:8	136:22	103:13,19	145:1	138:19
132:13 133:12	left-hand 11:25	105:25 107:6	longer 48:20	lost 116:13
134:24 135:19	49:14 85:11,12	131:13	49:7 64:24	lot 1:6 4:19 27:6
landscaping	legally 66:6	linked 150:9	124:15	31:7,8,11,13
8:18 12:6 47:7	146:18	Linson 138:8	longest 34:11,13	51:4 63:1,18
L.,	•	•	•	•

				Page 164
69:1,10,12,13	manual 109:15	108:21 112:22	149:2 150:14	memorializing
69:20,21,21,22	109:17,18	140:24	150:21	4:25
77:13 79:8	117:2,4	matters 144:2,2	member 3:17	memory 18:5
92:6 98:5 99:4	manufacturer	mature 78:7	9:15 23:13,14	mentioned
110:18 120:1,3	15:18,19 20:21	90:13	23:17 24:2,7	80:10 124:22
120:20,21	22:2 42:5,19	max 123:22	24:11,19 25:1	MERTZ 1:18
121:18 122:1	45:5	124:2,3	25:7,14,16,19	method 112:25
122:17 123:1,5	Maple 102:25	maxed 90:22	26:11,16,22	mic 80:25
123:17 126:7	107:22 128:2	maximum 90:22	27:2,14,18,24	MICHAEL 1:14
136:24 143:21	maps 4:18	90:12 133:15	28:13,17,20	microphone
lots 31:8,9	March 5:12	maximums	29:7,14,16	10:4 30:1
lower 11:25	11:24 12:20,24	109:12	30:9,16 62:21	31:22 75:7
22:19 26:1	16:25 18:13	McKINLEY	63:13,20 64:6	97:10
27:16 28:9	32:9 47:22	1:18	64:8,10,12,14	middle 35:19,23
30:7 44:13	49:3 51:13	McKittrick 3:19	64:16,20 90:24	Middletown
46:22 49:14	52:3 54:20,23	148:13,17,25	91:2,10,12	5:19
85:11,11 98:17	55:4 56:1,11	149:4,6,10,16	92:8,10,13,20	midnight 141:3
lowering 30:6	57:17 59:6,18	149:20 150:11	92:25 93:6,9	Mike 1:11
46:13 47:1	60:24 61:24	150:13	93:11,14,16,22	104:10
lowest 88:22	72:3 100:21,24	mean 30:10	95:2,7,20 96:7	Mill 1:8
10WCSt 00.22	101:4 108:17	31:22 34:3	96:11,18 104:1	Millbrook 1:5
M	mark 9:10,12,13	38:5 43:8	104:5,9,15,19	4:18 31:7,11
M 2:9 10:23,23	9:24	51:21 60:19,19	105:11 128:9	81:10 149:7
M-u-r-a-w-s-k-i	marked 9:19	66:17 68:20	128:13 129:3	mimic 110:7
10:18	12:25 21:10	70:19 72:25	131:16 132:2,7	mimicking
madness 112:25	32:9 75:22	97:24 104:24	132:9,23 133:2	77:19
maintain 45:19	108:21	114:10 121:4,5	133:7,23 134:8	mind 6:24 10:13
95:23 125:11	marking 9:20	123:12 126:25	134:12,23	31:23 65:16
130:10	Maselli 1:12	132:5 138:1	135:3,15,20	78:7
maintained	23:14 26:22	139:2	136:6 137:9	mine 52:17
125:13	27:2,14,18,24	meaning 60:23	138:23 139:10	mine's 27:4
maintaining	28:13,17,20	128:22	142:16 144:9	minus 14:24
17:4 96:7	29:7,14,16	meaningfully	144:13,16,18	minute 19:19,20
maintenance	30:9,16 34:9	66:2	144:24 145:4	minutes 4:7,10
19:5 125:7,9	64:7,8 93:11	means 53:25	145:14,15	19:3,9 41:11
130:18,21,23	93:16,22 95:20	69:2 114:6	147:3	65:1,3,12
majority 21:18	96:7,11,18	127:2	members 1:10	66:23 81:5
26:12 123:6,11	Maselli's 30:4	measure 30:12	9:9 21:14	misheard 40:8
123:12	Masters 8:22	measurements	29:18 80:1	missing 121:12
making 15:8	9:2,23	114:6,7	82:13,16 91:8	121:14 134:18
96:17 125:17	mat 15:10	measures 81:24	134:17 136:14	137:7
137:23	match 146:9	meet 17:22	148:6 150:6	misspoke 50:12
management	material 94:11	142:9	memo 75:25	mistaken 16:1
53:8,10 54:19	149:2	meeting 1:5	84:25	16:20
59:10,18 68:21	math 87:6	139:14 140:7	memorandum	misunderstan
76:17,19,24	131:24	142:10,16,19	75:6,10,18	9:21 139:6
77:10 78:25	matter 1:3 8:4	142:22 143:25	84:24	misunderstood
81:24 99:18	8:10 10:11	144:8 146:11	Memorial	137:17
108:12,22	36:15 87:24	146:13 147:18	144:16,19	mixed 89:1

[Page 165
Mlenak 2:3 4:9	Murawski 3:3	127:5,14 131:6	24:7,10,11,19	98:4,14 99:10
7:17 9:17	8:9,11 10:1,10	131:6 136:3	25:1,7,14,16	109:13,22
10:10,19 14:7	10:17 11:2,17	140:15,20	25:19 62:21,25	123:18 125:14
20:2,5 23:19	22:20 23:10	141:5,5,14	63:13,20 64:13	129:25 131:20
28:11,25 29:9	24:2 25:22,23	145:4 146:1	64:14 90:24	Notary 152:3
29:13 34:3	32:3 48:6	needed 53:12	91:2 92:10,13	notation 85:25
39:19 40:4	61:23 62:1	54:2,6,7	92:20,25 93:6	notations 53:7
41:24 48:12	63:16 74:23	needles 44:23	93:9,14 95:2,7	100:22
55:14 59:1,4	75:3 76:14	45:2,23 46:2	104:1,5,9,15	note 6:21 22:4
66:19 68:16	82:6 86:14	needs 66:12	104:19 105:11	22:11 52:14
72:8 75:7	88:8 101:10	95:22 106:16	128:9,13 129:3	94:15 110:23
82:24 83:5,9		137:1	131:16 132:2,7	129:22
97:19 98:11	Ν	neglected 71:21	132:9,23 133:2	noted 7:17,20
135:17,22	N 2:1 3:1 83:3	neighbor 127:25	132:7,23 135:2	11:25 40:3
139:20,24	N.J 5:19	128:7	134:12,23	94:20
140:6,19 141:6	name 10:15,16	neighbor's	135:3,15,20	notes 50:22,22
140:0,19 141:0	11:24 36:12	39:21 97:24	136:6 137:9	51:8,14
143.11,14	48:18 81:7	127:21,23	138:23 139:10	notice 6:1,3
144.10,22	83:5,6 97:11	neighborhood	142:16 144:9	21:21 81:11
147:20 149:18	102:11	119:3,13	Newlin's 133:11	121:22 142:23
149:25	named 5:17	neighboring	133:19	143:1 146:7,13
modify 71:1	Nationwide	119:12 130:6,7	nice 7:8 113:19	146:21 147:14
moment 18:23	24:13	131:5	night 6:23	140.21 147.14
113:8	native 5 8:14	neighbors 24:23	136:16	noticed 81:20
Monday 19:2	91:14,16,17,22	134:19	Nina 2:10 31:12	142:21
41:11	91:23 92:3,11	Neil 2:9 31:7	nine 49:4,4 87:1	notify 147:7
monopole 35:2	92:14,16,22	neither 54 :11	87:5	148:4
38:14 70:17	93:1,2,8 94:11	152:9,11	nitty-gritty	notwithstandi
108:3 123:11	107:11,24	Nellie 88:23	21:12	136:18
123:13,14,15	115:24	89:17 90:3,15	NJ 1:9	November
month 67:8	natural 97:18	91:16	noise 17:22	138:16,16
71:18,19 72:21	98:13 123:4	net 77:14,17	non-clients	146:11,14,15
142:15	131:12	never 31:23	29:21	148:7
months 71:17	naturally 127:22	92:15 105:17	non-contestant	number 4:12 5:5
100:6,7 101:19	nature 87:10	new 1:4,9 4:13	97:3	5:7 7:25 40:16
motion 137:23	88:12 99:1,3,7	5:5,23 6:15	non-extendable	44:25 63:6
motion 137.23 move 23:24	99:9	11:7 17:23	70:17	68:20,20 75:24
35:12 39:13	near 10:7	19:12 48:14	nonsense 93:9	76:3,4 86:15
70:1 73:16	113:19	63:14,15 71:25	nonsense 93.9 noon 141:3	86:19 87:12
135:7 137:6,20	necessarily 5:22	72:1,3,11,13	normally 37:5	88:12 89:12
moved 39:12	necessary 5:25	77:14,17,20	92:5 96:2,20	102:11 113:9
40:1 133:4	12:18 74:4	78:1,6 79:9	north 38:21 72:9	137:11
147:14	125:22	83:15 92:23	northerly 72:9	numbers 13:18
moving 38:19	need 12:21 16:9	93:8,12 95:21	northern 72:11	86:6 111:9,11
82:17 134:11	16:10 17:17	95:22 97:13	Norway 89:4,18	111:12
municipal 1:8	28:22 41:14	126:5 129:18	90:5,5,17	nursery 96:4
6:5 81:21,22	73:12 96:14	148:22 152:4	91:23 92:10,14	
municipality	112:13 113:10	Newlin 1:12	92:21 93:4	0
81:24	121:18 126:6	23:13,17 24:2	94:8 95:10	083:3
01.27		23.13,17 27.2	77.075.10	
		I	I	1

				Page 166
o'clock 145:6,7	137:20 145:25	17:23	18:15	37:16
146:3 147:23	147:22 148:4			
O'Donnell 2:9	149:10 150:11	original 18:4,4 39:5 57:16	part 13:20 14:1 15:23 20:23	performed 37:2 53:21 68:1
31:7 33:8	old 78:7 126:7	59:2 67:25	31:19 55:25	
	older 101:24	73:1 88:10	68:22 70:12	performing 12:11
38:20,21 69:21 132:1				
	on-site 106:3	originally 48:19	75:25 88:11	period 90:1
oath 10:12	once 19:8 41:11	51:5,6 57:7	122:8 127:3	93:15 96:3
object 39:15	45:18 100:12	59:12,15 70:8	128:19 132:25	123:18
objection 9:16	one-third 27:8	72:23,24 73:8	part's 68:24,24	permission 140:20
40:3 68:13	27:15 28:14	94:2 110:11	participate 66:2	
73:14	29:8 111:15	outer 16:18	participation	permit 4:16
Objectors 2:8	ones 27:11 53:23	33:18 34:20,21	141:21	permitted 6:9,11
obtain 136:3	90:6,18,18	outline 51:19	particular 54:12	134:15
obviously 75:12	91:14 107:25	outside 112:16	58:14 71:2,2	permitting 19:7
office 25:9 48:20	122:21 133:2	overall 12:12	79:24 97:16	person 73:25
57:25 62:3	145:21	14:13 20:25	126:3 137:19	92:19
116:22	open 14:14	26:6	particularly	person's 137:18
Officer 96:21	146:9	overgrown	127:10	personal 98:4
official 4:18	operation 42:11	126:19,22,25	parties 5:11	113:3
offset 15:25,25	opine 78:24	127:1,2,10,14	7:25 65:22	perspective
oh 13:5 52:5	109:6	overlapping	66:19 139:22	12:13 17:3
56:5 91:7	opinion 17:2	99:4	147:7 148:5	28:23 30:20
116:13 122:14	80:6 121:17	overrides 27:11	152:11	85:24 86:22
126:22 137:21	137:18	overview 21:14	Partnership 1:4	87:5
140:8 142:11	opportunities	owned 4:19	4:13	perspectives
okay 6:21 10:9	80:5	owners 137:18	parts 93:11	150:16
18:9,22 20:9	opportunity		Paul 1:18 83:20	Pete 36:12 37:10
20:17 23:1	66:2 84:23	$\left \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P} + 1} \right $	94:6,24 95:15	ph 43:22
29:9,13,24	opposed 41:20	P 2:1,1 48:13	95:21 105:5,8	photo 28:4
32:22 34:1,7	118:2 137:4	P.E 1:18	112:6 124:22	41:25 42:3,6,9
43:20 48:3,17	141:25	p.m 1:9 19:3	124:23 138:5	44:8,12
49:15,16 52:10	opposite 72:5	41:11,20,21	Paul's 139:1	photograph
52:11 54:10	options 45:15	64:2,3 142:25	paved 79:5	20:19 26:23,24
55:13 56:4,5	order 3:13 5:9	146:5,9,15	81:12,15	27:7,9 44:17
58:20,22 59:13	5:13 6:7,14	148:8 151:4	paving 81:21	photos 149:13
63:23 65:4	7:19,23,24 8:1	PA 2:7	PCS 24:16	150:9
77:21,24 80:21	8:6,14 9:11,13	packet 149:24	peeling 46:3	phrase 32:23
82:11 85:10,19	12:16,17 18:22	150:3,20	people 66:5	phrases 24:13
85:23 86:9,13	18:23,25,25	page 3:2,12,18	92:20 97:16	physical 18:12
86:22 87:9	19:17,19 20:1	3:18,19 13:4,6	98:5 103:23,25	physically 22:1
88:15,16 89:16	21:10,16 23:20	21:7,10,13	144:7	pick 147:7
92:8 94:14	25:24 26:2,7	22:22 23:18,20	people's 46:3	148:20
102:9,21	26:10 43:3	26:25 27:2,10	percent 28:20	picture 33:25
103:18 104:19	45:4 47:1,5	43:8,8,13,20	111:5,7 118:8	149:21 150:4
106:2 111:22	57:10,24 65:21	54:14 72:8	percentage	150:14
114:4 118:4	66:20 70:22	pages 21:18 23:3	111:13	piece 137:7
120:3,12,17	89:11	paragraph 7:19	perfect 16:11	pine 44:23 45:2
121:17 123:25	ordered 44:3	8:1,6	29:9 90:21	45:23 46:2
121.17 123.23				
131:15 136:10	Ordinance	parameters	perform 36:14	89:3 115:13
	Ordinance	parameters	perform 36:14	89:3 115:13

				Page 167
120:22,25	133:22 134:1	30:17 65:18	105:22	108:10,13,15
120:22,25	134:16,24	66:23 70:3,5	prepared 10:1	110:25 129:13
127:6	135:19,25	74:14 75:19	12:3 48:18	147:4
Pines 122:24	138:4,14	78:23 79:22,24	51:4 52:19	probably 10:9
pioneer 87:20	143:18	90:19 111:19	59:23 60:13	15:7 17:17
PL 1:6 4:21	Planner 8:22	112:20 114:1	61:8,13 62:2	40:14 51:8
place 47:10	Planning 5:19	133:11,24	73:21,22 88:10	61:8 62:1
96:12 133:6	84:6	137:13 148:23	102:18	64:25 65:10
152:7	plans 3:14 8:3	pointer 13:23	preparer 12:5	90:19,22 100:6
placement	8:12,13,20	14:6	preparing 94:21	101:19 122:14
135:22	9:12 11:24	pointing 126:20	present 1:10,16	128:5 132:20
places 115:19	12:3,5,6,14	points 137:12	6:6 86:16	133:5 135:16
placing 76:23	13:1 20:4,6	pole 14:19,20,21	presentation	147:1
78:1	43:21 44:1	15:5,16,18,18	8:25	problem 127:3
plan 4:15 5:23	48:4,9,18 50:7	15:20,24 16:14	presented 5:24	131:13 134:25
6:7 8:11,18	50:9,14,15	16:16 20:20,22	7:2 11:2 12:24	140:14
11:21 32:8,12	51:6 57:16,16	21:5,19,19	22:23 57:16	problems 92:6
44:5 47:15,22	101:17,18,22	33:14,16,17	61:17	135:3
50:18,19 51:12	101:23 103:24	35:9,18,20,21	presumably	procedural 7:14
51:14 52:3,16	108:11 138:21	37:6,23 42:10	44:1 53:4	procedurally
52:19 54:19,24	143:20	42:11,13 43:25	140:24	66:7
56:1,11,21	plant 88:21	45:18,23 70:15	pretty 17:24	procedure 7:22
58:15 59:2	97:16 98:5,25	70:21,22,23,24	71:14 95:11	proceed 10:1
63:14,16 66:10	110:21 114:19	71:2 107:14	103:2 108:1	proceedings
67:1,11,19	127:4 128:19	poles 98:2	116:19 129:15	29:12
68:14,18,18,19	planted 89:5	portion 29:16	prevent 46:8	process 12:12
68:21 72:5	90:4 94:2	31:19 37:12	47:4 96:2	60:9 66:3
73:21,21 74:15	97:25 125:17	position 144:5	prevented 46:24	97:13 137:15
75:22 77:22	planting 56:11	possibly 78:5	preventing	processes 7:12
78:20 85:7,8	56:13,18,22	post 11:19 25:9	38:19	produce 102:1
88:10,18 94:16	78:14 88:18	potentially	previously 8:10	producing 10:8
95:14 96:11,16	89:25 114:20	40:22 41:2	11:2,6 12:19	43:1
99:18 100:16	131:2,14	PP 1:18	22:23 24:15	product 95:15
100:20,22,24	plantings 56:12	practically 55:1	61:4 84:3	profession 83:13
101:24,24,25	92:24 98:6	141:2	108:21	113:24
101:25 102:1,7	108:4 126:5	pre-mark 9:1	primarily 17:12	professional
103:21 106:21	127:11 133:13	precaution	40:10 104:22	8:22 11:7 17:1
107:1 108:13	plants 109:15	10:13	primary 8:17	78:24 121:17
108:15,18,22	125:24 134:20	PRECISION	principal 11:10	progeny 65:25
109:4,7 110:25	playing 41:18	1:22	49:18,24 50:1	program 96:8
114:13 115:17	please 6:24 10:4	preclude 38:11	print 49:12	programs 15:2
116:9,18 117:6	10:14,16 11:21	preference	printing 115:22	project 46:7
118:1 119:7,14	16:4 64:4	144:21 148:2	prior 6:18 15:6	53:8,10 54:19
119:20 120:5	75:16 83:5	Preliminary	22:14 46:24,25	59:10,18 68:21
120:14,17,19	plenty 110:18	4:15	47:4 54:19	86:12 99:18
121:15 126:17	130:24	preparation 5:8	55:3 57:17	100:8 108:12
127:10 129:13	plus 14:24 66:5	60:15,16	59:16,17 61:8	108:22 109:10
130:16 131:2	point 7:23 16:17	prepare 50:8,13	62:1,6,9 63:3,6	110:15
131:11 132:14	16:25 26:11	102:13,15	63:13 100:7	promise 65:12
L	•	•		•

				Page 168
112:25 148:23	112:8 123:17	pursuant 136:20	66:8,13,14	recall 31:10 43:7
proper 115:19	124:5 133:13	push 13:23 16:3	74:8,11,13	58:1 61:14,18
properly 125:18	150:15	30:2 141:14	79:23 80:2,3,6	73:7 108:25
133:6,15	proposing 14:12	put 10:4 41:4	80:7 81:16	118:1
properties 37:9	17:21 69:9	72:7 82:15	91:7,8,9	received 9:18
69:8 88:20	72:10 127:11	86:22 87:4	112:24 143:21	44:6
119:12 120:8	129:25,25	89:8 99:11	148:14,19,21	receiving 25:11
127:21 131:5	provide 21:4,13	100:15 103:19	150:12,23	recitation 7:14
property 4:17	43:23 47:18	103:24 115:18	quick 148:17	recognize 20:15
14:22 31:10	76:8,21 83:18	116:23 117:6	quicker 81:5	recollection 18:7
33:6,7,8,13	89:22 104:12	127:6 131:10	quickly 40:5	47:5 54:11,18
34:15,19,19,25	113:20 125:6	131:11 138:6	97:1	57:18 61:7
34:25 35:1,6	134:20,22	putting 107:14	quite 118:19	63:5
37:3,13,18	provided 15:22	120:4 129:18	quote 18:24	recommend
38:15,20,21	20:20 27:25	PVW 48:4,5,10		125:13
39:21,22,25	36:5 43:2,22		R	recommendati
46:4 62:8 67:7	44:1 45:5,24	Q	R 2:1 10:23 83:3	15:15 17:4
67:8,12 70:4,8	51:24 57:19	qualifications	83:3 152:1	113:7 125:8
70:13 71:16	75:11,20 76:2	83:10 104:18	R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s	143:16
72:11 81:21	106:18 149:19	qualify 83:11	83:8	recommendati
89:2,5 90:7	providing	quality 19:11	raise 10:14	136:4
119:15,19	120:19 137:11	question 12:11	82:16,24	recommended
120:4,4,6,9,20	provisions 18:24	21:1 24:3,8	raised 120:19	92:24
120:23 122:18	19:5 25:23	25:22 27:4,5	range 71:15	record 6:15,17
127:22,23	prudent 136:6	30:4 38:2	rate 94:13	7:8,21 8:4,25
129:1 130:1,6	public 3:17 4:11	39:16 40:1,4	reach 90:13,21	11:23 26:9
130:8,12	4:21 5:9,15,16	42:7,8 47:21	reaching 14:6	31:5 56:20
131:13,15,22	5:22 6:1,2,2,8	48:7 62:20,21	reading 48:13	64:3 81:8
132:1,4,10,17	6:8,11,11,18	73:6 80:11,15	ready 30:18	94:16 110:23
132:18 133:3	6:22,24 7:3,21	80:16,18,19,19	102:19	142:24 145:18
134:11 137:18	9:9 11:3 16:25	81:6,19,23	reaffirm 7:18	146:7 147:12
property's 4:19	21:14 29:18	82:14 86:16	realize 139:18	147:21
proposal 21:4	31:19 57:17	91:21 92:25	really 16:22	recreation
propose 14:9	63:19 66:2,4	93:17 95:20	27:21 28:2	105:10
proposed 5:14	66:12 76:1	97:20,21,22	37:8 51:7	recycling 13:13
6:3 13:15 15:5	80:1 82:13,16	98:7 102:20	90:17,19 93:20	13:16 14:10,20
15:16 16:13	90:11 91:8	103:15 104:6,6	97:23 106:16	17:3 30:8
17:11 26:10	129:4,5 137:3	113:21,22	117:15 127:14	35:10 38:19
32:10 33:1,14	137:4 138:2	123:20 148:12	136:24 149:1	72:10 76:25
33:16,25 35:5	141:21 148:7	148:17,24,25	rear 13:15 14:10	77:2,6 81:11
35:12,21 37:24	148:13 152:3	149:8,9,12,21	reason 28:23	82:7
38:14 39:13,25	publish 147:13	150:13	41:22 73:19	red 31:24 68:12
47:7,13 51:20	pull 32:1	questions 6:9,19	91:25 108:9	69:1 86:6
52:14 54:15	purpose 5:9	7:1 9:25 18:2	111:25	102:25 103:13
55:23 70:8,15	28:25 91:18	23:12,17 25:20	reasonable	103:19 105:25
71:9,10,13	purposes 8:25	26:21 29:20	112:21	107:22 116:3
74:2 78:10,11	11:23 22:11	31:16 33:23	reasonably	122:2 128:2
89:13,17	26:8 37:6	40:16 60:2,4	90:12	reduce 26:6
100:16 109:8	94:21 146:13	62:24 65:17	reasons 95:10	reduced 14:11

r				Page 169
18:11 32:7	79:22 99:18	72:22 106:20	replaced 79:14	residences 39:14
47:9	100:8 101:2,7	remind 128:14	79:18 96:6	40:2
refer 11:17,19	100.8 101.2,7	reminded	replacement	resident 97:14
11:22 12:15,21	102.10 103.13	128:16	89:13,16	residential 31:9
32:18 49:2	111:22 113:2,3	reminding	,	33:7 34:19
75:5,8 84:19	111.22 113.2,5	128:12	replacing 77:13 78:4	35:1 69:8
,	· · ·	removal 51:2		
85:1,3 109:17 reference 9:12	115:10 117:23 118:24 119:17	58:13,24 59:7	reply 21:3	119:3,15
12:21 22:21	125:6,9 126:17	59:10,19,21	report 15:7,16 15:17,22 29:10	residents 24:20 24:22 119:22
109:18	127:8 128:17	63:17 66:10	36:6 138:15	119:25
referenced 8:13				Resolution 4:25
	128:21 129:8	67:10,12 68:8 68:23 73:21	Reporter 1:21 152:4	
references 20:14	129:11 136:19		-	5:7 6:2
22:18	139:1	76:14 77:8	Reporters 1:23	resolved 141:18
referred 5:17	regarding 24:3	78:25 85:8,13	REPORTING	respect 145:9
7:20 21:11	62:20 66:17	85:16 88:9	1:22	respectful
24:15 33:16	69:21 73:20	101:2,11	represent 29:20	143:16
59:1 85:12	80:15 81:12	103:10 105:21	33:12 66:4	respectfully
138:15,21	104:11 123:5	106:25 108:8	68:12 86:10	63:19 66:3,25
referring 21:9	130:11	115:2,7	representations	137:2
22:17 32:8	regards 65:24	remove 52:24	124:24	respective 7:25
36:2 51:25	65:25	113:7 126:7	representative	response 30:4
52:6 55:8 56:5	regular 19:5	128:7	129:15	103:14
57:15 72:2,4	related 7:5 46:2	removed 53:5,7	represented	responsibility
75:16,17,22	80:3 130:11	53:13,19,23	80:1,13 82:13	96:15
85:7 89:10,21	relation 38:20	54:3,7 67:16	82:14,15	responsible
108:16,23	relative 19:14	73:8,9,10	103:15	96:10,16
117:3 120:8	23:2 88:8 90:1	74:25 75:12,21	representing	100:16 103:9
121:1 123:1	152:10,12	77:18 78:8	31:14,16	responsive
127:16,20	relevance 39:16	86:1,11,15,20	137:18	137:14,14
refers 21:25	relevant 66:9,16	86:21,24 87:3	represents 33:17	restriction
54:19	66:24 119:2	87:11,14,17	request 9:16	128:21
refiled 8:3	relief 4:16	88:13 103:16	37:16 41:23	retained 100:4
reflect 8:4 85:24	relocate 14:19	106:4,7,9,13	138:20	101:20,21
reflected 8:13	relocated 14:17	106:14 109:3	requested 71:4	102:4 118:10
8:19 12:23	relocating 35:9	110:12,12,13	require 18:25	returned 61:5
18:4 21:15	remain 11:10	110:15,16	37:8	returning 143:8
26:3 87:11	14:16 26:5	111:4,14 112:8	required 8:2	Rev 21:25
88:17 94:16	46:12 52:24	112:9,13,22	15:10 23:8	review 7:12
reflects 22:5	53:18 109:2	113:11 114:1,3	25:24 26:7	12:13 13:1
75:13	115:14,14,24	114:14 115:13	130:18,21,23	35:11 36:15
regard 32:15	126:4 128:18	122:2 127:18	148:9	75:25 78:11
33:5,24 34:24	129:12	127:18 128:23	requirement	84:24,25 85:21
35:15 37:17	remaining 23:3	131:6	46:17	101:14,16
41:24 42:18	53:23	removing 77:21	requirements	108:10 109:1,6
44:19 47:10	remains 18:18	78:4 129:18	17:16	135:18 136:23
49:21,22 52:23	40:3	render 65:23	requires 9:10	reviewed 75:13
54:9 57:6,23	remedies 46:9	renderings	reserve 79:21	101:16 119:6
67:9,18 70:15	remember 20:24	27:25	residence	reviewing 8:12
76:4,12,14,20	51:5 71:13	replace 98:23	119:18,19	reviews 143:15
L				

				Page 170
revised 18:14	61:11 62:14	root 54:6 77:9	49:11,14 54:15	140:2,7,11,17
26:3 47:15	63:25 65:7,15	79:8 110:2,5,8	55:22 77:22	140:22 141:9
55:2 61:16,19	66:11 67:3	roots 87:21	79:21 115:23	141:17 143:23
102:1 143:18	68:11 69:13,14	110:5	115:24 116:10	146:8,18 148:6
143:20	69:15,21 72:5	ROSA 152:3,18	126:24 131:24	149:15,22
revisions 8:12	72:18 76:10	Rosenbaum	scale 27:7,8	150:4,17,24,25
12:14 19:14	79:9,20,25	1:13 64:11,12	116:7,8,8,9,10	Schneider's
Reynolds 3:5	82:12,17,24	144:24 145:15	116:16,18	103:15 111:19
8:15,16,16	85:6 86:4,5	roughly 28:14	130:2 132:14	school 41:17
12:7 48:1,1	89:8 93:12	routinely 19:1	134:2	science 79:2
50:5 51:9,10	94:23 95:16	45:4	scheduling 5:9	83:23
51:24 52:19,20	99:15 105:25	ROWE 2:2	Schneider 2:5,5	screen 10:9
53:9 54:10	107:9 110:6	RPR 152:18	3:4,6 4:4 7:6,7	11:19 21:17
57:5,19 58:20	111:20 113:4	rule 6:22	7:9,10 9:8,20	34:2,8 43:12
59:8 62:14,15	113:13 114:1	run 30:7 66:6	10:3,8,25 11:1	47:8 90:10
62:16 67:21,24	115:17 116:5	145:1	11:15,16 16:24	98:13 123:9,10
68:2,13,14	117:11,21,23	runoff 77:20,20	17:8 18:1	screeners 95:11
73:15,18,22,23	117:24 118:22	79:1,2,3,4,5,10	19:21,24 20:3	screening 47:9
73:25 74:9,10	118:23 121:11	79:11,12 80:15	20:9,13 21:6,8	113:20 120:19
74:16,19,24	121:15,15	81:13 82:7,8	23:9 24:9,12	122:5,9,10,25
76:15 78:16	122:3,12 123:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24:24 25:5,10	123:2,3,6,17
79:21 82:18,20	123:19,23	S	25:15,18,21	134:20
83:2,7,7 84:19	124:3,8,21	S 2:1 3:10 10:23	26:8,18 29:5	se 42:21
91:5,12 98:11	126:25 127:14	10:23 83:3	29:11 30:3,14	seal 12:6 68:19
99:17 110:24	127:18,19,23	safe 75:1	32:17,22 39:15	sealed 11:24
115:21 126:23	129:14 130:1	safety 17:3	40:3 47:17	99:17
128:17	130:12 131:19	Saganic 2:9	48:6 49:8,13	sealer 68:14,17
Reynolds' 50:17	132:8 133:16	31:11	49:23 51:25	seat 29:25
50:21,23,24,25	134:9 138:1,6	salt 72:11,14,15	52:4,6 55:7,15	second 8:15 12:4
51:3 68:10,24	138:18 139:15	72:22 73:3	57:12,15 60:21	22:17 25:25
Rich 29:1	143:6,10	saplings 114:19	61:3,22 65:6	51:12 55:16
120:12 138:17	145:21 147:2	Sarah 2:9 31:6	66:21 68:13,17	60:15,16,22,24
140:5	149:12 150:22	Sassafras 87:13	69:24 71:18	61:9 69:7
Richard 2:5	151:1	87:16,18 92:15	73:14,24 74:6	75:18 80:12
3:18 7:8 97:11	right-hand 22:4	save 103:3,4	74:11,12,22	89:10 112:5
rid 110:21	road 1:5,8 4:18	113:14,17	75:4,8,15,17	120:18 148:12
113:13,14,18	31:7,8,11	132:16	76:5,8 77:16	Secondly 92:14
122:11 126:6	81:10 97:12,12	saved 54:7	78:16 81:3,4	SECRETARY
right 10:14	149:7	saw 103:1 108:1	82:18,22 83:11	9:6 10:3 13:5,9
20:10 21:21	Rob 30:1,21	108:13	83:12 84:2,18	13:19,22 14:3
23:25 32:4	31:3 32:17	saying 24:21	91:4,22 94:15	16:5,8 19:18
33:24 34:2,8	34:3 39:19	27:23 30:21	95:5 102:17,21	19:23 20:7,11
34:23 41:7	55:7,14 59:1	38:25 48:13	104:13,17	23:23 30:1
42:24 43:16	138:19	62:12 122:20	110:23 120:7	31:25 64:5,7,9
44:18,20,20	ROBERT 2:8	137:16,25	124:6 128:25	64:11,13,15,17
47:17,23 49:16	roll 30:18 64:4	138:7 140:22 141:25 142:1	130:13 133:9	64:19,21 72:6
50:4,16 51:14	room 40:11 99:4	141:25 142:1	134:10 135:24	81:1 142:6,8
54:18 56:10,10	99:6 125:19	says 48:4 49:4	136:8 138:10	142:18,23
56:13,15,17	126:8 127:6	3ay3 70.7 77.4	138:18,25	143:1,7 145:17

				Page 1/1
146.2622	33:4	72:17 131:20	75:24 76:10,11	143:9
146:2,6,23	sets 32:12	shown 4:18	78:18 79:20	sited 37:23
147:6,11,17,24 148:4 150:8,19	129:13	14:17 57:4	80:2,13,17,22	sites 37:4
see 7:8 13:18	settlement 5:10	63:1 121:1	81:2 82:2,3,5	situation 90:20
16:10 20:12	5:13,15,21 6:3	149:13	81.2 82.2,3,5	110:20 114:2
22:20 28:22	10:6 12:15,17	shows 26:9 28:1	82:19 86:13	six 39:7,10 63:7
31:2 33:5	66:1	56:1 150:14,15	88:7 96:22,24	89:18 92:4
41:22 43:16	seven 26:1 73:9	side 14:14 49:16	97:2,7 99:15	100:6,7 101:19
45:20 47:12	89:17 110:12	56:10 85:12	99:16 102:18	122:22 131:21
48:3 49:15	110:15 131:21	87:20 95:17	103:6 105:15	145:6,11,22,25
54:15,16,25	shades 45:14	130:1,2 138:6	111:1 112:4,23	146:3,19,19,24
55:19,23 56:1	shading 126:2	sides 17:18	113:1 120:11	140.3,19,19,24
56:5 68:25	shale 15:8,11	signage 74:16	124:7 128:11	147.3,23
72:18 76:2	70:11,12	signature 43:6	128:15 129:2,7	Sixty 91:2
86:7,8 87:12	shallow 37:8	signed 47:25	130:24 131:19	149:20
93:19 94:1	shallower 79:9	50:15 52:20	130.24 131.19	size 32:8 33:2
99:13 108:24	shapes 52:14	54:24 99:17	132:3,8,11	56:17 96:13
115:15 121:2	shed 13:13,16	106:12 107:2,2	136:11,15	116:17,17
126:19,22,23	14:1,10,20	108:8 114:14	137:9,16	132:15
120.19,22,25	16:2,21 30:8	114:25 115:8	137.9,10	sized 17:12 40:8
135:4 145:24	32:6,7,18,19	114.25 115.8	140:14 141:8	40:10 127:21
seeing 27:20	32:23 35:10	119:7,19	141:19 142:7	sizes 117:7
45:8 63:19	37:21 38:4,6,9	121:15 126:17	142:13 143:13	sketch 43:8
81:13 97:18	38:15,19 72:10	127:1	143:16 145:8	Skip 20:3,5
108:25	72:12,14,15,22	significance	145:13 149:3	slated 106:3
seeking 4:14	73:3 77:1,2,6	17:20 87:16,19	150:23	122:1 126:4
seen 42:1 78:9,9	82:7	significant	Simon's 25:24	slightly 134:11
106:21 107:7	shed's 30:11	22:13 94:17	57:15 148:21	smaller 98:15
select 94:11	sheet 14:17	similar 44:8	simple 40:1	Smith 2:2 83:20
selected 91:13	21:17,20 22:17	Simon 2:8 3:4,6	104:7	Smith's 105:6,8
94:12	22:19 26:9	4:4 29:18,23	simply 20:25	SMSA 1:4 4:13
selection 91:14	49:4 51:9	30:3,18,21,23	23:4 53:24	soil 15:3 35:15
93:18 94:9	59:14 75:22	30:25 31:3,3	68:25 82:1	36:5,8,11,15
semi-native 92:3	85:3,7 88:16	31:21,24 32:2	simulations 28:4	36:19,22 37:1
sense 29:21 34:8	88:17 89:10,21	32:20,25 34:5	sir 67:6 101:18	37:5,9,12
71:14 81:19	sheets 8:19	39:22,23 48:14	108:10	112:2
137:12 139:4	11:21 21:18	48:22 49:10,15	site 4:15 8:11,12	soils 15:4
sent 143:2	74:15 85:3	49:20 52:2,8	11:21 39:13	somebody 44:1
separate 11:3	shield 88:19	55:9,12,16,17	44:4 50:18,19	71:7 95:16
47:6	Shorthand 1:21	57:11,20 59:3	62:15 68:21	117:20 131:11
Seriously 145:2	1:23 152:4	59:5 60:25	73:1 74:1	somebody's
serves 18:5	show 14:18	61:6,25 62:25	76:17 78:9	137:23
91:17	43:11 55:7,18	63:15,21,23	81:25 84:20	somewhat 14:11
service 1:22	108:20,21	64:23,25 65:3	85:21 88:10	sorry 22:1 25:1
25:4,5	116:12 126:21	65:10,20 66:18	94:9 100:11,25	25:10,15 32:17
set 8:5 124:1,2	141:4	67:4,5 68:19	101:1 103:20	32:20 48:14
152:8	showed 44:8	69:5,6 70:2,3,6	105:17,18,20	49:22 50:12
setback 13:16	showing 16:20	71:20 73:18	106:11 107:3	53:3,25 72:13
14:24 32:15	17:6 53:3	74:8,24 75:15	115:1 126:2	75:8 85:4 91:7
1	-	-	-	-

				Page 172
91:11,20 95:5	67:25 87:13,15	145:17,22,25	72:15,22 73:3	82:1
113:16 114:22	87:20,21 88:21	146:11,18,19	stormwater	suggestion
116:14 117:9	88:21 89:24	146:23 147:4	76:17,19,24	134:13 141:19
124:23 126:18	90:8 91:13,14	147:22,23	77:10,19,20	143:22,24
130:19 140:8	91:16,17,18,24	starting 6:16	78:25 79:1	150:11
144:19	91:25 92:24	47:22 52:2,8	80:15 81:12,24	summary 8:11
sort 4:7 60:13	95:22 97:16	141:13 145:9	story 48:17	17:25
97:17 133:21	99:2 104:21	147:8	strive 145:16	sunlight 126:8
136:12	107:12 109:2,3	starts 28:10 30:4	structure 73:4	SUNY 83:22
sound 61:1	112:3 116:4	state 10:15 11:7	structures 71:23	Super.161 5:19
sounds 24:23	127:4	17:23 19:12	72:20	supervision
139:12	specific 9:25	40:12 45:20	stuff 63:10	36:16
source 42:3	11:21 12:14	80:5 83:5,15	110:22 139:16	supplement 7:16
south 33:6	22:24 86:5	97:18 152:4	141:21 148:22	supplemental
119:12,15,23	93:12	stated 5:20	stumps 114:11	9:22
120:1	specifically	31:18 76:5	subject 5:15,25	support 6:6
southerly 34:15	74:17 89:24	statement 37:22	22:13 37:2	46:19
Sovolos 1:15	108:23 138:23	73:13 77:25	46:4 94:17	supported 15:17
64:5,6 144:16	specifications	78:5	95:21	24:5
145:4	23:3 45:1	statements	submission 18:5	supposed 73:10
SP-1 13:3 32:11	speeds 22:7	31:19	47:1	92:21 127:17
35:1 49:4 52:8	spell 10:15 83:6	states 43:1 53:18	submissions	127:18
52:11,12,20	spokes 116:1,2,2	93:15	8:24	sure 13:2 17:24
54:11 71:21	spot 28:7 89:8	status 86:1	submit 9:21,22	20:19 29:20
72:3,16,19	sprout 117:18	102:13	63:3	30:6 34:16
SP-2 26:9 27:10	117:19	statutory 5:25	submittal 55:3	38:1 41:7,9
49:4 52:5,6,21	sprouting	stay 82:19	59:16,17,17	46:11 56:4
52:23 53:3,17	113:18	staying 38:11,22	submitted 15:19	57:7 77:23
54:11,13 55:5	Spruce 89:4,18	stenographica	15:23 16:14	80:8 89:6
55:6,18 56:7	90:5,6 91:23	152:7	36:6 47:23	96:17 97:20
57:3,6,9	92:10,14,21	Steve 4:4,6 7:11	50:20 59:14	109:24 119:21
108:23 109:3	93:5 94:8	7:18,19 9:4,15	61:15,16	123:24 125:17
space 17:13,15	95:10 98:5,15	29:7 66:17	100:20,25	135:4 144:25
spacing 97:17	109:13,22 123:18 125:14	82:23 139:18 Stave's 140:25	101:3 103:21	surface 77:10
99:2,8		Steve's 140:25	108:11,19	79:5,5
speak 30:2 71:7 97:9	129:25 131:20 Spruces 90:17	STEVEN 2:3 Stevens 88:23	119:8 143:20 149:23 150:5	surprise 106:8 106:10 114:16
speaking 13:3	99:10	90:3,15 91:16		114:22
13:14 37:4	squared 13:24	sticking 123:16	subsequently 5:2 12:7	surrounding
special 1:5	squared 15.24 staggered 89:5	stipulated 17:22	substantively	28:6 119:2,18
139:13 142:19	staggered 89.5 standard 22:8	21:23 46:17	66:7	119:19 120:6
142:22 144:7	71:14	stipulation 5:10	successful 96:17	survey 62:15
146:13	standards 24:5	5:12 6:3 12:17	suckered 66:22	68:2 85:8
specialist 104:3	standing 117:16	19:20	suckered 00.22 suddenly 63:8	117:4,5
species 52:24,24	start 10:5,5,6	stipulations	suggest 66:25	survivability
53:16,17,18,18	27:12 32:4	7:25	145:12,13	94:10 95:21
53:22 54:13	58:23 64:1	stone 135:9	149:12,15	survive 96:5
56:13 58:19	88:22 142:17	Stop 20:9	suggested 34:9	98:21,22,24
59:24 60:5	143:25 144:10	storage 72:12,14	suggesting 74:9	133:15
	1	I	1	1

				Page 1/3
suspect 97:18	143:1,7 145:17	telecommunic	32:5 33:16	120:8 124:19
sustainable 66:7	146:2,6,23	4:17 40:19	37:20 46:11	124:22 128:5
swear 10:12,19	147:6,11,17,24	118:12,14,24	47:5,18 57:8	133:5,8 136:19
82:25	148:4 150:8,19	telephone 98:2	60:15,17 62:2	137:25 138:7
switch 22:18	take 7:13 16:8	tell 7:4 10:19	63:14 73:8	138:20 139:2
sworn 10:24	19:21 29:5,11	24:4 49:10	74:5 77:25	142:7 145:9
77:25 82:22	30:12 47:9	61:20 81:7	79:23 80:4	149:3
83:4 106:18	55:1 63:22	82:25 91:13	84:9 95:3,4,8	thinking 15:9
Symonds 1:14	65:19 66:22	104:20,21	95:12 104:10	29:1,7 107:6
26:11,16 64:9	90:25 119:8,14	106:6 142:4	104:21 106:18	third 8:21 9:2
64:10 91:10,12	119:17 120:5	telling 104:17	122:16 124:14	61:2,3 114:22
92:8 145:14	124:10,15,16	ten 66:23 94:2	130:3 131:3	142:5,12
147:3	132:15 133:20	118:18,20	136:7 140:4	thirds 111:23
Syracuse 83:22	134:10 138:8	124:12,13	143:9 149:18	THOMAS 1:13
system 54:6	143:19,20	tennis 146:8	152:6	thought 116:8
system 54.0 systems 77:9	taken 64:2 70:5	term 6:14	testing 41:19	147:3
79:8 110:2,4,5	152:6	terms 5:14 10:8	thank 7:7,11	thoughts 7:4
110:6,8	talk 30:5 60:12	18:10,11 24:25	10:4,25 11:15	94:6
	139:5 148:11	29:2 33:4	13:21 14:5	three 8:1,6,7,8
T	talked 32:5 35:9	44:25 45:8	16:7,11 17:8	11:3 47:6 49:4
T 3:10 107:18	40:7 41:10	59:19 60:5	23:11,25 25:20	74:15 81:5
152:1,1	46:10 109:11	63:2 65:1	26:16 31:21	93:1 97:25
T-13 121:23,23	109:22 119:22	67:23 68:8	52:3 59:4	131:21 139:22
T-15 121:23,24	124:19	69:7 74:25	63:20,23 81:18	three-quarters
T-16 121:25	talking 39:3	77:15 90:12	84:17 85:10	19:25
T-26 127:20	40:17 45:1,2	106:25 109:7	88:15 92:8	threw 134:9
T-27 127:20	78:22 110:1,2	116:16 117:24	96:18 99:13,14	thrive 127:5
T-32 127:18	110:4 122:6,9	120:17 125:5,7	120:12 128:11	Thursday 142:5
T-33 127:17	122:25 127:17	126:4 130:10	129:2 149:4	142:15
T-37 108:1,6	131:17 136:16	131:1	Thanks 24:1	TIA-222 21:25
T-38 108:6	144:21	tested 41:16	theoretically	time 6:10,23,25
T-46 115:23	tall 14:14 89:25	testified 8:10	70:24	7:3,3 10:12
T-48 107:18,21	122:12,17,22	10:11 12:20	theory 110:5,9	12:10 16:17
T-5 121:3	122:24 124:5	32:16 60:3,21	They'd 130:13	19:21 31:18
T-51 107:18	149:11	60:22 61:4,23	thing 55:13	39:9 41:19
T-6 121:3	taller 30:11	73:20 83:4	104:16 142:4	49:7 55:1
T-7 121:3	123:24	84:3 103:14,16	things 30:5	56:17 60:22
tables 21:21,21	TAPP 20:21	110:24 123:21	104:22 112:3	61:23 63:2,19
TAGLAIRINO	21:11 27:10,11	123:25 131:22	135:7	64:25 65:23
1:17 9:6 10:3	27:20 28:3,18	testifies 9:2,25	think 25:24,25	66:12,12,17,22
13:5,9,19,22	42:5,19,23	10:24	27:6,18,19	67:6 75:4,9
14:3 16:5,8	43:2,23 70:15	testify 47:20	28:5,7 30:9	78:14,23 79:24
19:18,23 20:7	71:2,5	60:8 73:15,25	32:7 52:17	80:3 85:2
20:11 23:23	tax 4:18	74:25	60:20 61:2	89:25 90:1
30:1 31:25	team 71:7	testifying 8:18	63:8 64:24	94:1 97:21
64:5,7,9,11,13	technical 15:2	9:23	71:8 76:12	103:20 105:24
64:15,17,19,21	27:4	testimony 7:5	77:14 94:23	108:2 109:8
72:6 81:1	technically	8:18 11:3,4,22	99:11 103:4	113:8 114:20
142:6,8,18,23	12:16	22:14,23 24:21	111:5 116:7	114:25 115:1,5
L		•	•	

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
132:16 136:12136:4 138:1378:25 85:7,13104:20 106:3,7trying 55:9 63:4138:19 139:17138:15,2285:16 86:6,11106:8,12 107:863:9 93:20140:13 142:19139:487:13,15,18,19107:8,16 108:394:11 103:3,4144:5,10,21 Township 1:187:22 88:9,21108:7 110:11113:14 137:14145:3,244:19,20 5:392:15,16,16110:12,13,15142:1146:21 147:7,839:1,24 94:1893:8,18 97:17110:16,18,19142:1147:19 149:794:21 129:1,4101:2,11111:2,12,20tucked 14:20152:7130:9,14 136:2102:12,13112:6,7,9,12tucked 14:20152:7136:22 137:5103:1,10,19112:16,21144:18,2294:10 99:25137:24 138:14104:10 105:21113:4,6,13turn 6:19 19:15100:10 118:17139:3,20 140:1106:25 107:1114:5,13,14,18turn 6:19 19:15title 49:11140:16 141:1108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6101:4titled 21:22141:13 148:11110:7 113:25115:13,14,14turned 121:2,4,7
138:19139:17138:15,2285:1686:6,11106:8,12107:863:993:20140:13142:19139:487:13,15,18,19107:8,16108:394:11103:3,4144:5,10,21 Township 1:187:2288:9,21108:7100:11113:14137:14145:3,244:19,205:392:15,16,16110:12,13,15142:1113:14137:14146:21147:7,839:1,2494:1893:8,1897:17110:16,18,19142:1142:1147:19149:794:21129:1,4101:2,11111:2,12,20 tucked 14:20152:7130:9,14136:2102:12,13112:6,7,9,12 Tuesday 1:7 times 41:17136:22137:5103:1,10,19112:16,21144:18,2294:1099:25137:24138:14104:10105:21113:4,6,13 turn 6:19100:10118:17139:3,20140:1106:25107:1114:5,13,14,18 turn 6:1919:15 title 21:22141:13148:11110:7113:25115:13,14,14turned121:2,4,7
140:13 142:19139:487:13,15,18,19107:8,16 108:394:11 103:3,4144:5,10,21Township 1:187:22 88:9,21108:7 110:11113:14 137:14145:3,244:19,20 5:392:15,16,16108:7 110:11113:14 137:14146:21 147:7,839:1,24 94:1893:8,18 97:17110:16,18,19142:1147:19 149:794:21 129:1,4101:2,11111:2,12,20tuck 15:24152:7130:9,14 136:2102:12,13112:6,7,9,12Tuesday 1:7times 41:17136:22 137:5103:1,10,19112:16,21144:18,2294:10 99:25137:24 138:14104:10 105:21113:4,6,13Tuesdays 19:9100:10 118:17139:3,20 140:1106:25 107:1114:5,13,14,18turn 6:19 19:15title 49:11140:16 141:1108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6101:4titled 21:22141:13 148:11110:7 113:25115:13,14,14turned 121:2,4,7
144:5,10,21 145:3,24Township 1:1 4:19,20 5:387:22 88:9,21 92:15,16,16108:7 110:11 110:12,13,15113:14 137:14 142:1146:21 147:7,8 147:19 149:739:1,24 94:18 94:21 129:1,493:8,18 97:17 101:2,11110:16,18,19 111:2,12,20112:6,7,9,12 147:19,142:1152:7 times 41:17 94:10 99:25137:24 138:14 137:24 138:14101:2,13 102:12,13112:16,21 113:4,6,13Tuesday 1:7 144:18,22100:10 118:17 time 49:11139:3,20 140:1 140:16 141:1106:25 107:1 108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6 115:13,14,14Tuesdays 19:9 turn 6:19 19:15
145:3,244:19,20 5:392:15,16,16110:12,13,15142:1146:21 147:7,839:1,24 94:1893:8,18 97:17110:16,18,19110:12,12,20147:19 149:794:21 129:1,4101:2,11111:2,12,201ucked 14:20152:7130:9,14 136:2102:12,13112:6,7,9,12Tuesday 1:7times 41:17136:22 137:5103:1,10,19112:16,21144:18,2294:10 99:25137:24 138:14104:10 105:21113:4,6,13Tuesdays 19:9100:10 118:17139:3,20 140:1106:25 107:1114:5,13,14,18turn 6:19 19:15title 49:11140:16 141:1108:8 109:2,2115:13,14,14101:4titled 21:22141:13 148:11110:7 113:25115:13,14,14turned 121:2,4,7
146:21 147:7,8 147:19 149:739:1,24 94:18 94:21 129:1,493:8,18 97:17 101:2,11110:16,18,19 111:2,12,20tuck 15:24 tucked 14:20152:7 times 41:17 94:10 99:25130:9,14 136:2 137:24 138:14102:12,13 103:1,10,19112:6,7,9,12 112:16,21Tuesday 1:7 144:18,22100:10 118:17 title 49:11139:3,20 140:1 140:16 141:1106:25 107:1 108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6 115:13,14,14Tuesday 1:7 144:18,22
147:19 149:7 152:794:21 129:1,4 130:9,14 136:2101:2,11 102:12,13111:2,12,20 112:6,7,9,12tucked 14:20 Tuesday 1:7times 41:17 94:10 99:25136:22 137:5 137:24 138:14103:1,10,19 104:10 105:21112:16,21 113:4,6,13Tuesday 1:7 144:18,22100:10 118:17 title 49:11139:3,20 140:1 140:16 141:1106:25 107:1 108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6 115:13,14,14Tuesdays 19:9 turn 6:19 19:15
152:7130:9,14 136:2102:12,13112:6,7,9,12Tuesday 1:7times 41:17136:22 137:5103:1,10,19112:16,21144:18,2294:10 99:25137:24 138:14104:10 105:21113:4,6,13Tuesdays 19:9100:10 118:17139:3,20 140:1106:25 107:1114:5,13,14,18Tuesdays 19:9title 49:11140:16 141:1108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6101:4titled 21:22141:13 148:11110:7 113:25115:13,14,14turned 121:2,4,7
times 41:17136:22 137:5103:1,10,19112:16,21144:18,2294:10 99:25137:24 138:14104:10 105:21113:4,6,131uesdays 19:9100:10 118:17139:3,20 140:1106:25 107:1114:5,13,14,18turn 6:19 19:15title 49:11140:16 141:1108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6101:4titled 21:22141:13 148:11110:7 113:25115:13,14,14turned 121:2,4,7
94:1099:25137:24138:14104:10105:21113:4,6,13Tuesdays19:9100:10118:17139:3,20140:1106:25107:1114:5,13,14,18turn6:1919:15title49:11140:16141:1108:8109:2,2114:19115:2,6101:4titled21:22141:13148:11110:7113:25115:13,14,14turned121:2,4,7
100:10 118:17139:3,20 140:1106:25 107:1114:5,13,14,18turn 6:19 19:15title 49:11140:16 141:1108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6101:4titled 21:22141:13 148:11110:7 113:25115:13,14,14turned 121:2,4,7
title 49:11140:16 141:1108:8 109:2,2114:19 115:2,6101:4titled 21:22141:13 148:11110:7 113:25115:13,14,14turned 121:2,4,7
titled 21:22 141:13 148:11 110:7 113:25 115:13,14,14 turned 121:2,4,7
39:10 62:6,9 4:21 17:23 114:3,9 115:2 115:7 116:4,16 117:10,18,25 turning 88:15
39:10 02:0,9 4:21 17:25 115:7 110:4,10 117:10,18,25 turning 88:15 138:12 145:1 140:18,20 116:20,23,24 118:1,5 120:22 Twenty 118:4
Today's 138:11 141:7 144:5 116:20,23,24 118:1,3 120:22 I wenty 118:4 twice 61:4
told 36:21 44:14 track 138:11 121:9 124:16 120:25 121:15 twice 61:4
106:8 110:10 training 105:5,7 125:1 128:10 122:10,11,12 two 4:6,10 7:16
114:12,18transcript 1:3129:3 149:11122:16,17,237:23 9:1 15:2tomorrow152:6149:14,21123:15 124:2131:8 35:15
tomorrow 152.6 $149.14,21$ $125.15,124.21$ $51.8,55.15$ $141:13$ transferred $150:5,15$ $125:7,10,126:4$ $36:18,40:18,21$
tonight 4:1,8 6:4 83:22 trees 28:2,6 53:4 126:10,12 40:23,24,25
6:5,23 10:21 transmission 53:7,12 54:1,6 127:3,6,13,16 41:1,3 59:1
0.5,25 10.21 (1713) 28:25 29:19 25:3 54:6,7 57:25 127:21 128:1,5 65:4,8 68:20
28.25 29.19 25.5 54.0,757.25 127.21 128.1,5 05.4,8 08.20 31:15 138:24 transmissions 62:7,17 63:6 128:7,18,18,22 74:15 76:4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
140:18 143:14 tree 20:20,21,23 69:2 73:8,9,10 129:18,19,24 96:5 98:23
140.18 149.14 1462.0.20,21,23 09.273.0,9,10 129.18,19,24 90.398.23 146:7 147:10 20:24 21:1,5 74:1,2,25,25 130:10,11,16 100:14 105:17
140.7 147.21 148.15 21.19 22.1,5 75.1,11,12,20 130.24 131.12 105.20 111.3
147.21 148.15 21.19 22.1,3 75.1,11,12,20 130.24 131.12 105.20 111.5 149:11,13 22:12 26:23 75:21 76:15 131:14 132:3,4 111:22 112:1
tonight's 5:16 27:16 30:6,7 77:8,13,18,22 135:23 114:152.3,4 111:22 112:1
6:16 7:1327:10 50.0,777:8,13,16,22155.25114.3,7,11,25115:5 125:1,3
top 21:2 34:21,22 35:5 78:12,21 79:9 81:14 126:2 131:21
topic 137:21 35:6 41:25 79:15,18 85:24 trick 42:7,8 139:14 143:5,6
total 86:15,19 42:1,10,11,13 86:5,15,20,21 47:18 55:9 143:7 146:24
89:12 114:12 43:24,25 44:9 86:23,24 87:1 trim 130:16,25 147:4,5
114:14 44:9,11 45:18 87:2,10,25 trimmed 131:6 two-thirds 27:7
tower 4:17 51:2 52:24,24 88:4,5,6,12 trimming 27:15 28:14
19:15 23:6 53:11,18,18,22 89:3,4,6,12,13 130:11 29:8
24:5 26:12 56:11,22 58:3 89:16 90:13 true 107:15 two-year 96:3,4
37:23 38:19 58:6,13,14,18 92:17,18 93:2 128:11 152:5 124:19,21
39:13 40:2 58:24 59:7,9 93:19,25 95:22 truncated 41:15 type 20:23 37:12
33:13 40.2 38:24 39:7,9 93:19,23 93:22 truncated 41:13 type 20:23 37:12 46:20 69:23 59:19,21,22 95:22,23,25 trust 107:6 45:11,12 56:12
40.20 09.23 59.19,21,22 95.22,23,25 11 ust 107.0 49.11,12 30.12 82:9 113:20 61:8,13 62:2 96:3,9,13 truth 10:20,20 89:23 125:20
32.9 113.20 01.8,13 02.2 90.3,9,13 01.01 10.20,20 39.23 123.20 124:5 63:17 66:10 97:14 98:20 10:20 82:25,25 127:11 128:20
town 93:19 67:9,11,25 101:8 102:3,5 83:1 129:10
97:14 112:18 68:8,23 71:9 101:8 102:3,5 83:1 129:10 102:11,11,24 try 85:1 98:24 typically 99:21
$\frac{102.11,11,24}{102.000} = \frac{102.11,11,24}{102.000} = \frac{102.11}{102.000} = \frac{102.11}{102.00$

				Page 175
U	93:2	W	120:18 137:5	went 60:5 69:24
	various 22:7		137:22 140:12	71:16 76:1
U 10:23	31:17 43:1	W 10:23 48:15	144:4 145:24	102:6 103:8
U.S 146:9	45:14 69:8	49:6 W-e-e-k-s 97:12	147:23,24	107:2 110:15
ugly 106:16	vegetation 47:7		150:21	weren't 93:1
ultimately 9:23	47:13 120:21	wait 19:19,19	we're 4:7,8 9:4	129:9
unavailable	121:19,23	20:8 47:19	10:7 13:25	west 92:5
138:18	verified 106:19	141:15	14:12,17 16:20	western 91:25
unchanged 26:5 46:13	107:14	waiting 141:25	17:3,6 27:19	Wetlands 104:3
	verify 85:20	walk 130:12	28:21 29:5	whatsoever
underlying 7:21	106:24,25	want 4:6 9:12	38:22 45:8	136:5
undermining	136:23	10:5,5,6 12:18	52:7 56:20	Whispering
38:10	Verizon 1:5 4:2	13:9,19 16:6 16:22 18:24	62:22 63:8	5:17,18 65:25
underneath 98:3	4:14 5:2 7:10		65:18 72:16,17	136:20
understand 19:9	7:24 8:1,4	19:15,18 25:10 27:9 29:11	76:22 77:13	White 115:23
27:22 29:19	17:13,20 29:3		78:21 81:13	120:22,25
38:1 46:11	40:9,10 44:2,2	30:5,6,14 33:5	88:22,24 89:2	120:22,25
63:4,11 85:23	44:15 45:18	38:11 46:11	92:20 93:20	wholly 66:10
118:10,25	50:8,13 94:19	55:12 57:7	94:10 98:23.24	wide 14:12
142:3	94:20 95:15,16	66:21,22 69:2	99:11 103:3	99:11 109:23
understanding	100:4 118:11	72:6 80:16,22	122:11 137:10	109:25 110:1,3
15:6 89:23	130:9 135:17	81:4 85:1,1,2,6	137:13,14,14	130:4 131:23
130:17,20	136:1	96:22 97:19	137:23 138:7	wife 80:10 81:10
139:6 146:17	Vernon 1:9	104:22 128:13	139:13,17	William 8:22
understood	versed 20:8	129:5 134:12	140:7 141:10	willing 139:18
19:13 29:17	versus 5:18 67:2	134:18 135:1	146:2 147:8	140:1
86:16 119:21	77:18,20	137:17,19	148:7,16,19,19	wind 22:7 28:8
understory 28:5	107:10	141:9 143:3	148:22 151:1	windload 23:7
undertaken 19:1	vetted 137:2	145:8,16	we've 88:20 99:4	windloading
unilaterally	viability 126:5	146:11,21	142:21	21:25
94:19 136:1	Victor 48:15	wanted 31:2	weather 19:7	window 41:15
United 93:15	49:6	32:24 81:15	website 76:1	wireless 1:5 4:14
unreasonable	views 80:5	128:8 134:6	weed 87:19,22	4:17 7:10,24
138:20	150:16	140:9	92:15	8:2,5 40:19
upper 21:21	vindicate 6:1	wants 118:7	week 19:8 41:12	94:19,20
22:4	virtue 88:1	wasn't 57:5	138:17 142:9	118:11
use 4:15 6:5 75:7	visit 73:1 106:11	73:23 110:24	Weekend	wish 23:2
91:25 92:5 109:16 113:16	111:3	135:25 waste 66:12	144:15,17	witness 3:2 7:2
	visited 67:8		weeks 3:18	8:21 10:17,22
113:16 usual 12:11	84:20	water 48:16 49:6 76:25	84:21 97:3,8	11:5 13:7,12
usual 12:11 utilized 24:14	visual 9:22		97:11,11,19,22	13:21 14:1,5,8
uunzeu 24:14	119:4,9,11	77:4,10 81:14	98:9,20 99:1,8	16:7,11 17:6,9
V	visuals 88:19	way 13:22 19:25	99:13 100:14	24:6 26:4,15
V 48:15 49:5	98:14	27:13 123:4 129:12 133:21	102:6 105:17	26:24 27:12,17
valid 104:22	Vogel 2:5 7:9	129:12 133:21	105:20 111:3	27:22 28:9,16
valuable 137:7	voice 77:16	we'll 9:1 18:22	112:1 115:1,6	28:18,21 48:17
VanWhy 48:19	vote 6:2,13	47:19 55:16	126:2 128:4	49:18 52:10
Variance 4:16	65:16,19	96:25 98:23	welcome 4:3,4	55:11 57:14
variety 23:5	voted 4:24,25	99:13 102:9	7:6	63:5 68:22
, and y 20.0		99.15 102:9	,	
	1	1	1	1

				Page 176
69:4 71:19	142:7	zoom 13:2	17.5-feet 14:12	18:13 32:9
		Z00III 13.2		
73:20 74:4,21	world 125:24	0	17.5-feet-by-6	35:12 49:3,7
76:13 79:22,24	wouldn't 60:4	00162800	17:11	51:13 52:3
80:2,4,6,20	96:13 137:17	152:19	18 1:7 15:12	53:11 56:7
81:20 84:1,10	137:19 139:13		23:18,20 32:9	57:17 60:24
84:15 91:1,3	wow 142:11	07976 1:9	49:3 51:13	61:5,24 69:11
91:15,23 92:12	write 107:19	1	52:3 61:24	69:17 71:10,13
92:18,23 93:4	written 6:2	<u>11:64:19</u>	140:18	88:11 108:17
93:7,20,25	143:1	1,500 24:21	1800-square	2023 1:7 5:12
98:12,22 99:3	wrong 55:11,13	-	18:6	11:24 47:22
99:10,14	106:23 107:7	1,800-square	18th 108:17	58:11 59:6,14
102:23 104:3,8	115:11 124:20	39:3,17	138:12	59:18 69:9
105:1,7,10	140:9	1.3 70:4	1900 24:16	72:3 75:18
120:10 131:7		1:00 146:9	25:12	84:24 100:21
131:12 132:14	<u> </u>	10 3:4 4:23	1987 83:20	100:24 101:4
132:19,25	X 1:6 3:1,10	34:12,16 71:14		21 1:8 5:12
133:5,17 134:6	Xs 53:3	90:7,9 94:1	2	2100 24:17
135:10 149:25		116:11,15	2 17:2 90:8	25:12
witnesses 6:9,25	Y	118:8 124:15	124:17	21st 75:18 84:24
7:1 8:7,9 31:17	Y 83:3,3	133:1,3	2-inch 116:24	22 56:5 63:8
65:5,8	yard 98:14	10-inch 116:25	2:00 41:20	220 5:19
wonder 92:16	yards 46:3	10.1 122:1	2:22-CV-04531	23 72:8 87:7
wondering	Yea 137:16	10:00 19:2 41:10	5:6	111:2 117:9
93:16	yeah 43:14,15	41:20,21	20 30:16 90:16	126:10
wood 14:14	74:14 128:5	11 27:10	93:24 94:3	24 27:3
106:15,16	132:5 137:5,21	11:03 151:4	98:10 100:1	24-inch 128:3
110:21 117:16	year 90:8 109:12	110.8 35:7	118:3,5 123:16	25 90:16 124:11
126:7,8	110:16 111:20	12 73:8 110:11	124:15 134:4	124:13
wooded 79:6,7	124:18	132:3,17,18,20	20- 122:23 124:1	25- 125:16
Woods 5:17,18	years 39:7,11	133:1,3	130:3 131:23	25-feet 124:2
65:25 136:20	88:5 90:9 91:2	120 14:23 69:22	134:2	130:4 131:23
word 21:22	91:3 93:23,24	120.8 35:3	20-foot 99:12	25-foot 116:25
113:17	94:1,3 95:24	13 132:3	20-to-25-feet	25-inch 102:25
words 8:8 41:19	96:5 97:23	132 97:12	109:23	25-to-30-feet
work 44:5 50:16	98:2,10,23	14 149:7	2015 48:21	99:11
50:17 51:16	100:1 103:24	14-2022 5:7	2017 39:6 70:8	26 43:9,13,20
56:22 81:22	107:5 113:10	149 3:19	2018 4:14 21:24	27 6:18 7:21
107:4 113:23	118:18,20	15 5:6 30:11,11	2019 54:20,23	121:25
119:1 130:13	123:19,22	65:12 89:18	55:4,8 56:1,6	28 31:7
141:4 145:3	124:12,13	90:9 118:18,20	56:11,21 61:24	2nd 11:24 47:22
worked 17:16	125:1,4 137:1	123:18	61:24 62:2,11	72:3
57:1 94:20	yellow 13:23,23	150 70:23,25	62:12 67:2	
103:22 107:5	York 1:4 4:13	15th 142:6,11,13	73:1	3
108:12 118:14	young 41:17	16 4:24 14:13	2020 48:25	3 17:2,18 90:8
118:21	95:22	61:24 62:2	60:24 61:4,9	124:18 132:11
working 48:23	7	16.8 121:24	61:14	3.5 16:21 17:7
95:15	$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\mathbf{Z}_{\text{resc}} + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{resc}})}$	17 1:6 4:19	2021 48:25 49:1	24:18 25:18
works 4:21	Zone 1:6 4:22	27:10 31:7,8	2022 4:23,24 5:6	3/18/2022
56:24 135:5	zoning 26:3	31:11,13	12:20,24 16:25	108:23
	96:20	17-18 1:4 4:2,12		
L	1	1	1	1

r				Page 177
3/18/22 49:12	43:20	60-feet 123:15	120:7,15 123:5	
3/6/23 12:1	35 28:16 29:2	123:22 125:15	9:06 64:2	
3:00 142:25	90:17 111:5,7	62 33:8	9:11 63:25 64:3	
30 19:3,9 28:15	124:11,13	62.3 34:17,18	908 1:23	
28:17 29:2	35-feet 124:3	63-foot 149:13	908 1.23 97 3:18	
34:10 39:3	125:16	642-4299 1:23	99 3:6	
41:11 65:3	3G 24:5	65 108:7	33 3.0	
	3G 24.5	66.3 16:1		
90:17 91:3	4			
93:23 98:2	4 54:23 55:4	67 67:10,15		
114:15 123:19	56:11 122:22	101:7 102:2		
123:22	4-inch 116:25	107:8		
30- 124:3	4:00 19:3 41:11	6th 59:18 100:21		
30-by-60 18:6	41:21	100:24		
30-feet 109:25	40 16:17 22:16	7		
122:17,22,24	27:13 28:7	726:631:6		
134:2	34:9,23	46:23 47:2,9		
30-foot 122:14	42-inch 128:2	7-16 21:25		
30kW 17:21	42-inch 128:2 48 27:9 28:7	7-10 21:23 7-foot 26:10		
30th 138:19	46 27:9 28:7 4G 24:5	46:17		
139:13,17	4G 24.5 4th 54:20 56:1			
140:3,5,7,11	4th 54:20 50:1	7:30 146:25		
140:12,20,21	5	7:35 1:9		
140:23,24	5 90:4	70 20:24 149:19		
141:1,3,7,11	5- 93:23	149:20		
141:14,22	5:30 145:18	700 24:15		
142:1,22 143:4	55 31:7 120:2,3	72.3 14:22 33:12		
144:7,12,21	120:7,15,20,21	69:9,14		
146:11,15	120.7,15,20,21	72.3-foot 15:25		
147:18 148:7,8	122:17 123:1	8		
148:20 149:2	55.04 31:13	8 4:17 90:7		
150:14				
30XI 152:19	5G 24:5,12,14 24:19,23,24,25	8-feet 26:18 8-foot 14:14,23		
31 3:4	24.19,25,24,25	· · · · ·		
31st 12:20,24	23.3,4,3	80 22:5 80-feet 123:14		
16:25 60:24	6	80-foot 21:2		
61:24 139:23	6 16:19 31:12	43:24,25 44:9		
140:5,6,12	33:19 59:6	81 3:18		
141:4,15	69:10,16,17	81 3:18 83 3:6		
32 31:11 81:10	90:5 101:4	850 24:15		
86:21,23,24	6-feet 93:23	86 21:2 28:14		
87:2 106:6,13	6-inch 122:23			
114:14 129:18	6.3 122:22	86-foot 149:11		
33 73:10 76:15	6.9 122:22	149:21 150:5		
77:8,21 89:13	6:00 145:12	150:15		
89:15,16	146:5,15 148:8	9		
110:13,16	6:30 145:13	9 3:13,14 114:21		
114:18 117:9	60 20:24 28:15	9.03 31:11		
129:18	39:3 90:20,23	9.04 31:8 69:10		
34 27:3 43:9,13	91:1 149:19	69:20 120:2,3		
		07.20 120.2,5		
L				