# HARDING TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES <br> REGULAR MEETING <br> DECEMBER 17, 2020 <br> 7:30 PM 

## CALL TO ORDER AND STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Board of Adjustment Chair, Mike Flanagan called the regular meeting of the Board of Adjustment to order at 7:30 and announced that adequate notice of the meeting had been made in accordance with the New Jersey State Open Public Meetings Act and State Executive Order 103.

## ROLL CALL

Ms. Taglairino called the roll. It went as follows:

| Ms. Sovolos | Present | Mr. Newlin | Present |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mr. Flanagan | Present | Mr. Maselli | Present |
| Mr. Rosenbaum | Excused | Mr. Addonizio | Present |
| Mr. Symonds | Present | Ms. Chipperson | Excused |
| Mr. Cammarata | Excused |  |  |

## MINUTES

Mr. Flanagan noted that the November 19, 2020 minutes will be tabled until the January 21, 2020 meeting.

## NEW BUSINESS

Application BOA\# 03-20

> James Carifa and Sara Conine 7 Lees Hill Road B17/L55, R-1 \& R-2 Zones
> Applicant is requesting variance relief for building coverage and a front setback as per NJSA 40:55D 70(c)

Presenting:
Joseph Hyland, Architect
James Carifa and Sarah Conine, Owners

- Mr. Flanagan noted that there was a site inspection of the property.
- Mr. Hall noted that the question of whether or not this was an expansion of a nonconforming structure was answered by the site inspection and that this application remains a "C" variance.
- Ms. Conine noted they were trying to take the house back to the original look with a front porch and adding an addition to the rear for a kitchen.
- Mr. Maselli questioned the length and depth of the front porch.
- Mr. Hyland stated that the long front porch would break up the façade architecturally.
- Ms. Conine added that the lilacs would remain in the front for screening with plans for additional plantings.
- There was a discussion about the actual setback and it was noted that the setback would start at the steps.
- There was a discussion about the bulk, the roof line and the front porch.
- Mr. Hyland explained the roof line and front porch in Elevation A-2.
- Board members requested a reduction in the porch to span only the main portion of the house to reduce the variance bulk and columns.
- Mr. Symonds asked about the proposed additional parking coverage on the rear of the house.
- Mr. Carifa noted that they are removing coverage from the front of the house.
- There was an addition discussion about plans for plantings.

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approve the application on the condition of the reduction of the front porch to span the main part of the home. Mr. Newlin seconded the motion. A roll call vote went as follows:

For: Maselli, Addonizio, Symonds, Newlin, Sovolos, and Flanagan.
Against: None.

Mr. Flanagan made the announcement that Ms. Chipperson was elected to the Township Committee and was recusing herself from further applications.

## OLD BUSINESS

Application BOA\# 17-18
New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless 8 Millbrook Road, B17/L1, PL Zone
Applicant requesting variance relief for use, per NJSA 40:55D70(d) for a cell tower.
Presenting:

Richard Schneider, Attorney
Frances Boshulte, RF Manager
Dr. Eisenstein, RF Specialist
Mr. Mlenak is acting Board Attorney for this application.
Robert Simon is an objecting attorney for this application.

A transcript of the testimony is appended to the minutes.

## OTHER BUSINESS

None

## ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Flanagan adjourned the meeting at 10:20

## LoriTaglairino

Respectfully submitted by Lori Taglairino, Board of Adjustment Secretary
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$$11 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Do we have Rita yet?

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: We do not have Rita yet.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We do not. All right. You know, Gary, as we kick off this Verizon does it merit any discussion? I was hoping she would be here but -- or I should say Steve, actually. Sorry. Gary, are you --

MR. HALL: I'm still here. I was going to stick around for Rita. I did talk to her last week and certainly -- I'm sure we will be talking again. So I -- I don't think she will show up at the Board for everyone else, but I'm okay.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. I mean, to spare everyone the surprise, Rita has, as most of us know, been elected to the Township Committee. She was elected in November. Her term will start -- when does it start, Alf, on January 20th? Does someone know this?

MR. HALL: We re-organize on the 4th, I believe.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: There you go. So it starts immanently. And given her new responsibilities on the Township Committee Rita has -- and I've

1 struggled with this -- she has resigned as of today, or she has recused herself until she resigns in January. Either way, she is no longer going to be hearing any application. She is, I forget when, but has stopped hearing applications. She didn't go to the site visit for the Conine (ph.) property, for example, but Rita will no longer be with us. So we will miss Rita, but just so everyone knows, she is no longer going to be hearing any of these applications and either is resigned or will be resigning in January. MR. HALL: We'll get a replacement. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. In the interim our former first alternate, Elizabeth, where are you Elizabeth? Oh, there you are. So congratulations, you are now a full voting member.

MR. HALL: Well technically, the Mayor and Council have to do that.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, I'm over-stepping my bounds.

MR. HALL: It's not automatic that you move up. It's established practice that you move up, but it's not by statute automatic. They will have to wait for a replacement directly as a full member. I'm not sure I've ever seen that happen, but they could. BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: But it is highly
expected.
MR. HALL: Yes.
MR. MLENAK: I don't think we can expect a vote tonight so that will be resolved I'm sure by next meeting.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: There you go. All right. Good.

MR. HALL: And the new member, Steve, can read transcripts and be fully eligible.

MR. MLENAK: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right. And I do expect we'll have a new member. I'm not sure if it will be January, but in the very near future.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I'm pretty sure all those appointments are in the works.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. Okay. All right. So that is a Rita story. With that we're back to the Verizon application.

MR. HALL: I'll say good night and wish everyone happy holidays.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You too, Gary. (Off the record conversation.)

Mr. Schneider, welcome back. Mr. Simon, welcome back. You're on mute, Mr. Schneider.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: They're on mute.

That's the quote of the year.
MR. SCHNEIDER: Good evening. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Good evening. How are you? So as I recall last meeting we were in the midst of Mr. Simon, his cross-examination, and I don't think we finished that up, if I'm correct, Mr. Simon, is that correct?

MR. SIMON: That is correct. Although, I do know or believe that Mr. Snyder has submitted some additional exhibits, it seems in part in response to or in reaction to some question that had for the witness. So I don't know whether it seems prudent and appropriate for those exhibits to be entered into and discussed now by the witness or at the conclusion, et cetera.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Schneider, I'd like to hear your thoughts. My view is does it make sense to have those exhibits presented tonight? I still can't hear you.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Can you hear me now? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes, there we go. MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes. I think -- there's one of two ways. As Mr. Simon indicated it's in response to his questioning. If it facilitates and expedites the process $I$ can go out of turn and briefly
ask Frances to hopefully concisely take us through the exhibits so that if Mr. Simon has any questions I won't interrupt him or we don't have to go back and forth. I have no issue with that, if that facilitates the presentation.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That will certainly be my preference. Mr. Simon, does that work for you?

MR. SIMON: That's exactly why I raised it. I think that is the appropriate way to proceed.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Mr. Schneider?
MR. SCHNEIDER: That's fine. And just for the record, Mr. Chairman, the exhibit that is referenced, Lori, do you know what exhibit number we're up to?

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: You know what, I don't.

MR. SCHNEIDER: We're up to A-25.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: I was going to say
26. Okay. So I was close. Which one do you want to see first, the $Z-1$ scan or the $Z-2$ scan?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we go with these -- for consistency purposes let's go with Z-1, we'll have that designated as A-25. And we'll have, just so $I$ don't have to interrupt, $Z-2$ will be A-26, if that works.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Let me get the -hold on one minute here.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Before I -- Dr. Eisenstein is on, correct?

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Yes. He is.
DR. EISENSTEIN: Yes, I'm here.
MR. SCHNEIDER: Good evening, Bruce. And just for the record while Lori is getting those up, those exhibits have been previously shared and transmitted to Dr. Eisenstein.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Can everybody see this? Wait. I'm trying to get it squared away on the screen. Hold on. Is that clear for everybody?

Because I know what I'm seeing, but I don't know if that's --

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. Maybe Lori if you can scroll down or scroll back up a little bit just one notch. All right. There's no happy medium. Maybe that's the best.

MR. MLENAK: Lori, maybe zoom out one notch. Maybe that will get it full screen. On the bottom of your screen is there a zoom in and zoom out?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I think we can see. What is cut off, just the -- I don't think there is anything in that section that is cut off on the screen,
is there? There's nothing north of Morristown 3 Re-lo, right?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Correct.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Actually, Lori, if you keep your cursor away from the top line of the screen.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: All right. I'm going to just leave it over here. Okay.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Does that work for everyone? I should say, does this not work for anyone? (No reply.) Perfect.

MR. SCHNEIDER: May I proceed, Mr.
Chairman? Are we all good?
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Please do, Mr. Schneider. Yes.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. Frances, you are on and I'm just going to remind you that you are still under oath.

MS. BOSCHULTE: I am.
FRANCESBOSCHULTE, having been previously sworn, testifies as follows:

BY MR. SCHNEIDER:
Q. Thank you. Good evening, Frances. Without me hopefully interrupting you, at the last Board hearing held on November $19 t h$ in conjunction with Mr . Simon's cross-examination of you, he made certain
inquiries and the Board ultimately requests that you provide a supplemental exhibit. And as I understand it the purpose of the supplemental exhibit was to summarily show the specific $d B$ levels of the existing coverage.

As I recall it, the previous RF documentation had just shown different colors based on the neg 95 dBm level of service and now you essentially, as requested by the Board, refine that based on the testing that you had done. Is that a correct and concise summary of the purpose of the exhibit?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So without me interrupting you, and by the way, let me just ask you this. You've done that at two respective frequency bands; is that correct? That being the 700 megahertz frequency band that being reflected as $Z-1$, and the 2100 megahertz band that being reflected as $Z-2$; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. If I could just ask you to just keep your voice up, if you would. And if you can take us through both $Z-1$ and $Z-2$, and indicate what is reflected, and specifically your analysis of the specific levels of service in terms of $d B$ at various

1 locations without going through obviously each of the respective locations and numbers?
A. Sure. Basically, this is a exhibit that shows precisely what the $d B m$ RSRP levels are before -with the schematic mapping of just what was greater than or equal to neg 95 in green, and what's less than neg 95 in green didn't give you a view of just how far below the threshold of neg 95 in the areas that were in gray depicted.

So this map I've labeled along the test points the signal strength levels for a clear indication. And as you can see where the pink dot in the center, the Harding DPW you have signal strengths that are significantly below the neg 95. You have neg 107 at the intersection, neg 110. And in other areas you'll see even going north off -- up Glen Alpin Road you'll see neg 115, neg 111, neg 114.

So this isn't a case where we are in the neg 95, maybe neg 96, neg 97 level, but you are worse than that. Due to the topography and the terrain and the dense foliage you can see that as you are moving further away from the surrounding sites that you start to decrease significantly worse than even the in-vehicle which is neg -- the threshold for in-vehicle is neg 105.
Q. So just to put some reference on it, because I think that the, at least the inquiry if not the suggestion by Mr. Simon may have been whether the levels that you had analyzed were close to the neg 95 dB level in various locations. I'm gathering from your answer that using my expression these were not near misses in terms of neg 95, but that there are predominant areas of the township that have levels significantly below or worse than the neg 95. Is that the conclusion you are drawing from the analysis that is reflected in these two exhibits?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. One final question, just to put some frame of reference on it. There had been previous discussion throughout the course of the prior public hearings about -- and specifically in conjunction with testimony by Chief Heller, as to the levels of service that might be realized at the Harding Township Elementary School.

Just to provide the Board with some reference point, what would the levels of service be in the school area or on the school property?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Schneider, I'm sorry. Lori, can you Zoom in around the tower? I just want to see that school area.

The plus sign in the lower right-hand
corner.
Do you see it over to the right, Lori? You had it up there for a moment.

I'm sorry, Mr. Schneider.
Take your cursor down, Lori. Take your cursor down. There you go. Down a little bit. Down. Down. Right there.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Look, I'm learning on the job. Okay. Where do we want to go with this? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: It may be just recent or around -- yes. Perfect. Right there.

THE WITNESS: So the school is right near where the "H" is in Harding in pink slightly to my left. Correct. And you can see that there are levels neg 105, neg 107 in that area for 700 . And for the 2100 it's lower than that.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Lori, can we quickly go to Z-2, if you wouldn't mind.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Hold on one second. So we have got to take this one out.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Just take your time. If you can just focus on that same area, Lori, if you wouldn't mind.

THE WITNESS: So you'll see on the 2100 map

1 along Lees Hill Road you had signal strengths as low as
A. Yes, he was.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Chairman, thank you. I was trying to be brief and hopefully that responds to the Board's inquiry as to those specific signal strength levels?

DR. EISENSTEIN: If I can just kind -- this is Bruce Eisenstein. I've actually not seen these numbers on a drive test data before and I found it very helpful. As I suspected the drop-off is quite rapid because of the exponential decay of the signal strength.

And, you know, bear in mind that these are logarithmic scales so that every $d B$ difference is a factor of two in terms of power level. Ten $d B$ is a factor of ten difference in power level. So you see, when you go from neg 95 to neg 98 that's a drop of

1 one-half. It's not just a simple percentage. It looks like only 3 dB , but that's a factor of two difference. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Is anyone on the Board --

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Mike? Yes, can I ask a question of Dr. Eisenstein? This is Alf Newline.

You probably have covered this before. Can you repeat again, in term of looking at usability, can you say that there's a certain dB level which is -it's clearly not usable?

So for example, you can get coverage at 110, but you're unlikely to get coverage of something greater, or is it just not that simple?

DR. EISENSTEIN: Well, it's not that simple, but, yes, $I$ can give you rough numbers.

When they designed at neg 95 what they're really doing is they're allowing a 10 dB or a factor of 10 guard zone in there, because if they designed at neg 105, remember, this is drive test data. On a certain day it's a snapshot. It's not absolute. It's not the way it would be all the time. Depending on whether conditions, depending on a lot of other things, on the average you're going to get a swing in power. Sometimes better than what you see here, sometimes worse.

So on a factor of ten down from neg 95 would be neg 105, and there you would be, I think, well below the level that you would want to design at.

Now, if you're asking would the phones work, and you know, I've been given this testimony in a number of different areas, excuse me if I'm repeating myself, the way the systems work now since it's all internet protocol on voice out on data, the way it works is when the signal strength gets too low the bit error rate, that is the number of bits that are in error per second starts going up and that slows everything down.

So that's unacceptable for voice calls.
And what happens is the calls start getting very spotty, and people just say I can't hear you, or you can't hear me or something like that and eventually you hang up and try it again.

And sometimes, you know, it's funny with these wireless systems sometimes you have a lousy connection, you try it again you get a great connection. It's just because the frequency band that you are then assigned has just moved over a little bit and that happens to be a more clear band. It seems to be a little bit better.

So what I would say is that at neg 105

1 you're in very poor area. It's very iffy. A neg 95 it's a decent design criteria. And a good fraction of time even with these kinds of drive test data the signal strength will be better than what you see there and sometimes worse.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Okay. That's
helpful. I have anecdotal experience as a Verizon customer. I'm in one of these areas and I can see my numbers are in the 110s, 108 s and I have okay coverage. My neighbor who's right next door has no coverage. It's been consistent.

DR. EISENSTEIN: So this is the other aspect of wireless signals. There is all kinds of interference that occurs, and it can change from room to room in the house. It can change from whether you're near a window or not near a window. It can change from whether you're facing north or facing south. It's just really the way the wireless signal, and unlike a wired signal that always goes through the wire the same way, a wireless signal has a lot of obstructions in the way and you can get interference of all kinds.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: That's helpful. And, Mike, I'm just going to mention one thing. And I don't want to suggest that we do it now, but it has come up
from the question from last session about the ODAS and that there's an ODAS plan. And when it's appropriate, and I don't know if it's Dr. Eisenstein, or it's going to come out in the testimony from Mr. Schneider, how that ODAS plan works with the cell tower is -- seems to me quite important.

And it seems like there's a relation between how tall the tower is and how many units you would have, maybe, I don't know. I actually don't know, but at some point I hope that gets covered in this hearing pretty soon.

DR. EISENSTEIN: Just to clear the air -this is Bruce Eisenstein again -- just to clear the air on that, Alf, I've heard bits and pieces during the hearing about an application for an ODAS system. I know nothing about this. I haven't seen a plan. I haven't seen any diagrams. I haven't seen any -- so I'm totally ignorant of it aside from the little tidbits that I've heard at the hearing.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Would it be relevant, Dr. Eisenstein, one way or the other?

DR. EISENSTEIN: I have no way of knowing that, because I haven't seen anything.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Okay. Thank you. Thanks Mike.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And Alf, I agree and I think it was to touched on a little bit last meeting. I'd like to hear more. It seems as if there was -maybe there is some plan, maybe there was some thought by Verizon or some work done by Verizon to investigate the possibility.

And I agree with you, and Mr. Schneider I think it's worth -- if it's something that could be spoken to tonight, that would be great. If not, you know, certainly the next meeting or sooner rather than later.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Understood.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. With that said, is there anything else, Mr. Schneider, you had to present this evening?

MR. SCHNEIDER: I have nothing relative to that exhibit, Mr. Chairman. So I guess it would be appropriate at this point to proceed with the further cross-examination of Mr. Simon.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Mr. Simon, I don't see you on my screen, but are you prepared to resume?

MR. SIMON: Sure. I will resume on Zoom. That's the first time I've used that, Mr. Chairman, in nine months.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Went well.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That was a good one. (Laughter.)

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Might be the last.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I liked it.
MR. SIMON: It's a tough crowd.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you need these Exhibits, Mr. Simon?

MR. SIMON: So Lori, why don't we go back to $Z-1$ which is $A-25$, only because $I$ may have a couple of questions on that.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Good.
MR. SIMON: Yes. I mean, we're good for now. Sure. Thank you very much, Lori. I appreciate that.

EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Frances, good evening.
A. Good evening. How are you.
Q. So I'm going to try to pick up where I left off, but I may want to -- let me start with what you just talked about.

So first of all, with regard to A-25 and A-26, those exhibits reflect some scanned data that was put on those exhibits; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you create those exhibits yourself?
A. I did.
Q. And the data from those exhibits, where was that data taken from?
A. The data is taken -- are you saying how did I get the data?
Q. Yeah. I want to know how did it come about that those particular numbers and figures ended up in the particular location on each of A-25 and A-26?
A. The scan data, how a scan is conducted, utilizes a scanner which collects not just the RSRP, but also has a GPS attached to it. The GPS gives you the latitude and longitude for the locations that is being recorded.
Q. And based on the latitudes and longitudes you then transposed the data onto the exhibit?
A. Correct. I utilized a mapping tool called MapInfo, and I'm able to put that -- from the scanner I'm able to export that information into an excel format and import that excel with that information into MapInfo where then I can create points based on the latitude and longitude.
Q. And have you provided any of that backup data that formed the basis for these exhibits to the

Board?
A. No. That has not been asked for. If you're asking, that could be put on a USB drive. Yes.
Q. Great. Thank you. And with regard to the data that we're looking at, was that from the -- and I apologize, $I$ might get this wrong, the February 14th, 2020, test?
A. Yes. The data that you see on the map, the same thematic mapping, the same signal levels that you see is all from the data that was collected during the drive.
Q. On February 14th?
A. Yes. That's correct. It's the same data they used.
Q. Do you have any other scanned data, more to Dr. Eisenstein's comment that it can change from day-to-day. Do you have any other scanned data, you or Verizon or Verizon's consultants for this area?
A. I have not asked Verizon if they have any data. This is the only data. On the day of the actual CW test for the proposed location where I did the scan drive, that's the only data that I've collected.
Q. And are you aware of whether anyone else has collected any data on behalf of Verizon or even on behalf of AT\&T or $T$-Mobile for the area in question,
scan data?
A. No.
Q. And with regard to the exhibit itself --

MR. SIMON: Lori, if you can scroll just down a little bit to where the key is.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Hold on.
MR. SIMON: I'm sorry.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: That's all right.
We're good. Okay.
MR. SIMON: It's good enough. Sure. Thank you. It's actually great.

BY MR. SIMON:
Q. So Frances, I'm looking at Exhibit A-25 and the key on the bottom of that exhibit. Do you see that on the screen?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you see it distinguishes between existing reliable in-building LTE coverage and existing unreliable in-building LTE coverage; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's based on neg 95 dBm RSRP; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the readings that you took, that you transposed onto these Exhibits A-25 and A-26, those exhibits were based on your scan test; correct?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Right. But the scan test, those readings are on the street based on scan readings from a receive antenna mounted to the roof of a drive test vehicle; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So given that, what is the significance of the key on the bottom of A-25 that we're looking at that references in-building LTE coverage?
A. So the map that's provided is the same map that was provided previously with just the green and gray color code. So the legend that you see on the bottom was to let you know that the green shows Verizon's existing coverage that is greater than or less than neg 95, and the gray was existing unreliable for the threshold of less than neg 95.
Q. For in-building, right?
A. Correct. So the reference to in-building, just to go back to what Dr. Eisenstein was mentioning about neg 95 and it being a level that's used with a buffer built in. And the neg 95 is a reflection of what is on the street. And that signal level is the level that is used so that when you enter into your home you no longer have neg 95. When you enter into your home you're going to have something less than neg
95.

So it's going to be within that buffer, that range. If you start at neg 105 on the street as your threshold, and when you enter your home you're not going to be at neg 105 in your home because you're going to be coming into contact with all sorts of obstacles that's going to affect your signal. So you're going to be less than neg 105 at that point. And that can range anywhere between neg 110 or neg 120. So the neg 95 is a signal threshold for on the street so that when you go into your home there is a -- an allowance of attenuation when you enter your home so that you still can have a decent level -- a reasonable level of service.
Q. Okay. Let me ask it, Frances, a different way. If there were hypothetically no homes in this coverage area, just roads, would it be safe to say that the desired signal strength would be neg 105 because that's the threshold for in-vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So if there were no buildings and just roads based on your drive test that there would be, looking at $A-25$, there certainly would be many more dots that would be green based on an in-vehicle threshold; correct?
A. Correct. You would see for -- if you were looking at this map and you were only interested in using your phone outside of your home or in your car, yes. You would have more green for neg 105 for in-vehicle, still the areas that are neg 107 like on Lees Hill Boulevard is beyond that, but, yes, there would be more green if those numbers had changed.

And if you notice, the numbers coincide with the color code thematic.
Q. Right. So -- so the -- to your point, the neg 107 that's right by the school, for example, as you testified earlier, that would be just outside the neg 105 threshold for in-vehicle; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Thank you for that. So -- did you provide any CW or Continuous Wave Test results similar to what you've provided?

And I thank you, and I concur with Dr.
Eisenstein, this is the first time in all the hearings I've done that I've seen so many numbers on the drive test information. So thank you for that.

But do you have a similar document or that you can create showing the Continuous Wave Test results?
A. Yes. That can be done as well. On the
maps that I've provided for the continuous waves I used a color code schematic, and I can label those points with the actual CW signal strengths.
Q. I may have asked you this last time, and I may be asking that question a lot unfortunately. Although, I did review my notes. Did you, during the February 14 th scan test or Continuous Wave Test, did you also put your own phone in test mode to measure the signal yourself?
A. You did ask that before. I mentioned that my particular iPhone I'm not capable to do that.
Q. I apologize. I don't want to waste anymore time. I apologize.

With regard to the data that we're seeing on $A-25$ and $A-26$, is that actual raw data readings or is that adjusted in any way, shape or form?
A. This is adjusted. It's the same -- it follows the same as the drive test report because we drove during winter with no foliage. I did take a correction factor to account for the attenuation of the leaves on the trees. And since that was not present I did discuss that level with from Dr. Eisenstein. That was 7 dB that was taken.
Q. So hold on a second. And I'll just use just as an example the elementary school, because we're
looking at that 107.39 reading just as an example, Frances?
A. Yes.
Q. So when the scan actually took the reading and you provide us with the raw data, the raw data is going to show a reading of approximately negative 100.39 for that particular reading; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So, and that difference, that correction factor to account for attenuation level of 7 dB you applied to every single number that we're seeing on both A-25 and A-26; correct?
A. Yes. To make it uniform, yes.
Q. And with regard to this area of Harding to account for the attenuation level of what, leaves on the trees?
A. Correct.
Q. Right. Did you do any type of analysis as to -- in a particular area within the gap area, whether the trees were deciduous or evergreen?
A. Yes. While I was out doing the CW test I did notice that there is a mix. There are evergreens present, as well as deciduous. Evergreens can attenuate the signal even more. So you can have a range of attenuation.

Also, including the thickness and the density of the trees can increase the attenuation, but as I mentioned that can range anywhere from 5 dB even up to 15 to 20 dB of loss. And 7 dB was more on the conservative side. I discussed that with Dr. Eisenstein, and he felt that that was a fair number.
Q. When you say a fair number --
A. Meaning that it could actually be worse based on the mix of evergreens and deciduous trees and the height of the trees and the density, meaning that there are certain sections in Harding where you aren't just passing through one single row of trees but actually several rows of trees. That attenuation can be greater than seven.
Q. If you are hypothetically driving through an area that is reflected in one of the readings on A-25, and there are no, zero deciduous trees in the area, all evergreen, then under those circumstances your reading as reflected on A-25, with my hypothetical Frances, is going to be off by 7 dB, correct? Just based on my hypothetical.
A. You're saying that if you're in an area where you're driving through all evergreens?
Q. That there is nothing -- there's no deciduous trees. There are only evergreen trees. And

1 the reading that you're getting from the scan test based on the antenna on the roof is a 100 percent accurate figure; is that possible?
A. It is possible if it's just, you know, one single row of evergreens. I mean, Mr. Simon, you have to understand that we're looking at an entire -- a large geographic area, and we know that the signal levels are not stationary. As you move -- as Dr. Eisenstein said, this is a radio wave, this is a signal that has peaks and valleys.

So you know, with engineering practice you try to take into account certain environments. So 7 dB is on the low end. There could be areas where it is more than seven. There could be areas where it is slightly less than seven. But the idea is to have an image of the general signal strengths in the area that are not within that buffer of being close to neg 95.
Q. And with regard to choosing the 7 dB as your correction factor to account for attenuation level, is there any type of treatise, book, finding, that that number was based on?

And I'm not trying to be argumentative, Frances, at all. I'm just wondering, like, why was it not at 9 dB ? Why wasn't it 6 dB ? Where did the 7 dB come from in terms of an actual, let's say, engineering

1 or other journal?
A. So, let me put it to you this way. And this is just in my experience having driven areas in Summer and then in Winter and seeing the difference in those drive tests and having done drive tests on my own.

Those levels are going to vary between, yes, the type of trees, and as I mentioned the thickness and the buffers, whether you're going through maybe 50 feet worth of trees or 200 feet worth of trees that signal is going to vary.

What I have come across is, it usually lies between five and 20 dB . So we could have chosen ten as a mid, or somewhere -- a mid point, but seven is closer to the lower end of the spectrum.
Q. Let me ask it a different way. Was there any reason why, Frances, there was no scan test done or Continuous Wave Test done in the Spring or Summer when the leaves were on the trees?
A. I mean, that would be -- my preference would be to do the drive -- do the drive test in the Summer so you can actually have the -- see the worst-case scenario. So there's no particular reason. I think how it came to be was once we saw the irregularities of the Chatham 2 site and propagation.

And then once we did the CW test it just made sense while doing the CW test to also do a scan drive. That happened to fall in the Winter.

MR. SIMON: Okay. I noticed on top, Lori, if you can scroll up a little bit. I'm looking top left.
Q. So on the top left corner right where it sort of says Harding 3 there's a scale, a one-mile scale?
A. Yes.
Q. In your other propagation exhibits that you've provided to the Board in both submissions, you had a scale of a half of a mile. And when I compared the two or the three really there was a little bit of a discrepancy in terms of measurement. Do you know why that would be?
A. There really shouldn't be. I don't create the scale. The actual mapping tool has a distance tool built in where it creates the scale based on the size of your map. So the scale should be accurate if you measure using the scale actually on the map. In that particular -- on that particular exhibit they should match up.
Q. And sticking with what we're seeing on the screen as $A-25$, on the top right-hand corner it states:

Morristown 3 Re-Lo (D).
A. Correct.
Q. And I don't think I asked you this, and if I did I apologize. What is Re-Lo (D)? What's the significance of that, if you know?
A. I don't know. That's just the name of the site that they have in the database. I don't know the significance of it at all.
Q. You don't know why it says Re-Lo or Relocation or what the "D" stands for?
A. Typically if $I$ see $R e-L o$ it would be some indication of a relocation, but $I$ don't know for sure. I didn't investigate the name of the site or why someone named it that.

MR. SCHNEIDER: To help move things along, Re-Lo would generally mean that there was an original Morristown 3 site and then essentially the site was relocated, but they kept the same site designation. That would explain why it's called "Re-Lo."

MR. SIMON: Mr. Schneider, do you know what the "D" stands for?

MR. SCHNEIDER: "D" would generally signify what candidate they may have looked at, but that's a guess. I don't know that for a fact. BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Ms. Boschulte, do you know if Morristown 3 Re-Lo (D) is that a site that's currently on the active?
A. It is active.
Q. And how much, if you can, just getting away for a moment from A-25 and A-26, between -- how much overlap are we talking about in terms of percentage between existing and proposed coverage per A-5 of your August 28th, 2018, report compared to propagation exhibit, $I$ think it's "I" or "J" on your March 3rd, 2020, report?

It appears, and this is why I'm asking you. I don't want to misread it. It appears that there's a significant amount of overlap between existing coverage and proposed coverage from the propagations.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Do you understand the question, Frances?
A. The long question? If you could bring up the exhibit that you're referring to that would be helpful.
Q. Do you have your --
A. Are you referencing the first report?
Q. Well, I'm referencing both reports. So there's -- if you look at A-5 of your report dated August 28th, 2018, and then you look at -- and then you
compare that with propagation Exhibit "J" of your March 3rd, 2020, report.
A. Correct.
Q. It appears that there is a -- my term -good amount of overlap from a propagation standpoint between what's existing coverage currently and what is being proposed from a propagation tool perspective?
A. I think in my supplemental report when I submitted the drive test data and I was doing the comparison between the two that there were areas where the propagation was over predicting.
Q. Right. You noticed four little pockets where that took place?
A. Right.
Q. But even if you exclude those four little pockets it appears that there is a good amount, again, my term, of overlap. And is there a way that you can estimate, if you can, what the percentage of overlap in coverage is between existing and proposed?

If you can't you can't.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Do you need any of these things put up?

THE WITNESS: Not at this moment. So if I look at the two I wouldn't say it's excessive, because it's not even anywhere near a half. Maybe a quarter.

1
Q. A quarter to a third?
A. I think less -- I would say less than a third, because if you look, especially near Sands Spring Lane, okay, you'll see, and you'll notice also in the drive data the signal starts to drop off. And it drops off pretty quickly. That's due to the terrain.

And then if you look at the proposed the proposed is barely getting to Sands Spring Road. It becomes very spotty and you just have that one little section along James Street that there is a little bit of an overlap. So if you look at the two coverage footprints together they're not really overlapping that much at all.
Q. So your answer is approximately 25 percent?

MR. SCHNEIDER: If you don't know --
BY MR. SIMON:
Q. If you don't know you don't know. That's fine.
A. I wouldn't say 25 percent. I would say something less than that.
Q. Like what?
A. I'm not sure why you think it's 25 percent.
Q. Well, I'm asking -- I'm not being argumentative. I'm just asking. If you don't know --

I just want the answer. That's all.
A. I say less than 25 percent.
Q. Can you estimate what percentage?
A. Let's say, I would say probably anywhere probably ten percent.
Q. Sticking with your August 2018 report, on page six you state that "Verizon Wireless subscribers currently cannot reliably initiate, receive or continue voice and/or data connections in the gap coverage area in question." Do you recall stating that?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you basing that on any complaints that have been made by Verizon Wireless subscribers?
A. I'm basing that on a few things: One, noting that within the gap that the terrain creates obstructions for the existing wireless facilities that creates a scenario where the signal strengths are extremely weak in the center of Harding Township.

Two, I'm also basing that on the fact that in conversations with Verizon at the time they said that there were several complaints in the area of dropped calls.
Q. When were those complaints made?
A. Back when $I$ was writing the report.
Q. Did anyone show you any data or
documentation to substantiate the "several complaints of dropped calls"?
A. No. Not at that time.
Q. Did you ever see or ask for any dropped-call data?
A. I do have dropped-call data, yes.
Q. And you have dropped-call data for this area in question?
A. I have dropped-call data, yes, for this area. On the dropped-call data is on the serving sectors of this area, yes.
Q. And have you provided that to the Board?
A. No.
Q. And what was the dropped-call data time frame?
A. The dropped-call data that $I$ have is for this year, 2020.
Q. And do you recall what percentage of Verizon Wireless subscribers in this area had dropped calls?
A. What I can tell you is that the dropped-call rate is greater than one percent on most of the sectors serving here.
Q. For what time period?
A. This is from a time period from January up
to August, I have.
Q. And with regard to the dropped-call information that you reviewed does it identify the reason for the dropped call?
A. Meaning?
Q. Meaning, was it the caller's fault, was it the receiver's fault? Was it a dropped phone? Was it a battery that went dead? Was it a bought phone?
A. For that I have to investigate further. I have to request more information. What I have is the dropped calls and failures on those serving sectors that serve where the gap is located.
Q. And does the dropped-call information that you have that you haven't provided yet to the Board, does that demonstrate what band the caller was on, whether it was on a lower frequency or a higher frequency?
A. What I have are dropped-call percentages for all the bands on each of the sites for that sector.
Q. So you don't know -- you have no idea whether -- well, let me ask you, and I apologize --
A. Well, it's broken down by bands. So there are going to be users on each of those bands. There's going to be users on the high bands, there's going to be users on the low bands. What I have data for are
the users that are on each of those bands --
Q. And when -- go ahead. I'm sorry.
A. -- for that time frame.
Q. And when the user -- and we talked about this a little bit the last time -- is on the higher band or starts out on a higher band, there's instances where it gets switched to a lower band; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So are those reflected as a dropped call or do you not know?
A. Or they're not -- when the hand down was successful?
Q. Yes.
A. I think that would be -- that would probably be a different -- okay. I would have to investigate all the drops to see, as you mentioned, the reason for the drop. Whether or not that happened -occurred because of low signal strengths, or that occurred because of a hand down or handover failure. I don't know the reasons for the drops.
Q. Okay. With going back to your report, I think you also stated, Frances, that, or you referenced, excuse me, that this application is being brought as part of a -- some sort of comprehensive plan to provide Harding Township with seamless and reliable

1 wireless service; correct?
A. Yes. You mentioned that in my report as to why I utilized the reference of comprehensive and seamless, and when I say "seamless" I mean that you can -- when you're mobile -- when you're moving from one area to the next wherever you are that during the handover process that you are able to continue your data connection.
Q. Right. But let me ask you about the terms comprehensive plan. Where are the other cell towers or sites that you're looking at so to provide Harding Township with a comprehensive plan for reliable service?
A. So when I wrote the report my idea was the fact that looking at where the existing sites are, and where the proposed is there's still a lot left in Harding that needs coverage. So at that time I did not have any other candidates being identified.
Q. Okay. So to be fair, there's no plan, per se, other than that Verizon wants to fill the gaps?
A. That's correct. That was my understanding at the time. Yes.
Q. You said as part of your report in this particular case Verizon Wireless focused on the major road and central areas within Harding Township such as

1 the municipal court, police department, and fire department, correct?
A. Yes. They're all in the center of town. Yes.
Q. So when you talk about the major road you're talking about Lees Hill Road, Blue Mill Road, and I guess Glen Alpin?
A. Yes. Where everything kind of comes to the intersection point, and then you have the buildings that I mentioned. And if you also look it's also where the density -- a good portion density area of residential is located. If you're looking at the map you have a large number of residents in that triangle. You have Village Road, Pleasantville Road, and Long Hill Road, and Lees Hill Road, all in the center of the township.
Q. And with regard to that area are you aware of any type of traffic counts that have been performed by anyone as to the number of cars per day?
A. That's not really an RF question. I didn't. I'd have to ask Mr. Schneider if he knows. I'm not sure if the --

MR. SCHNEIDER: I would know, but I think the simple answer is that you're not aware of whether -- or you didn't perform any traffic counts or

1 rely on any traffic counts; is that correct? BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Have you conducted any continuous wave test for this gap area at any other location beside the DPW?

MR. SCHNEIDER: I think, Rob, I think you asked that last time, and I think there was one correction. Frances, just to move it along why don't you just respond.

THE WITNESS: So, yes. Last time I was under the impression you were asking about the scan test, but in reference to the cW test we did do a test at the church, at the New Vernon Church. We did conduct a CW test with a bucket truck to the height of the roof of the church.

And a couple of days, I'm not sure, but shortly after $I$ was told that it was no longer a viable candidate. So I stopped any further processing of that. BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Did you do any propagation or CW test for Bayne Park?
A. Bayne Park? I did not. I did not.
Q. Do you know if anyone did?
A. No one has told me.
Q. And what about the municipal building or otherwise known as Kirby Hall Park, are you aware of any propagation or CW testing done assuming a tower at that location, anywhere on that location, including in the rear?
A. I didn't conduct any CW test at the municipal park. I don't know if anyone else did. I did do an analysis on the municipal as part of my alternate analysis.
Q. Have you submitted that to the Board?

I'm asking, Frances, because if we go back and I barely remember and you don't either. I think it was actually the first or the second hearing. I believe that the Board had asked you to provide propagation for the municipal building. So I don't know -- $I$ haven't seen it and I apologize if $I$ just missed it.
A. I don't know if I did or didn't. I don't know in the time frame. I know that I did the analysis on it, and $I$ know that it came back that the municipal building was not going to be a viable candidate.
Q. Okay. Do you recall item municipal building was not going to be a viable candidate?

MR. SCHNEIDER: The answer is because the municipality has and continues not to make it

1 available, so there's no point in providing any analysis of the site that's not available.

MR. SIMON: Well, that's your answer, Mr. Schneider. I'm asking the witness the question. THE WITNESS: Well, I know that I did the analysis as part of the alternate analysis, but $I$ was told that it wasn't a viable candidate. So I wasn't sure when $I$ was told that it's not a viable candidate and whether or not we submitted anything. But based on Mr. Schneider's response I don't believe anything was submitted because it came back that it was not an option.

BY MR. SIMON:
Q. And you understand that the municipal building site is owned by the township, as is the Harding DPW site; correct?
A. Yes. Correct.
Q. And you referenced also in your report, I believe, that there is a capacity issue during an emergency situation at the Harding Township Elementary School. Do you recall stating that?
A. I'm not sure if you're taking that out of context. Where are you reading that?
Q. I believe that in your original

August 28th, 2018, report you use the term "capacity
issue during an emergency situation at the Harding Township Elementary School."

Do you recall stating that?
A. Actually I don't. I know that you would have -- I can see why I would be concerned having the number of students and personnel in a situation, in an emergency situation where parents and students, et cetera, are all trying to call at the same time, that there could be.
Q. Do you know whether the Harding Township elementary school has the ability to install in-building DAS?
A. I don't know if the school has the ability to install.
Q. Do you know if the school has the ability to install small cells outside the building on the face of the building?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know if the Harding Township Elementary School has the ability to install cell antennas, wireless antennas on the roof of that building?
A. No. I do not know. I have not -- I do not have any knowledge of any discussions with the school.
Q. And you have not inquired; correct?
A. I personally --
Q. As to whether any of those possibilities that $I$ just listed are possible?
A. Typically I don't look to install in schools.
Q. Are you aware whether schools -- all schools in New Jersey have either in-building DAS, small cells on the face of their buildings, and/or antennas on the roofs of their buildings?
A. Did you just say "all schools"?
Q. Do you know whether schools have any of those three?
A. I do know some schools in the state of New Jersey have installations. I don't know if all schools have installations.
Q. I didn't ask whether all schools. I asked whether schools have them. That's my fault. I'm sorry.

So do you know how many -- how many schools are you aware of that have one of those options?
A. I don't have a count of how many schools in the state of New Jersey have some type of wireless installation. I don't know.
Q. Have you worked on any projects that involve those?
A. No. I've only worked on projects that involve putting in public safety systems inside schools. Not wireless.
Q. When you say "public safety systems inside schools" what does that mean?
A. Two-way portable radio, putting emergency responders for fire department. Some jurisdictions require.
Q. Have you been asked or have you inquired to do that for the Harding Township Elementary School?
A. No.
Q. Dr. Eisenstein earlier tonight, and I apologize Bruce, $I$ know I'm going to get this wrong as I usually do, but talked about a wireless user in a bad area -- my term -- experiencing I think he said bit rate errors which slows everything down. I think that was his term.

Do you understand what he was talking about when he said that?
A. The amount of bit error rates?
Q. Yeah.
A. As the signal gets weaker -- yes.
Q. Have you seen any data for this area in question that demonstrates bit error rates going up?
A. I haven't seen any data. I have to -- I

1 have to check, but the scan data that I took may include signal quality which could also be a demonstration of high bit error rate, but I'm not sure if $I$ have that information for this area.
Q. And do you have that information for not just Verizon but do you have it for any other carrier for this area?
A. No.
Q. And you know what, and I apologize Frances. The drop-call data that you were referencing earlier, I neglected to ask you, do you know whether that, or do you recall whether those were drivers or business owners or homeowners?
A. I mean, what you're asking is personal information for me to ask Verizon for. That's not going to be on regular statistical data.
Q. I don't want to know anyone's name. I just want to know whether the person who was making, as you stated in your sworn testimony, a complaint as to a dropped call whether that person was a driver or whether that person was someone in a house, or in a building like the post office?

MR. SCHNEIDER: If you know, Frances. THE WITNESS: Oh, I don't know. I don't know.

BY MR. SIMON:
Q. And you -- I guess in terms of the roadways that you provided the scan test data for, is it true that the speed at which you're driving is related in some regard to whether or not you're going to drop a call?
A. Speed can have an effect.
Q. So when you're walking or you're stationary and outside, are you less likely to drop a call than if you're driving fast?
A. I mean, you're going through a residential neighborhood, how fast can you be going?
Q. Thank you. Does your analysis take into account possible enhancements that can be placed within a building such as a Femtocell, or a cell enhancer, or Wi-Fi or a cell booster?
A. Sorry. Can you repeat the question?
Q. Sure. Does your analysis and the opinions that you're providing on behalf of Verizon take into account the ability of a property owner to make a signal -- wireless signal stronger with a cell enhancer or a repeater or extender or Wi-Fi or a Femtocell?

MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to the question. I'm not going to understand the question. You're asking whether her analysis show -- accounts for
that. Her analysis shows what the existing level of service is and what the proposed coverage is. It doesn't obviously account for what may an individual homeowner have or not have. How would she know?

MR. SIMON: Well, Ms. Boschulte is providing expert opinion testimony on a variety of topics dealing with radio frequency. She has provided opinions dealing with in-building, reliable in-building coverage and stating that the applicant, the carrier needs neg 95 dBm RSRP as a reliable signal strength. And I'm asking her whether that conclusion takes into account the possibility that a homeowner or property owner has purchased and are using enhancers modes to strengthen a signal.

MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection is to the use of the phrase "takes into account." I don't know what that means, and I don't know that Frances knows what it means. BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Frances, if I'm in a home, if you're using negative 95 as your in-building reliable signal strength; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. If I have a Femto -- do you know what a Femtocell is?
A. Yes.
Q. What is a Femtocell?
A. It's a small device that boosts your signal strength inside your home. It doesn't have a very large footprint but --
Q. If I had a Femtocell in my home and my home is located in an area that has, for example, negative 105 coverage -- go ahead. Right?
A. Typically when you look at these specifications for these devices that are meant to boost your signal from the outside coming to -- to come into your home, you have to have something better than really neg 105 to work with.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Because there's only a certain amount of gain that's going to be allowed to amplify so -- to amplify your signal. And you're only going to have a small coverage footprint. So you may have it maybe upstairs and then you may need more than one device to cover your whole entire home.
Q. So let's assume for a moment that I purchased enough devices, based on your answer, to cover my entire home, how much amplification of my signal am I going to get?
A. It's going to be a reflection on what
you're getting from the outside.
But I have to say, Mr. Simon, because the question is really odd because when you're designing a network and you're looking to fill a gap in service you have to design it for everyone. And what you're asking is to make the assumption that the average person purchases these things. And, you know, I don't believe that's the way to design a system thinking that the average person is going to purchase, every person is going to purchase a booster.

MR. SCHNEIDER: The answer, Frances, is your analysis didn't include any assumptions as to whether any individuals purchased or utilized a Femtocell. And my objection is that is a beyond unreasonable position to take to suggest that that's a regulatory -- that every individual should be required to provide a Femto service as a means of providing reliable service.

MR. SIMON: I did not ask her --
MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, you asked her whether her analysis took into account. And she's indicated -CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Gentlemen, can we move along? Your objection is noted. Steve, I don't know, do you want to do some lawyer stuff here? Mr. Simon, can we -- did you get an answer
to your question.
MR. SIMON: Well, the --
THE WITNESS: Well, the answer is I didn't take that into account. No.

BY MR. SIMON:
Q. If a building or a home has a Femtocell or a mini cell site that transmits and receives a signal Verizon -- for that particular building or home Verizon would need to design to a desired signal strength to neg 95; correct?

MR. SCHNEIDER: My objection is noted, but if you can answer the question, fine. But my objection is continued to be noted.

THE WITNESS: I'm going to say, no, Simon (sic), because I already said that it's already noted that these -- these Femtocells do not cover a large radius. So if you have -- depending on your home environment you may only cover two bedrooms. And what do you do with the rest of your house. And again, I didn't investigate how many people in Harding have this device.

BY MR. SIMON:
Q. So let's move onto just nonresidential buildings, because we're talking about downtown Harding Township.

Those buildings can have antennas on the roof or on the face of their buildings or even in-building DAS; correct?
A. Can they?
Q. Yes.
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know?
A. I don't know if they're landmarked. I don't know. That's not how $I$ was -- this is not how $I$ evaluated the gap.
Q. Did you look and consider any of those alternatives for any building or any other site other than the DPW as part of your radio frequency analysis for this application?
A. We did go through the alternate analysis.
Q. I'm asking you whether -- did you consider placing antennas on the roof of any building in the coverage area, either independently or in combination with the monopole location at the DPW?
A. We did investigate the municipal.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. We did investigate the church. We did a CW test on the church.
Q. Did you perform any of those analysis -you just said you did an analysis on the municipal
building? Is that what you said? I'm sorry.
A. I did an analysis on the municipal building to investigate that.
Q. When you did an analysis, what does that -are you talking about a monopole, are you talking about some other type of installation?
A. I'm talking about a monopole-type structure, not the actual rooftop structure.
Q. So did you consider, other than the I think it was the church, did you consider a rooftop structure in combination with the DPW yard anywhere?
A. The height of the building at the DPW yard is, I would have to say, even below 50 feet. It's not even above the tree line.
Q. Have you looked at installing the monopole at the DPW yard in conjunction with any alternative to a monopole in an effort to potentially lower the height of the DPW monopole?
A. No. And I'm going to tell you why. When I looked at the DPW -- and I have spent time, Simon, looking at the terrain and surrounding area and seeing what's the best way to fill the gap in the center of town. And if you look at the surrounding existing sites and you see what they cover, okay, let's just take, for an example, we're looking at the drive test

1 map. If you can scroll up a little -- maybe zoom out a

So if you just look at those coverage footprints they don't reach very far, and they don't reach very far even at 700 because of function of distance, terrain, foliage, all the obstructions in its path. If I'm looking at the DPW and I know that there is a ridge to the east, there's a ridge to the west going down Lees Hill Boulevard where, you know, you get to the top and then once you pass Long Hill Road your
-- pretty much line-of-sight is lost.
If you look at the CW test at the DPW site it shows that even at 120 you don't make it past Long Hill Road, you don't make it past Long Hill Road because the terrain is so severe. The valleys are severe. So to look for small little rooftops to put something on, it's not going to make a dent into trying to provide adequate service to this area trying to resolve these dropped calls, trying to resolve the issues that users are experiencing in the service.

So this is a heavily challenging area for an RF environment. And even at a hundred feet you can see as you go towards the center of town that it's a challenge. The signals are weak. Very weak in some areas. And again, if you look at the CW test for the DPW you'll see -- you'll see as you drop from 120 down to 80 you see what happens in the environment.

So whether or not -- I mean, to look at a small rooftop that's surrounded by trees and it's a dense foliage area it's not going to propagate very far in this type of environment.
Q. So Frances, the answer to the question that I asked you is no, that you did not take that into consideration; right?
A. I did. Based on what $I$ know in terrain of

1 the area I took it into consideration that it's not going to be very helpful.

MR. SCHNEIDER: So just so we're clear, the answer is that she did take -- just so we're not obfuscating the record, she did take it into consideration and determined that no existing rooftop would meet their existing needs in combination for the reasons just articulated. BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Did you propagate any rooftop in Harding Township in combination with the DPW yard because you took it into consideration?
A. No. I didn't propagate. No.
Q. And do you -- if a -- if the municipal -hypothetically, if the municipal building had in-building DAS you wouldn't need a macro site to provide that particular building with negative 95 RSRP in-building coverage; correct?
A. I wouldn't need to provide service to that building if they had an in-building DAS solution, if that's what you're asking.
Q. Yes. With regard to the data that you have provided from the adjacent sites and the facilities, have you provided the power of those radios stated in watts?
A. I'm sorry. You said adjacent sites. Are you talking about the existing sites on the map?
Q. Yes. I'm sorry.
A. I haven't provided the transmit powers of those existing sites.
Q. And were the propagation maps that you have provided to the Board, were they done at maximum power that the radios can put out like 400 watts, or operational powers such as 200 watts?
A. So they are done based on the -- let me see. The maximum power that they're able to transmit at.
Q. And not operational power?
A. I don't know what you mean by operational power.
Q. In other words, were they done -- I guess to cut to the chase, were they done at the maximum power that the radios can put out?
A. They're done at what they're allowed to be licensed to operate at.
Q. Well, no. That's not what I asked. I'm asking --
A. They can't operate more power than what they're licensed for.
Q. But were the propagation maps created based
at maximum power that their radios can put out?
A. The propagation is based on the actual power at the site that it's currently operating on.
Q. What about for the proposed site?
A. The proposed site is operating on the same transmit power that the surrounding sites are operating on.
Q. Okay. Is that operational power which is less than maximum power, or is it maximum power, do you know?
A. It will be operational. What they're licensed for.
Q. Is there a reason why -- when you talk about clutter class in your March 3rd, 2020, report, what do you mean by that?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Where are you referring to,
Mr. Simon?
THE WITNESS: You're referring to the supplemental report?

MR. SIMON: I am.
THE WITNESS: Propagations, parameters, drive test data?

MR. SIMON: I am. I'm looking at -- this is not a numbered -- Mr. Schneider, third page under the table.

THE WITNESS: Section two?
BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Yes, Frances. Do you see where I'm referring to?
A. Yes.
Q. On the right. It says --
A. -- clutter class.
Q. Right. And so why does Harding 2 have a clutter class of forest and Harding 3 have a clutter class of none? Do you see what I'm referring to?
A. Correct. And that was part of the problem that was arising in this supplemental report. I speak of Verizon migrating from one former propagation software Geoplan to Atoll. And at times when you do a transfer between software sometimes everything doesn't line up how the two softwares interpret the information.

So the clutter class, obviously, it should not be none. Probably utilized some generic clutter class because whatever was in Geoplan originally Atoll did not know how to interpret it. So the site parameters below on the next page showed the revision, and you have -- it's no longer none. It's listed as forest. If you look at the propagational model you'll see that it has SU stands for Suburban New Jersey, Tall
meaning tall trees in the area. And so you'll see that the clutter classes for Harding 3 have been updated and rectified.
Q. And do you know what the -- why it would be forest and not residential such as Chatham 2?
A. First, when you have a geographical database that is purchased, and is usually purchased from like the United States geo-database, and they have all sorts of classifications. So it could be that Harding's are surrounded predominantly by forest before reaching residential areas.
Q. Okay. With regard to the data that was inputted into the model, you didn't do that, somebody else did that; right?
A. When you say "data put into models" --
Q. In other words, the data that comprises -that's put into this propagation model, did you input that yourself?
A. If you're referring to like the transmit power?
Q. Yes.
A. The transmit power it comes out of their -it's tied into their network database, their performance database which takes that information from their switch information as to what's at the site.
Q. And that's the same, Frances, for calibration for like path loss?
A. What you're asking are two different things. So if you ask for parameters, certain parameters are put in by the user.
Q. Uh-hum.
A. So like for the proposed site I would look at the drawings and $I$ would put in the antenna model information. I would put in the height information. I would put in the coordinates. I would put in the azimuths and the tilts, that information. For the existing sites what frequency they're going to be, you know, what frequency -- what frequency band, those other type of parameters would come from their database, their live database and performance at the switch on how the site is configured. That would come through a dump of parameters and input into the tool.
Q. Did you input that into the tool or did someone else do that?
A. No. Someone else maintains that database for the existing sites.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Simon, are you coming to a point where you could pause? I don't want to interrupt any continuous questions.

MR. SIMON: Mr. Chairman, $I$ can definitely
take a pause right now.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Everybody can we just take a five minute break here? We're going to take a five-minute break or six-minute break. We'll start up again at 10 o'clock exactly.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Thank you, Mike. (Whereupon, a break is taken at 9:54 p.m.) (Back on the record at 10:01 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Do we have everyone back?

MR. MLENAK: Do you want to do a roll call, Lori?

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Okay. Mr. Maselli?
Sell Lee.
BOARD MEMBER MASELLI: Here.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Addonizio?
BOARD MEMBER ADDONIZIO: Here.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Newlin?
BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Here.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Symonds?
BOARD MEMBER SYMONDS: Here.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Ms. Sovolos?
BOARD MEMBER SOVOLOS: Here.
SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Mr. Flanagan?
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Here. All right.

Great. Mr. Simon, I'll hand it back over to you. MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Frances, are you there?
A. I'm here.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I neglected -- oh, regarding the -- just remind me here. Regarding the CW tests, are those tests done in -- measured by RSSI, whereas, the scan tests are measured RSRP?
A. The CW test is done in RSSI. In the supplemental report, I believe --
Q. You talked about that. I'm just curious for purposes of these Exhibits $A-25$ and $A-26$, are they all in RSRP, or are they in RSSI that was converted?
A. They are in RSRP.
Q. Thank you. With regard to the CW test that you provided at 700 megahertz, it didn't appear to me at least that there was much difference between 120 feet and 80 feet. So my question is, did you attempt to go lower than 80 feet to provide those measurements?
A. I'm looking at my report and at 80 feet $I$ mention that there are exceptions of the following areas: Kennedy Lane, Fawn Hill Drive, Featherbed Lane, Village Road, and Millbrook Road. So there are
differences between 120 and 80 at 700 megahertz.
Q. Did you attempt to go lower than 80 by way of either propagation or via the continuous wave drive test?
A. No. We did not go lower than 80.
Q. And do you know whether 80 would be desired by any of the other carriers? Have you spoken to any other carriers?
A. No. I have not spoken to any other carriers about this application.
Q. Have you considered -- and I think Mr. Newlin asked you this, but I'm going to ask it maybe a little bit differently.

Did you consider this monopole installation in combination with any ODAS or Outdoor Distributed Antenna System technology?
A. No, because --
Q. Go ahead. I'm sorry. I interrupted you. Because why?
A. When looking at the location for the DPW, and based on the coverage gap, this site needs to cover this residential area and the buildings I mentioned. So kind of in this triangle. And just based on topography the proposed solution is really the best solution.
Q. You've heard a reference or mention to an ODAS application that was filed in Harding Township by Verizon and then withdrawn?
A. You mentioned that last time. I don't know anything about that. I was asking you. You knew more than I did.
Q. Have you done any type of investigation or even asked -- well I shouldn't say this.

Have you done any investigation into any ODAS application that was filed with Harding Township in the last five years?
A. No.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Can we define application?
MR. SIMON: An application or permission to install an ODAS system in Harding Township with either the Harding Township Planning Board, Board of Adjustment, or governing body.

MR. SCHNEIDER: If you've been involved at all, Frances.
A. I haven't been involved at all.
Q. Are you aware of any such application, an ODAS application being filed with the Planning Board, Board of Adjustment or governing body in the past five years?
A. Mr. Simon, I haven't seen any -- I don't
know what has been filed. You keep asking me if $I$ know what's been filed. I don't know what's been filed.
Q. Have you asked anyone?
A. No.
Q. And with regard to the monopole if it's approved and installed, you're aware that state and Federal law allowed the tower once approved to be increased without any subsequent Board approval?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection. That's beyond this witness' expertise and that calls for a legal conclusion.

MR. SIMON: She's a radio frequency expert.
MR. SCHNEIDER: She's not aware, Rob, of what -- you know that as well as anybody. That's beyond this witness' expertise to determine under what circumstances a tower may or may not be extended. And I think you know that, with all due respect.

MR. MLENAK: I don't think this witness needs to answer a question like that. BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Do you know, Ms. Boschulte, whether any of the towers in the surrounding area that you show on your propagation maps have been raised or extended since they were first installed?
A. That $I$ don't know. I don't know if they

1 have been extended since they were first installed. 2 No.
Q. And do you know how it was determined that the township's public bid mandated the tower height be 140 feet?
A. Simon, I wouldn't know.
Q. And you talked about terrain in providing a number of your answers. The property along Lees Hill Road in particular has a higher elevation than the other areas in question including the DPW yard; correct?
A. Yes. As you go to the west along Lees Hill Boulevard you start to rise, and pretty much by Lindsley Road you then drop down in elevation.
Q. Do you know whether Verizon has -- or let me ask you this.

Are you aware of anyone looking into siting
a wireless installation on higher elevation such as
along Lees Hill Road that may result in a lower tower height.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Can you repeat the
question?
MR. SIMON: Sure.
BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Are you aware of any inquiry into siting a

1 wireless facility on ground elevation that is higher than the ground elevation of the DPW yard?

MR. SCHNEIDER: By Verizon or anybody else?
MR. SIMON: By anyone.
A. No.
Q. And with regard to the desired signal strength of negative 95 dBm RSRP was that determined by Verizon for this application?
A. Say that again about the neg 95? Repeat.
Q. The neg 95 desired signal strength, was that desired by Verizon for this application?
A. Verizon has made it known that they follow a neg 95 signal strength. We have also done our own internal calculation based on path loss, receiver sensitivity, and other factors as far as the link budget, and we found that the neg 95 was reasonable for the type of service that they were looking to provide.

So yes, they utilize neg 95. There are other carriers that have utilized different thresholds. We do our internal analysis and we do voice our opinions on whether or not we feel that that's an acceptable value.
Q. But there's no -- to your knowledge as a radio frequency expert there's no governmental or FCC standards that require a carrier to use a particular
signal strength, right?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. And there's no Federal standard for reliable in-building residential LTE coverage; right?
A. Federal? I haven't come across any Federal --

MR. SCHNEIDER: Empirical standard.
THE WITNESS: Empirical standards. No. I have come across other standards that utilize neg 95 requirement. BY MR. SIMON:
Q. What standards are those?
A. One, for the public safety coalition. They look at a similar neg 95 dBm threshold for their communications systems in relation to what they would consider to also provide a decent DAQ, which is a digital audio quality for being able to be heard without noise on a portable radio.

So there are other engineering practices that do have based on link budget of what an acceptable signal strength is. So neg 95 is not the first time that we have seen this type of threshold.
Q. When you talk about link budget, Frances, what is that?
A. A link budget is where you look to see what
is an allowable path loss between your transmitter and your receiver and vice versa. So you're looking for a balanced path.

So let's say for an example, say you have a very tall site, very high on the tower, and you know, you can crank it all the way up. And you go around and you record your signal strength and you're getting -oh, you're getting neg 50, you know, because you're putting out "X" amount of watts. And you say that's great; however, then you're in that area and no one can hear you, and you're like I don't understand. I have all these bars saying $I$ have neg -- the problem is that your path isn't balanced.

So your path has to be balanced because your phone is not putting out 200 watts. And so although you can hear from the base station, the base station can't hear you. So that path loss between the two communicating to each other has to be balanced.

And when you do your link budget you can determine based on the equipment specifications of your smart device and the equipment specifications of your base station what is the allowable path loss between the two so that they can communicate with each other.

And so link budgets are used. It's a standard engineering practice whenever you start a
design that you have to take into account not just the transmit power on one end but the transmit power on both and the receivers and the receivers sensitivity on both and determine at what is the maximum allowable path loss between the two.
Q. Thank you. And with regard to -- I just want to get an update. We heard Chief Heller provide some testimony regarding working with Verizon to address communication issues.

Do you have any update -- are you privy, I'm sorry, to any update with regard to any discussions between the Chief and Verizon?
A. I haven't inquired since my last inquiry. So, no. I don't have an update.
Q. And with regard to the outdoor DAS, and I got your answer earlier that you're not aware of any applications. Do you know whether Verizon has made a written request to Harding generally to install ODAS nodes in the right-of-way?
A. Simon, I can only guess.
Q. I don't want you to guess. If you don't know just --
A. I don't know for sure. I don't know for sure.
Q. The -- with regards to, and I asked you
some questions last time as to ODAS systems that have been installed, outdoor systems that had been installed.

Are you familiar with any outdoor systems that had been installed in Morris County.
A. Why do I feel like we went down this road before?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Are you asking is she familiar with or did she work on any?

MR. SIMON: Familiar with.
A. Morris County. Would that be Bernardsville/Mendham.
Q. Well, that's Somerset County. WE talked about that last time.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, Mendham would be in Morris.

BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Mendham is Morris. Right. That's right. Mendham is Morris. Bernardsville is Somerset.
A. Okay. So I am familiar. It's a long time ago, but $I$ am familiar with nodes being done in Mendham. I don't know if it was implemented or not. I can't confirm if it was implemented.
Q. I'm sorry, Frances. When you say you worked on some nodes in Mendham what are you referring
A. I'm referring to like a conceptual design of what it would look like, but $I$ don't know where it went.
Q. And on whose behalf did you put that conceptual design together?
A. With Verizon on behalf of Verizon. Well, you know, we call it Bernardsville and Mendham, so I must have -- yeah. I think some of the nodes did go into Mendham Township.
Q. Was that the same project or was it two separate projects?
A. No. It was part of the same project, but, again, I don't know what that implemented.
Q. Other than the in-building DAS system that was installed in the municipal building fairly recently, are you aware of any other in-building DAS systems installed within the coverage gap area?
A. No. I'm not aware. I've asked that question and that's the only one.
Q. When you say you've asked that question --
A. I've asked that question to Verizon if there were any other in-building solutions like they did at the police department and they said no.
Q. When you have an in-building DAS system
like the one for the municipal building does it provide coverage immediately outside the building as well?
A. When you say immediate, what do you mean?
Q. I mean, let's say within 10 feet? Good question. Good follow up.
A. I would think that there is some immediate outside coverage. Maybe -- I don't know, I can't say for sure. I've never done any test on that type of installation, but I would assume having done other DAS-type installations depending on the power that there would be some residual coverage coming from outside of the building.

I don't know how far that gets. I wouldn't anticipate it covering too far, only because when you have several -- when you start to have a significant number of outdoor or indoor type of solutions and they are not -- their power is not controlled so that they're operating just inside the building. It can create noise for the rest of the network if too much is actually going outside beyond its purpose and can create network issues as far as interference and raising the noise floor.
Q. With regard -- getting back to the municipal building site that you propagated but didn't provide the information to the Board on, do you have a
recollection as to what areas a municipal building site
would cover?
A. I'd have to re-look at the map to see what the coverage footprint and where the signal begins to die off. I'd have to look back.
Q. Let me see if I've -- I'm trying to think if I've -- just give me a second.

Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry. Just give me a minute to see if $I$ have anything else.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sure.
Q. I'm sorry. Frances, are you aware of any other planned or proposed sites by any other carriers?
A. I haven't. Not in the town of Harding. I don't know any plans from any other carriers. I haven't spoken to any other carriers in regards to this application.
Q. Do any of the other carriers have any existing sites whether they are macro sites or small cell sites or DAS sites other than what you're showing in your exhibits?

MR. SCHNEIDER: If you know, Frances. And if you can speak to any other -- the scope of any other carriers.
A. I mean, I drove down Pleasantville Road and I know that there is a carrier there. I believe that
is AT\&T.
Q. And can you maybe identify -- why don't we use A-25 because we introduced it this evening. Can you just identify on $A-25$ approximately what we're talking about?
A. I'm trying to remember where -- let's see. If you -- no, that's not it. I'm getting my bearings wrong.

If you go to the west -- or east, right, go to east and down right where the road starts to bend. Follow Valley Road.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Spring Valley Road?
THE WITNESS: No. Village Road, I'm sorry, and go toward Chatham like you're going toward Chatham. Right there. Sorry. Go more near the intersection. I thought I saw a carrier there. Somewhere there.

MR. SCHNEIDER: But you're not specifically familiar with the nature and the type of the carrier; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: I thought it was -- for some reason I thought it was AT\&T. But for some reason I'm looking --
Q. So if we're looking at Exhibit A-25 for the record, and you're looking at the Chatham 2 site it's to the west of the Chatham 2 site?
A. You know what, it's not. Sorry. It's not Pleasantville Road. It's Millbrook Road where I saw the carrier. Sorry. So, yeah. Near the DPW, right. Right at that intersection of Village Road and Millbrook.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Excuse me, Steve. Are we allowed to say that the Board of Adjustment heard an application from AT\&T to enhance their antennas?

MR. MLENAK: It's all part of the public record. Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I forget when it was, but it wasn't that long ago. So I presume that's what you're referring to. There's antennas on --

THE WITNESS: On the roof.
BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: On the roof of the firehouse. I'm certain that was AT\&T.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Newlin is a hundred percent correct. In fact, it was on the Agenda during one of the nights we were there. It was a modification to the existing rooftop at the fire department.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: And I believe, Rich, that includes broadband, does it not? I believe it is a broadband-type antenna. It's not just for public safety.

MR. SCHNEIDER: And I think they were before your Board just for a modification. The facility had existed. You are correct.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Thanks. Just to spare some time here.

MR. SCHNEIDER: To move it along. Yes. BY MR. SIMON:
Q. Thank you. So Frances, what Mr. Newlin and Mr. Schneider had described a moment ago, does that accurately reflect the site that you're referring to, the AT\&T site?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you know -- have you said any -seen any propagation from that site?
A. I haven't seen any propagation from that site. I haven't seen any information from AT\&T.
Q. So other than that rooftop site, are you aware of any other sites by non-Verizon carriers?
A. No.
Q. And do you know whether Verizon has requested or received collocation requests from other carriers for the DPW site?

MR. SCHNEIDER: You did ask that previously and she answered she wasn't aware.
A. I don't know.

MR. SIMON: I have nothing further for Ms. Boschulte. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Simon.

Mr. Schneider, what else did you have planned for this evening, if anything? We have 32 minutes left. Do you have any other testimony you wanted to present?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Not tonight, Mr. Chairman. It would not be appropriate. There's a couple of follow-up items that $I$ think have been raised during the testimony this evening, some supplemental data that that was referenced.

So my request would be to call it an evening. Come back at the meeting in January. My hope and expectation is that Mr. Simon -- well, I'm going to confirm that Mr. Simon has concluded his cross-examination subject to only additional cross-examination that may be necessary as a result of the supplemental information that we will come back with at the January meeting.

So to answer your question directly I'm done for the evening. There's no point in me doing re-direct at this point. I'd rather just defer that until $I$ conclude the matter, at least Ms. Boschulte's
testimony in January. And I think that works out of fairness for everybody given the time of tonight's meeting.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And I'm sorry, Mr. Schneider. Maybe I misunderstood. Is Ms. Boschulte's testimony done? And I'm asking because I don't think we have any -- well, actually, do we have members of the public present? Is this not the time, Steve, to ask --

MR. SCHNEIDER: Let me answer your question, if $I$ can. I think there is one or two items that was asked for that Frances said that she would review, and if appropriate provide. So I don't want to say she's done, but $I$ would be clear in the sense that I think when she does return in January I would not expect it to be -- famous last words -- lengthy. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. As long as she comes back in January, that's fine.

MR. MLENAK: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sure. Was that you
Steve?
MR. MLENAK: Yes. I don't know if it's worth recommending seeing if anybody in the public does have a question, or Dr. Eisenstein does. Because I think we'd all agree what we don't want is for
supplemental questions in January to have Ms. Boschulte have to come back in February because she needs to produce additional exhibits. So maybe it's worth that check?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Fair enough. Are there any members of the public that have any questions for Ms. Boschulte? (No reply.)

Dr. Eisenstein, do you have any follow-ups for Ms. Boschulte for next month?

DR. EISENSTEIN: Next month? No. I think I'm okay at this point.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Good. So Mr. Schneider, the topic of ODAS systems has come up repeatedly. I know the township at one point I don't know how many years ago had actually passed an ordinance which I think it related to ODAS systems.

I believe at the time they were in discussion with Verizon surrounding those systems. Ms. Boschulte's testified she has no knowledge of those systems. Certainly Verizon does. So do you have someone from Verizon that you plan to present that can testify to what work, if any, they've done on these systems?

MR. SCHNEIDER: I think the logical thing would be to have Ms. Boschulte review what may have
been submitted and what the status of that and have her respond rather than a separate witness who should address your concerns. And you're referring, I think, Mr. Chairman, to what I'll call generically an ODAS ordinance that was adopted in 2018.

So to answer your question, I'll have Ms. Boschulte review what may have been submitted or may not have been submitted and relevant details concerning that. She would be the appropriate witness. I would not intend to bring someone else. I think she would be the appropriate person.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. That's fine. Whoever you think is best to present that. But I do want to hear from the Applicant about what plans they've made, if any. If there was any designs. If they've done any preliminary work as to where the placement of these towers or devices may be. But, you know, any and all information you have about what Verizon was planning with those towers.

And Alf -- go ahead.
MR. SCHNEIDER: And specific, and I think Mr. Newlin raised it, if there's no issue we'll be prepared to respond, understanding that the scope of what may or may not have been submitted may very well be predicated. And I think Ms. Boschulte was pretty
clear about this at the last hearing, whatever plans may have been submitted were presumably dependant upon approval of the site at the DPW.

So that I just want to set the groundwork that whatever plans may or may not have been submitted were contingent or at least based on a plan assuming approval at the DPW. But with that understanding I have no issue with responding to your request, as well as Mr. Newlin's.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Can I ask --
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Go ahead. I just --
BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Go ahead, Mike. I just want to ask something when you're done.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I just -- you know, words are important. I want to be careful with the term "submitted." Because I don't know if anything was submitted. What I would like to know is what was designed, regardless of whether it was submitted for approval or have gone through any formal steps to gain approval of the township. MR. SCHNEIDER: I was not playing semantics about submitted or not, but I understand the import of your question about what I'll refer to as the conceptual plan as it may relate to in combination with the macro site of the DPW.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Great. And Alf, I'm sorry. I interrupted you.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: No, it's perfect. So Rich, just to clarify, at least where I'm coming from is. Is it the case that the ODAS units work in conjunction with the tower, generally?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So is it -- and perhaps this is naive, it's okay, but does the higher the tower mean you potentially have to do less ODAS units? Lower the tower potentially more with the understanding that that train is -- I'm not trying to be accurate, but to some degree there is a tradeoff; is that true?

MR. SCHNEIDER: I understand what you're saying, and you're probably giving, frankly, me too much credit as being an RF expert, but I think the basic parameters of what you said from my limited perspective would be accurate that the amount of ODAS nodes in their layout would be in large measure dependent upon the height and the design of the tower. Frances, am I -- I don't want to open up the whole issue here, but what me and Mr. Newlin just went back and forth, as a general principal, is that an accurate statement?

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: On a firth-grade level is all we're looking for.

THE WITNESS: The nodes -- the ODAS, the Outdoor DAS are typically used to provide an extension or to fill a small gap. So more to compliment a macro facility. So whether or not this can reflect on changing the height of the proposed structure is going to be dependent upon the locations of the nodes, where they can be placed, and because this area is very challenging as far as terrain all that's going to play into effect.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: So the answer is, yes. They are related. So Rich, let me ask you another question. And this comes from --

DR. EISENSTEIN: Well, before you go on maybe I should just add something here. There is a relationship. You're absolutely correct, but it's a very non-linear relationship. It's not you lower it a little bit and you get a little more.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: No. I understand.
DR. EISENSTEIN: The area covered goes as to square of the radius of coverage. So when you drop the tower a little the radius of coverage goes down, but the area that it covers is now down by -- it's reduced -- if you cut it in half you have one-fourth

1 the area that you're covering, which means you would need four times as many ODAS nodes to cover the area that's no longer covered.

So it's highly non-linear. It's not something where you just $g o$ in and say just drop it a little or add a couple of nodes. It can go up very rapidly.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: I'm not looking for the easy answer, but it is clear, I think, that they are related and that we consider the essential problem of coverage in this area. Of course we should look at the entire configuration at some level to understand are we being responsive to the residents and responsive to Verizon?

So to me it's obvious we need to see that. And it sounds like it's just a logical request.

Second request, Rich, and again this is kind of a dreaded thing, but the 5G aspect, I understand too that in part that's marketing, in part it is technology and that 5 G is kind of a service and it runs over -- it can run over the low frequency as well as the high frequency, but it does seem relevant to ask what 5G -- what the 5 G plans would be and how it might impact, particularly having smaller towers around.

And I understand that's a little bit in the future, but $I$ to think it would be good to hear from Verizon how that might impact what you would like and what you intend to do.

You understand where I'm coming from, right?

MR. SCHNEIDER: I do.
BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: And this is a
tradeoff between tower height and a fairly dense area in Harding. Those are the two things we're trying to look at here.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And my goal is not to keep everyone here till eleven o'clock, but we do have a little bit of time, and $I$ want to ask one question. I'll start with asking it of Steve, and I'm happy to hear from Mr. Schneider and Mr. Simon.

Mr. Simon made a statement tonight, I think I heard it previously in one of the meetings, that once the tower is built that the owner of the tower can increase its height by right. Is that correct? Steve, let me ask you first.

MR. MLENAK: Yeah. That's something when I
heard I made a note of to inquire further about and research further, because it's nothing I'm personally familiar with.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Mr. Simon, you said it. I'll ask you.

Is it your understanding that an owner can extend the height -- increase the height of the tower by right once it's built?

MR. SIMON: Yes. So there is, and Mr. Schneider is well aware of this, but there's certainly both state and Federal law that talks about the fact that once there is an approval for a tower that you can, for example, under 40:55D-46.2 of the Municipal Land Use Law, I believe that is the particular statutory provision of the MLUL, that you can increase the height under almost all circumstances by ten percent without any type of additional site plan approval. And there's also regulations under the FCC, I believe, that may be applicable as well that I know that Boards have utilized and relied upon.

So I think the bottom line is that $I$ think there's state and Federal law that allows a tower, once it is approved, to increase in height without any subsequent Board approval.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: With -- and I think -it sounds like there are some limitations. It can be increased by ten percent perhaps.

Mr. Schneider, do you want to share your

1 thoughts on that? What is your understanding on that topic?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, I have a firm understanding, and I don't know that there's a simple -- there are rights both under the FCC as well as state law which do allow for essentially the right to extend the tower.

If you'll recall, Mr. Chairman, this was broached I think by you probably the first or the second hearing. You asked that question, and there was a lengthy -- well, there was a discussion between myself, Dr. Eisenstein, and Counsel at the time. So the simple answer is there are rights, but there are also conditions such as whether the extension of the tower is in accordance with the terms and conditions of the original approval, whether they defeat any concealment efforts associated with the original approval. And there is yet an additional aspect which deals with whether you have the right as a matter of the underlying lease with the property owner to extend the height.

Hypothetically, let me pose to you this scenario. In this case the township is the land owner. The township as all will recall had only authorized a 140-foot tower. The Applicant ultimately determined

1 that it could meet its technical objectives at a 120 feet. But in any event, the tower in my opinion, regardless of the $\operatorname{FCC}$ or the state law could not theoretically exceed 140 because the township has put essentially its own limitations as a matter of lease as to the height of the tower.

So the answer is, there are unilateral rights to extend it. They're not necessarily as simple and straight forward as may be perceived. And in terms of the more global picture the -- if you'll recall, there was -- I think actually you were the one who raised the issue -- whether you have to balance what that right is vis-a-vis the ability to accommodate collocators.

What then gets a little more complicated here, and I'm probably giving you a long-winded answer, but if you're dealing with a flagpole design if you'll recall Dr. Eisenstein and the applicant and the Board had a lengthy discussion about taking two elevations. So it becomes a far more complicated issue. But the short answer to your question is, yes. Under both FCC and the Municipal Land Use Law there are significant rights to extend the rights of the tower up to 20 feet, but they're subject to certain conditions. So I don't know if that --

DR. EISENSTEIN: This is in the Middle Class Tax Relief Act, Section $6409(a)$. And the right is to extend by ten percent or 20 feet, whichever is greater. And there are conditions on the ground disturbance as well. It's not just the height of the tower. There are limitations on the number of cabinets, the ground disturbance, and some other aspects of it.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: By the way, that's exactly the bill $I$ would have expected. The limitation or an allowment (sic) to extend the tower height in the Middle Class Tax Relief Bill. Is that what you said, Dr. Eisenstein?

DR. EISENSTEIN: Yes. Where else would you put it.

BOARD MEMBER NEWLIN: Great camouflage.
MR. MLENAK: Mr. Chairman, I'm happy to put that in a memo for the Board.

MR. SIMON: Mr. Chairman, can I say something, I guess, for edification for the purposes of the Board and the public. That I've been involved in a number of applications where the carrier, for what it's worth, has stated well, our lease says "X" so therefore we are limited by lease in terms of what we can do, but of course the $P S$ of the story is
that the lease ultimately gets amended or revised to provide for that extra tower height. So that just happens. I just wanted to add that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes, and can the Board -- and Steve, yes, I would like to see a memo on this. Specifically, obviously to this location. And whatever input you want to get from Mr. Schneider or Mr. Simon, you know, however you guys work that out.

I have one question, too. Would it be possible for the Board to grant a variance with a condition that it is not extended? Is that a condition that would hold up against this right, you know, this presumed right in the Middle Class Tax Relief Bill? We don't have to answer it now but that's -MR. MLENAK: That's part of the memo to be produced.

DR. EISENSTEIN: When you see the wording in the Act you'll understand the answer to that question.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I'm sure $I$ will understand it less. All right.

Does anyone else have any other questions they have.

We have more time, but for future meetings. MR. SCHNEIDER: So we understand that there
are a couple of follow up items. The hope would be, Mr. Chairman, that we can address those, hopefully, issues concisely, I think the next meeting would be January 21st?

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Lori, is that correct? Yes. That's correct, January 21st.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. So we'll return with -- Frances, I assume you're available on January 21st? (No reply.) I'll take that as a yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: So we'll carry the matter to the 21 st to address the outstanding items, at least just those couple of items that have been addressed by the Board tonight. Just to give a preview, depending on the Agenda will determine what additional testimony we'll be prepared to proceed with depending upon what the rest of your Agenda looks like.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
MR. SCHNEIDER: And we'll grant an extension of time under both the Municipal Land Use Law and the FCC Shot Clock order subject to the Board granting the mutual extension.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: The Board grants the mutual extension.

MR. SIMON: Can I just raise -- I'm just

1 looking at my calendar right now and, of course, as 2 luck would have it on January 21st I already have 3 another hearing scheduled in Paramus on another 4 application.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And I do want to give everyone a fair crack, but in fairness, we meet the third Thursday of every month since the beginning of time. So it shouldn't be a surprise that we're going to meet January 21st.

You know, I am not looking to have a Special Meeting for this. I think we have had ample time to discuss this in the meetings we have had. I don't know.

Mr. Schneider, would you be willing to postpone the January meeting to February?

MR. SCHNEIDER: No. I respectfully, that's the Board's regular meeting date and I think we should proceed.

SECRETARY TAGLAIRINO: Well, it's also re-organization and that's -- that is our re-org. We can't do it earlier because $I$ will tell you that all the other Boards will be having their re-orgs the week prior and the 7 th is HPC re-organization. So those dates are definitely not available for us.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Mr. Simon, perhaps there's someone that can cover for you in either this meeting or in Paramus? And I do want to be fair to everyone, but in fairness to everyone else here we always meet on the third Thursday.

MR. SIMON: I'll see what I can do.
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. All right. Is there any other business?

MR. SCHNEIDER: And we're just carrying out without further notice to the public, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Do I need to say anything -- do I need to agree to that, Steve? I mean, not that $I$ disagree, but is that just -MR. MLENAK: It's just you're carrying it
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