# HARDING TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES <br> SPECIAL MEETING <br> SEPTEMBER 30, 2020 <br> 7:30 PM 

## CALL TO ORDER AND STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Board of Adjustment Chair, Mr. Flanagan called the special electronic meeting of the Board of Adjustment to order at 7:30 and announced that adequate notice of the meeting had been made in accordance with the New Jersey State Open Public Meetings Act and State Executive Order 103.

## ROLL CALL

Ms. Taglairino called the roll. It went as follows:

| Ms. Sovolos | Present | Mr. Newlin | Present |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mr. Flanagan | Present | Mr. Maselli | Present |
| Mr. Rosenbaum | Present | Mr. Addonizio | Present |
| Mr. Symonds | Present | Ms. Chipperson | Present |
| Mr. Cammarata | Excused |  |  |

Board Attorney, Gary Hall, and Ms. Mertz, the Board Planner and Board of Adjustment Secretary Lori Taglairino were also present.

## ADMINISTRATIVE

Mr. Mlenak noted that the BOA\# 17-18, New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless application was carried until the October 15, 2020 meeting with notice. The meeting will be a hybrid electronic and in person meeting

Mr. Mlenak advised that the applicant agreed to extend the FCC Shot Clock. He additionally noted that the Board must vote to the agreement as well.

Mr. Newlin made a motion to extend the FCC Shot Clock for the Verizon application. It was seconded by Ms. Chipperson. On a voice vote all were in favor of extending the FCC Shot Clock.

## MINUTES

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approve the July 16, 2020 minutes. It was seconded by Mr. Newlin. On a voice all eligible member were in favor of approving the minutes.

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approve the August 25, 2020 minutes. It was seconded by Mr. Newlin. On a voice all eligible member were in favor of approving the minutes.

## ONGOING TRAINING

Ongoing training will take place October 15, 2020 at 6:30.

## BUDGET APPROVAL VOTE

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approve the 2021 budget. It was seconded by Mr. Newlin. On a voice all were in favor of approving the budget.

## RESOLUTIONS

BOA 2019 Annual Report Resolution
Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approve the Resolution for the 2019 Annual Report. It was seconded by Mr. Symonds. On a voice all were in favor of approving the resolution.

Do Not Exceed Limit for Gary Hall Resolution \#06-2020
Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approve the "Do Not Exceed Resolution for Gary Hall". It was seconded by Mr. Newlin. On a voice all were in favor of approving the resolution.

Prior to commencing the application, there was a discussion regarding witnesses for the BOA \#06$\underline{20} 529$ Waterfront Properties application. One potential witness was not available and Mr. Lakind requested an adjournment at a late hour. Mr. Newlin polled the Board as to whether they wished to proceed with the witness in attendance. The Board agreed to continue with the testimony later in the meeting.

## NEW BUSINESS

Application BOA \#07-20

> Lauren Dowling
> 27 Village Road, B16 Lot 3, R-1 \& R-3 Zone
> Applicant is seeking relief for an accessory dwelling on the property as per NJSA 40:55D-70(d)(1).

Presenting:
Larry Calli, Attorney

Mr. Ferrante, Engineer
Mr. Pessolano, Planner
Ms. Dowling, Owner

Ms. Dowling, Mr. Ferrante and Mr. Pessolano were sworn in for testimony.

- Mr. Calli presented a proposal for an accessory garage and apartment structure. He noted that the lot was a split zone lot in the R-1 and R-3 zones on a 1.49 acre lot. The applicant is seeking a use variance for this zone.
- Mr. Ferrante presented the layout and site situation for the proposed structure.
- Mr. Ferrante presented Exhibit A-1, a photo from Village Road and A-2 and an aerial photo of the lot.
- Mr. Pessolano presented planner testimony addressing his reasons for suitability for this project.
- Mr. Flanagan is concerned about the density on 1.49 acres when 6 acres are required noting that that goes against the Master Plan.
- Ms. Mertz noted the concern of the accessory dwelling in the R-3 zone and the size of the lot.
- Mr. Newlin suggested considering an addition with a common entrance as a potentially compliant solution.

Mr. Calli requested to carry until the October 15, 2020 with no further notice.

| Application BOA \#08-20 | Mark Drzala |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 50 Ravenswood Lane, B25.02 L10.11, R-R Zone |
|  | Applicant is requesting variance relief for Lot coverage as per |
|  | NJSA 40:55D-70(c) |

## Presenting:

## Mr. Drzala, Owner

Mr. Hall swore in Mr. Drzala for testimony.

- Mr. Drzala presented proposed plans to add a 12 ft . x 18 ft . shed to his property. He noted that he is over in lot coverage and is requesting $10.8 \%$ lot coverage.
- Mr. Drzala explained how his property came to be over in lot coverage.
- The Board asked if there was any way to reduce any of the existing lot coverage.

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to approved with the contingent on the agreement of the home owner to remove the shed upon sale of the property, extra tree planting will screen the shed from the cul-de-sac and Anthony Wayne and the Township Engineer must address stormwater issues and the addition of a dry well. Mr. Fox will confirm the survey. The zoning table needs to be corrected as well. The Board requested compliance with the lighting ordinance. The motion was seconded by Mr. Maselli. A roll call vote went as follows:

For: Ms. Chipperson, Mr. Rosenbaum, Mr. Maselli, Mr. Newlin, Mr. Symonds, Mr. Addonizio and Mr. Flanagan.
Against: None

## OLD BUSINESS

| Application BOA\# 06-20 | 529 Waterfront Properties, LLC |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | PO Box 1303, Beach Haven, NJ 08008 |
|  | Applicant is appealing a Tree Officer decision at 595 Van |
|  | Beuren Road as per NJSA 40:55D-70(a), NJSA |
|  | 40:55D76(a) (1) and 225-111 of the Harding Township |
|  | Code. |

Presenting:
Arnold Lakind, Attorney
Mr. Addonizio recused.
Mr. Linson was present for testimony.
Mr. Weibel, Arborist.

Mr. Weibel was sworn in for testimony.
Mr. Flanagan noted that he read the August transcript.
A copy of the transcript of the appeal testimony is appended to these minutes.

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to uphold the Tree Officer decision. It was seconded by Mr. Rosenbaum. A roll call vote went as follows:

For: Ms. Chipperson, Mr. Rosenbaum, Mr. Maselli, Mr. Newlin, and Mr. Flanagan.
Against: Mr. Symonds

## OTHER BUSINESS

None

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Flanagan made a motion to adjourn into executive session. It was seconded by Mr. Newlin. A roll call vote went as follows:

For: Ms. Chipperson, Mr. Rosenbaum, Mr. Maselli, Mr. Newlin, Mr. Addonizio, Ms. Sovolos and Mr. Flanagan and Mr. Symonds

## Review of Professionals:

Review of the Attorney, Planner and Engineer for the Board of Adjustment

## ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Flanagan adjourned the meeting at 11:20.

## LoriTaglairino

Respectfully submitted by
Lori Taglairino, Board of Adjustment Secretary
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HARDING TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

IN RE: :
529 WATERFRONT PROPERTIES, LLC :
APPEAL OF TREE OFFICER'S :
DECISION AT 595 VAN BEUREN ROAD :


LOCATION: Via Zoom
DATE: Wednesday, September 30, 2020
TIME: $\quad 9: 33 \mathrm{p.m}$.


| 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MEMBERS: | 1 INDEX |
| 2 ALF NEWLIN, Chair | 2 WITNESS PAGE |
| 3 MICHAEL FLANAGAN | 3 MATTHEW WEIBEL |
| 4 ARIC ROSENBAUM | 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAKIND 16 |
| 5 DAN MASELLI | 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. VITOLO 49 |
| 6 RITA CHIPPERSON | 6 |
| 7 HUGH SYMONDS | 7 |
| 8 ELIZABETH SOVOLOS | 8 |
| 9 | 9 EXHIBITS |
| 10 ALSO PRESENT: | 10 |
| 11 GARY HALL, ESQ., Board Attorney | 11 ID DESCRIPTION PAGE |
| 12 LORI TAGLAIRINO, Board Administrator | 12 |
| 13 | 13 (NO EXHIBITS WERE MARKED.) |
| 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 15 |
| 16 | 16 |
| 17 | 17 |
| 18 | 18 |
| 19 | 19 |
| 20 | 20 |
| 21 | 21 |
| 22 | 22 |
| 23 | 23 |
| 24 | 24 |
| 25 | 25 |
| 3 | 5 |
| 1 APPEARANCES: | 1 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Lakind, are you |
| 2 | 2 there? |
| 3 SZAFERMAN LAKIND | 3 MR. LAKIND: I am, yes. Thank you. |
| 4 BY: ARNOLD C. LAKIND, ESQ. | 4 MR. ADDONZIO: Alf, I'm going to |
| 5101 Grovers Mill Road, Suite 200 | 5 recuse, all right? |
| 6 Lawrenceville, New Jersey 08648 | 6 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Yes. Thanks, Tom. |
| 7 Tel: (609) 275-0400 | 7 MR. ADDONZIO: Thank you. |
| 8 E-mail: alakind@szaferman.com | 8 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Gary, can you kick |
| 9 Attorney for 529 Waterfront Properties, LLC | 9 us off, please. |
| 10 | 10 MR. HALL: I would turn to Mr. Lakind |
| 11 | 11 and suggest that he make his request. And then we |
| 12 RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND, PERRETTI, LLP | 12 can hear from Mr. Vitolo, I'll comment, and the |
| 13 BY: FRANK J. VITOLO, ESQ. | 13 Board could comment. |
| 14 Headquarters Plaza | 14 Arnold, do you still want an |
| 15 One Speedwell Avenue | 15 adjournment? |
| 16 Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1981 | 16 MR. LAKIND: I do, yes, Gary. Thank |
| 17 Tel: (973) 538-0800 | 17 you. |
| 18 E-mail: fvitolo@riker.com | 18 MR. HALL: For everything? Just so |
| 19 Attorney for the Gargiulos | 19 we're clear. Your other witness is still here. You |
| 20 | 20 don't want to present him tonight? |
| 21 | 21 MR. LAKIND: I'd rather present both |
| 22 | 22 witnesses at the same time because I think it would |
| 23 | 23 be a more coherent presentation. So that would be |
| 24 | 24 my preference. I'm prepared, if it's the Board's |
| 25 | 25 preference, to present my arborist if that's what |

the Board wishes, though.
Can I explain essentially how we got
to where we are and the basis for this request?
But before doing so, can I ask how
many Board members are available this evening on
this application?
MR. HALL: I think it's only six
because Mr. Cammarata is not here.
Is that correct, Lori?
MS. TAGLAIRINO: Right.
MR. HALL: Because Elizabeth said she
didn't get to go through the transcript. Mr.
Flanagan is eligible and he can so certify. So I
think that leaves six.
MR. LAKIND: Okay. Let me essentially explain how we got to where we are. But before
doing that, I do want, if at all possible, seven
individuals to deliberate. I'm not being
troublesome in requesting this, it's just that
statistically when a tie vote counts against me, I'm
much better off with seven individuals. So whatever
happens this evening, I would ask that seven
individuals deliberate.
The basis of my request is as follows:
Creigh Rahenkamp is the planner who I have hired for
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this case. In the middle of the afternoon today, he
e-mailed me and indicated he had been hospitalized
with an infection. It's not COVID; it's a bacterial
infection. I e-mailed him back and asked if he
could do the hearing from his hospital room, and he said yes.

I then informed Mr. Vitolo and Gary
that the issue arose but I don't think I need an
adjournment because Mr. Rehenkamp was prepared to proceed.

Then at 4:30, I received an e-mail from him in which he said the doctors and nurses are discussing his condition and he's becoming concerned. And then at 4:56, he e-mailed me and said there's a concern that he might have septicemia and he would not be in a position to go forward this evening.

So the reason I'm requesting an
adjournment is that I don't have my planner available.

Secondly, as I indicated earlier, it
is my preference and I think my right to have seven members deliberate.

Third, the need for a planner was
precipitated by what I perceived as -- and this,

Gary, is in response to two of the questions that
you raised or one of them -- was precipitated by the testimony of Mr. Linson.

As the Board will recall, this
application was based upon a need to install utilities and make certain driveway improvements.

MR. HALL: That was a stated reason, it's not what Mr. Linson relied on.

MR. LAKIND: No, no. I agree. I agree that was the stated --

MR. HALL: So that's not relevant.
Whatever they wrote on the paper is irrelevant to me.

So go ahead. Sorry I interrupted.
MR. LAKIND: No, that's okay.
The stated reasons were the two things I mentioned. Early on in the hearing, Mr. Linson acknowledged that neither basis would have warranted the grant. And essentially, his reason, in large part, while different people might characterize it differently, was that there would be a large number of arborvitaes replacing 28 deciduous trees and that he felt, in his words, every man's home is his castle.

That's a much different basis than
certainly was anticipated. And I expected that the decision would be based on the record in accordance with the memo that was given to the Board. That created a need for a land planner when I heard that testimony. I know I had Mr. Schommer present, and my intention was for him to address the utility issue and the driveway improvement issue. But as Mr. Hall pointed out, in the course of the hearing, and as did the Chairman at the time, that really was unnecessary. So in an excess of caution, I did ask him one question about planning, but it's not nearly the comprehensive explanation to which this Board is entitled in assessing this application because your Master Plan says -- and I'm prepared to make a proffer if you wish -- a good deal about tree replacement.

One last supporting argument for this request is the following: Many of the applications, which I'm sure you hear, involve requests to erect structures that will not get erected if the application is deferred. This case is essentially about landscaping. And I don't mean to denigrate the seriousness of the application, but the distinction, I think, to some extent justifies more liberality in granting a requested adjournment.

| 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| I know Mr. Hall is of the view that <br> it's discretionary determination. But in making that determination, I think one thing that should not be lost on the Board is that Mr. Rehenkamp's absence could not have been anticipated. <br> With that in mind, and because I would <br> like seven people to deliberate, I do request an adjournment this evening. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I'd like to ask you <br> some questions about your order. Just tell us who <br> you intended to bring. Obviously, one of them is a <br> planner, but you also have another person. <br> MR. LAKIND: Yes. Matthew Weibel, who <br> is an arborist. It's conceivable that I may have one or two questions for Mr. Batista. And the planner is Creigh Rehenkamp who I mentioned earlier. <br> But essentially, it would be Mr. Weibel and Mr. <br> Rehenkamp. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. So we would <br> start Mr. Weibel anyway. So why would we not do that? <br> MR. LAKIND: I think that is fine. <br> The concern I have is I don't want a vote this evening until I have the opportunity to produce Mr. Rehenkamp. | the ordinance. But I do need to have the opportunity to address it. <br> MR. VITOLO: May I be heard? <br> MR. HALL: Yeah, I'm done. Why don't <br> we hear from Mr. Vitolo? <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. Please. <br> MR. VITOLO: Good evening, everybody. <br> Thank you again for hearing us. <br> We want a vote tonight. This is <br> happening -- what happened here is really <br> interesting. As Mr. Hall knows, after the last <br> hearing, I put in writing a request to Mr. Lakind, and I said, "Mr. Lakind, please identify the <br> witnesses you intend to call at the next hearing. I <br> think it would be helpful for us to know and we can do proffers and all of that." <br> He flatly refused. He refused to <br> identify his witnesses until a couple days ago. And the reason I asked back then is precisely what's happening tonight. He came up with a surprise witness, a new planner, who is not available. So now he comes to this Board looking for an adjournment. <br> Every time this proceeding is delayed, the Superior Court action that's related has to get |
| CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. <br> MR. HALL: I suggest that we do that <br> and table the decision. I mean, I keep looking at <br> -- I have it right in front of me. You called Mr. <br> Schommer, qualified, and you asked at your request did he review the Master Plan. You had a planner there after you had heard from Mr. Linson. Why didn't you ask him more? It strikes me you want a do-over. <br> MR. LAKIND: No, I don't want a do-over. <br> MR. HALL: You had a planner. Why <br> didn't you ask him more while you had him there? <br> MR. LAKIND: I think all of us have <br> had experience where there are professional <br> planners, professional engineers, and engineers who are planners. And planners tend to be a little bit more knowledgeable about planning issues. I did not intend to have Mr. Schommer provide planning testimony because of the basis set forth in the application for the tree removal permit. I frankly didn't think it was necessary. And I was surprised when I heard the basis that Mr. Linson gave, because I frankly don't think either of the bases, or any of them if there's something I missed, was supported in | adjourned as well. We've already gone to the Court once. And we're going to have to go to them again after tonight if there's no vote on this application. <br> We don't need a planner in this case. <br> He had his chance with Mr. Schommer. There is interpretation of an ordinance here. There's no amendment. There's no constitutionality. There's no questions about the Master Plan anymore. All he's asking to do here is further delay the proceedings. And really, it's really terrible that we didn't address this two weeks ago when we had the opportunity because we wouldn't have been tonight having this conversation. <br> The issue before the Board tonight, as it was, is very simple. Was the tree removal permit issued justified based on the criteria in the ordinance? That's it. <br> So Mr. Lakind has had his shot. He <br> continues to change his witness list. He dumped one <br> of the witnesses he was going to have last time. <br> Now he picked up someone new on this application. <br> It's like a game, respectfully. <br> So I ask the Board to rein this in, <br> let's hear his last witness, and let's have a vote |


| 14 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 on this application. | 1 The only thing I'd ask is before we |
| 2 MR. LAKIND: I'd like to respond. | 2 make a decision on the planner that I have an |
| 3 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: No. Excuse me. | 3 opportunity to address what Mr. Vitolo said at the |
| 4 Mr. Vitolo, thank you. | 4 end after Mr. Weibel's testimony, because it was |
| 5 Gary, you have already addressed the | 5 not -- |
| 6 point about having to agree to witnesses at the last | 6 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Sure. We |
| 7 hearing so Ithink that's been addressed. | 7 understand. |
| 8 And let's cut to the main issue. The | 8 MR. LAKIND: Okay. Thank you. |
| 9 main issue is do we need a planner or not. And I | 9 Matt Weibel, please. |
| 10 don't think the Board has to decide right now. My | 10 MR. HALL: Mr. Weibel, can you raise |
| 11 suggestion -- and it's going to be up to the Board | 11 your right hand? |
| 12 members -- we should proceed and hear from the |  |
| 13 arborist expert that you're bringing on and see | 13 MATTHEW WEIBEL, having been first duly |
| 14 where we wind up and then decide if it's necessary | 14 sworn, testified as follows: |
| 15 to hear from a planner. | 15 |
| 16 And personally, I don't really see it, | 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAKIND: |
| 17 Mr . Lakind, at this point. You might change my | 17 Q. Mr. Weibel, can you tell the Board, |
| 18 mind. But to me, this is an ordinance issue. So I | 18 please, where you're employed? |
| 19 think we should hear from your arborist. | 19 A. I work for a company called SavATree |
| 20 I want to hear from our Board members | 20 Consultant Group. SavATree. |
| 21 here. | 21 Q. And what is the nature of SavATree |
| 22 Mike? | 22 Consulting Group's business? |
| 23 MR. FLANAGAN: Alf, I fully support | 23 A. So we do arboricultural consulting, so |
| 24 your proposal. I would like to hear from the | 24 tree inventories, management plans, formal risk |
| 25 arborist. And then if we feel we need to hear from | 25 assessments, tree protection preservation plans for |
| 15 | 17 |
| 1 Mr . Lakind's planner, we can take it up at the end. | 1 construction sites, things of that nature. |
| 2 But I would like to move forward with this. | 2 Q. And what is your educational |
| 3 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Rita? | 3 background? |
| 4 MS. CHIPPERSON: Yeah, I agree. I | 4 A. I have a Bachelor of Science from |
| 5 think we should move forward and see where it takes | 5 Rutgers University in natural resource management. |
| 6 us. | 6 I took grad school briefly for forest biometrics, |
| 7 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Dan? | 7 didn't get my master's. Then I started working here |
| 8 MR. MASELLI: Move forward. Let's go. | 8 a SavATree in 2002. |
| 9 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Hugh? | 9 Q. Do you possess any licenses relevant |
| 10 MR. SYMONDS: I agree. Forward is for | 10 to your work as an arborist? |
| 11 us. | 11 A. Yes. I'm a New Jersey licensed tree |
| 12 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Aric? | 12 expert, a registered consulting arborist, ISA |
| 13 MR. ROSENBAUM: Let's move forward. | 13 certified arborist, and tree risk assessment |
| 14 More information is better. | 14 qualified through the ISA. |
| 15 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Elizabeth? | 15 Q. And what is the ISA? |
| 16 MS. SOVOLOS: Fine with me. Thank | 16 A. International Society of |
| 17 you. | 17 Arboriculture. |
| 18 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I'm doing random, by | 18 Q. Is your work at SavATree that of being |
| 19 the way, in case you're wondering. | 19 an arborist? |
| 20 Gary, is that okay? | 20 A. Yes, consulting arborist. |
| 21 MR. HALL: Yeah, that's fine. I think | 21 Q. And have you ever testified in court |
| 22 that's what I suggest. | 22 as an expert arborist? |
| 23 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Lakind, can we | 23 A. Yes, I have. |
| 24 proceed? | 24 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: In New Jersey? |
| 25 MR. LAKIND: Yes, we can. | 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, New Jersey. |


| 18 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| BY MR. LAKIND: <br> Q. And are you a resident of New Jersey? <br> A. Yes, I'm from Fair Lawn. <br> Q. Mr. Weibel, that's in Bergen County, <br> is that correct? <br> A. Right. Yes. <br> Q. Have you had the opportunity to make <br> assessments in your professional capacity as an <br> arborist of tree health? <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I think he's <br> acceptable. <br> MR. ROSENBAUM: I have one quick <br> question. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Sure, Aric. <br> MR. ROSENBAUM: According to Linked In <br> it says your ISA expires June 2020. <br> THE WITNESS: I have renewed that. <br> They were delayed this year because of COVID. It <br> was due this year. It's been updated since then. <br> MR. ROSENBAUM: What's the new expire <br> date? <br> THE WITNESS: The new expire date? <br> MR. ROSENBAUM: It's active now, then, <br> at least. <br> THE WITNESS: 2024. I believe it's a | assessments, Mr. Battista provided me with a copy of <br> the replanting plan for the site, the tree removal <br> permit, a copy of the ordinance, as well as the <br> Master Plan for Harding. <br> Q. And after you were provided copies of those documents, did you undertake to review them? <br> A. Yes, I did. <br> Q. You have read, have you not, the tree <br> replacement ordinance, Section 225-111 of Harding <br> Township Municipal Code, correct? <br> A. Yes, I have. <br> Q. And Item 10, just to direct the <br> Board's attention, provides for consideration of any <br> planned tree replacement or other landscaped plan <br> for re-vegetating cleared areas. <br> You're familiar, are you not, with <br> what the replacement plan is contemplated -- excuse <br> me -- what the contemplated replacement plan is on behalf of Mr. Gargiulo? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And can you very briefly explain to <br> the Board what species of trees will be planted? <br> A. So they plan to replant with green <br> giant arborvitae, a variety of western arborvitae, thuja plicata. They plan to plant them at 3 to |
| four-year. <br> MR. ROSENBAUM: Okay. Thanks. <br> MR. LAKIND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. <br> BY MR. LAKIND: <br> Q. Mr. Weibel, were you retained by Mr. <br> Battista to essentially examine whether or not the <br> opinion of Mr. Linson to grant the tree removal <br> permit in this matter was proper? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And can you tell us what steps you <br> took to make that assessment? <br> A. Sure. So I -- this is the Battista <br> property -- earlier this month, performed an <br> assessment of a large catalpa tree that was of <br> concern that's scheduled to be removed as part of <br> this project. I performed what's called a Level 2 <br> visual assessment of the trees, ground-based visual <br> assessment of tree health and structure, sound the <br> tree, the lower canopy of the tree with a mallet, <br> probed any open cavities. I then performed an ISA <br> qualitative risk assessment of the tree to determine <br> the risk associated with the tree. <br> Q. Were there any documents you examined <br> in connection with that retention? <br> A. Yes. After performing the | 4 -foot spacing, interval spacing. The species of arborvitae is not native of New Jersey; it's native to Pacific Northwest America. It generally is for hedges because it does form formal hedges and rows tall and relatively fast. <br> Q. When you say it's not native to New Jersey, what does that mean? <br> A. So, for example, the catalpa tree I <br> assessed, the other trees along -- the mature trees that are existing along there currently, the oak trees, black walnut trees, the ash trees are all native trees to New Jersey, so they naturally grow here in wooded areas since the state was founded. Whereas, this variety of arborvitae does not naturally grow in New Jersey. <br> Q. Now, Mr. Linson testified, if I <br> recall, that the size at maturity of the arborvitaes would be about 50 feet high. Is that consistent with your understanding? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And about 25 to 30 feet wide, is that also consistent with your understanding? <br> A. Open grown so they can grow to 20 to 25 feet wide. |

repeat that?
THE WITNESS: Open grown, they would
grow 25 feet wide.
MR. HALL: I thought he asked you
about 50. Did I mishear?
MR. LAKIND: Yes, you did. Fifty was
the height. Then I asked for the breadth.
MR. HALL: Oh, I'm sorry.
MR. LAKIND: I can clarify, Gary.
BY MR. LAKIND:
Q. How high is it anticipated that these
arborvitaes would grow?
A. Fifty feet.
Q. And what is the breadth of the branches, width?
A. At majority, they would be 20 to 25 , potentially 30 feet wide.
Q. Now, the replacement plan anticipates that these arborvitaes will line the sides of the 50-foot right-of-way, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. As a consequence -- excuse me. I may have asked you this. You said about 350 arborvitaes will be planned along the right-of-way, is that correct?
A. That was originally planned. I was informed -- I didn't see an updated plan, but I was informed it was updated to closer to 400 trees. I'm not sure of the exact number at this point.
Q. In connection with the planting along the perimeter, assuming the trees are not trimmed, will any portion of the branches encroach on Mr.
Battista's property?
A. Yes.
Q. And approximately how large will that encroachment be?
A. Well, from the plan, the plan looks
like they're approximately 5 feet from the property
line, so if they grow 20 feet wide, it could be as
much as 15 feet over.
Q. In connection with the arborvitaes,
how wide will the root system generally be?
A. Probably grow about the same width as the trees grow, 20 feet, 25 feet out.
Q. So the roots will also encroach on Mr. Battista's property?
A. Yes.
Q. Do arborvitaes generate cones?
A. Yes, very small cones, not like pine cones you see from pine trees. They do have very
small cones that hold their seeds.
Q. And do trees shed -- excuse me. Do the arborvitaes shed these cones?
A. Yes.
Q. And they tend to be shed equally
around the perimeter of a tree?
A. Yeah.
Q. And, of course, the arborvitaes at 50 feet tall will generate a certain amount of shade on Mr. Battista's property, correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, how far apart is it planned for the arborvitaes to be planted?
A. It appears to be within 3 to 4 feet on center on average.
Q. Now, will the arborvitaes line the entire area of Lot 6.01, 6.02, and the lots across the street?
A. Yeah. From of the driveway, of course. Starting from the existing driveway.
Q. Now, what, if any, impact would that have on the vistas Mr. Battista might enjoy from any of his properties?
A. Well, they'd be altered. Wouldn't be able to see through in looking out a front window.

Currently, looking through, you'd look under the existing mature canopies, can see across the road, even. That full screen there, you would just see the screen, the hedge.
Q. And in the winter, do the arborvitaes shed any of their growth?
A. No. They're evergreen.
Q. And in the winter, how would that impact the vistas from Mr. Battista's property?
A. He'd still be seeing the arborvitae.
Q. Now, have you examined whether or not there are deciduous trees that will remain at or near the right-of-way where the arborvitaes are being planted?
A. I believe they retained the oak tree existing.
Q. In order for the arborvitaes to flourish, will any steps have to be taken with regard to the remaining deciduous trees?
A. Potentially, yes. This species of arborvitae prefers either full or partial sun at least four hours ideal of sunlight per day. And they grow to be 50 feet tall, so it might require elevation, raising the canopy of existing oak trees, as well as pruning of trees on the Battista private
property that overhang or grow into the driveway there. They have to prune some existing trees as well to make a clearance.
Q. When you used the phrase raising existing trees, can you tell me what you mean by that, please?
A. Sure. Currently, when I was at the property, there were a few spruce trees within the Battista private property as well as farther up, a wooded area, natural wood growth, that all grew across, the canopies grew across into where they plan to plant these arborvitaes and, obviously, currently shade those trees, so you have to prune them back or elevate them higher and farther above to penetrate through and reach the new trees so they grow properly and uniformly so you have hedge they desire.
Q. Now, if the trees do not grow
uniformly, are there steps the owner can take to essentially make them uniform?
A. You can top the trees that are taller, make them smaller.
Q. Now, with regard to the distance between the trees, I think you testified earlier there are 3 to 4 feet on center, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you done any study or examined any publications that prescribe the distance on center between arborvitaes, at which arborvitaes should be planted?
A. Yes. So Arbor Day Foundation has general fact sheets for multiple species on their website. For arborvitae, they recommend 5 to 6 -foot spacing for hedges.
Q. And are the fact sheets from Arbor Day Foundation something that experts such yourself routinely rely?
A. They're among the fact sheets.

Certainly, universities and other places have fact sheets, too, for species. This is one that is common and easy to find.
Q. Now, what is the impact of planting the arborvitaes 3 to 4 feet rather than 5 to 6 feet with regard to visibility through the hedgerow?
A. Well, if you're going to, obviously, make a denser hedgerow sooner when they're planted that close. It generally requires then increased maintenance. Trees are planted closer, they tend to shade out earlier, so you have to maintain them more diligently. As opposed if they're widely spaced
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out, they tend to grow in their natural form, I
suppose, you would say. So if you planted these
trees and they grow 20 feet tall, at 10 to 15 feet
space, at maturity you'll still have a full hedge.
It requires much less maintenance to get that point.
It would take longer for a full hedge.
Q. Now, if Mr. Battista wished to trim the arborvitaes that encroached upon his property, how is that generally done? Do you know?
A. He's generally legally allowed to prune trees right at his property line as long as it's not expected to result in death or instability of the tree.
Q. In order to prune arborvitaes of this width, would any type of scaffolding or ladders be required?
A. Certainly, to the upper canopies, encroachment on property, you'd have to have an orchard ladder, pole clip, pole saw, something of that nature, to reach the higher portions.
Q. And are you familiar with what the cost would be to trim the arborvitaes that encroach on Mr. Battista's property?
A. I don't have an exact cost.
Q. Okay. Does the word canopy have a
29
meaning to arborists such as yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is that meaning?
A. So a canopy is the -- it's the live
foliage of the upper portion of the tree that
contains the foliage. Because we're talking about a
canopy spread, which is the area of canopy coverage
that exists under the drip line of a tree.
Q. Now, would you expect that there would be a difference in the diameter of the canopy between the deciduous trees that are out there and the proposed arborvitaes?
A. Yes.
Q. And would there be a difference in the extent of the root zone between the existing deciduous trees and the proposed arborvitaes?
A. Yes.
Q. And would the difference in canopy size have an impact -- excuse me -- and the difference in the root zone have an impact on drainage?
A. Yeah, it definitely could. Larger canopy of deciduous trees are more spread out, covers larger area, so it tends to intercept more rainwater during the growing season. The root zones
also of a -- the root zone of, for example, an oak tree may extend actually as much as 60 or 80 feet from the base of the tree, so they have larger spread of root zone or the spread of canopy height, so they tend to absorb more rainwater in general and intercept more stormwater as well.
Q. Thank you. Does the term monoculture have a meaning to arborists such as yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you tell me what that is, please?
A. Monoculture is when a population of trees or an area of trees is all one species. This makes that area susceptible to pests or disease outbreak occurs to that species. A classic example in America, of course, is dutch elm disease at the time when the American elm was the dominant street tree in many cities and towns in America. Dutch elm disease, a foreign pest came in and killed the vast majority of elm trees and decimated street trees in many towns.
Q. Is species diversity a goal that arborists generally seek to accomplish?
A. Yes, generally. So if I do a tree inventory, we usually do a species population study
as part of our report. There are general
recommendations for no more than 10 percent of a
species, 20 percent of a genus, 20 percent of a
specific family of tree within a study area.
Q. Now, what about the maintenance of native trees, is that a goal arborists seek to accomplish in their planning endeavors?

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Lakind, can I note that to the greatest extent possible that you ask questions that are actually tied into the ordinance or something specific? It may be that this is all perfectly legitimate, but I'm not sure how it actually applies to the ordinance. That's what we're looking at here.

MR. LAKIND: Just by way response, the reason I think it does is Item 10 of your ordinance required Mr. Linson to assess the appropriateness of the tree replacement.

MR. HALL: It doesn't say that. It says consider any tree planting plan. Consider. It doesn't say assess.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Lakind, these are not conditions. These are things that are, quote, taken into consideration. They're not requirements.
that correct?
A. Yes. The ones that are still onsite, yes.
Q. Now, is there any indigenous wildlife that is served by the presence of these deciduous trees?
A. Yeah. I mean, hawks can certainly nest in these trees, other birds. Walnuts and acorns are good food for squirrels and deer. Flowers, the catalpa tree has nice flowers in the summer for pollinators, insects, and bees.
Q. Okay. Would the arborvitaes essentially serve the same indigenous population?
A. No. They don't flower, so there would be no pollinators very much. This species was chosen because it's deer resistant, so deer wouldn't benefit from it. They do nest smaller songbirds, but not larger hawks and such would nest there.
Q. I want to go over with you now the factors listed in the ordinance that Mr. Linson applied. And I will skip over those that I don't think are germane. But number 5 is whether the cutting or removal would constitute a significant change in the screening between existing or proposed buildings on adjoining lots.

```
Earlier, you testified to the impact of the arborvitae hedge. Would that impact the screening of the adjoining lots?
A. Yeah. Yes, it changes the screening. CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I would like him to say more about it.
BY MR. LAKIND:
Q. Would you elaborate on the difference between the current screening and the screening after the arborvitaes are at full growth?
A. Yes. So currently, there are mature shade trees that have canopies with foliage, high in the canopy, obviously, in the crown. So lower areas are generally clear right now. Lawn. So if you're looking across from the Battista property, you can see through across the road to the Battista property or you can see across the road. No screen in the lower or minimal screen in the lower section in the formal lawn area to the Battista property.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: So the green giants would actually provide more screening?
THE WITNESS: More screening for some, if that's what you want.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Up to 30 feet, at least, you know, the house being 30 feet?
```

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. LAKIND:
Q. Now, the green giants would essentially screen the right-of-way; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. With regard to factor 9 , which reads, "Whether the presence of such tree or trees is likely to cause hardship or will endanger the public or the owner of property on which the tree or trees are located or an adjoining property owner or for some other adequate reason within the intent of this article."

Now, earlier you indicated you did an assessment of the catalpa tree, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain to the Board what that assessment consisted of?
A. Sure. So I did, again, a level 2
visual assessment of the tree, ground-based visual assessment of tree health, structure, sounding the lower portion of the tree with a mallet, probing opening, cavity, or defects. So the tree is in good health, in full canopy. There was one dead branch in the canopy, but nothing of concern. I also did
what's called a best management practice of the International Society of Arboriculture, a qualitative tree risk assessment of the tree. So there we look at likely to failure, impacting a target, and the consequence of those failures. There's a matrix you go through and you get to a rating of either low, moderate, high, or extreme. And in this case, the tree, I found to be low-risk to person and property. The tree leans away from the driveway towards the Battista property. The existing target there is a wooden fence. If the tree were to fail, it will fail towards the fence, hit the fence. Mr. Battista indicated that he does not particularly care about the fence and if it does happen to fall on the fence, he would just replace it. I would consider that to be a negligible consequence if the tree were to fail. So based on that, the tree came out as a low risk to person and property.
Q. Now, when you referred to the term Level 2 assessment, what does that refer to?
A. So there's three levels of risk
assessment. Level 1 is a limited visual assessment.
So if you're looking at a tree on a neighboring
property or a wooded area and you don't have access
to get to it, you're just looking at it from public
property or someone else's property, you can't do a
thorough 360-degree visual assessment, that's a
Level 1 assessment. Level 2, what I did, is a full
360-degree ground-based assessment of the entire
tree, as I said, sounding and probing. Level 3, as
Level 2, it involves some kind of advanced methodology. So it could arrow assessment, climbing
the tree, it could be using resistograph drill test,
it could be using a tree radar unit or something to
measure extent of decay present in a portion of the
tree.
Q. Now, who is it that developed these three levels?

MR. VITOLO: We're getting far afield
here. Object to the question.
MR. LAKIND: I don't think it's far afield.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Excuse me. Mr.
Lakind, it's a lot of detail. I think we are
following. You don't need to substantiate the
history of the rating, unless other Board members
disagree.
MR. LAKIND: Okay. May I continue
then?
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| MR. HALL: One quick question here. I <br> guess the question is you started out referring to <br> paragraph 9. And it's his testimony about this <br> assessment that that's required to make that <br> judgment under 9? Because I'm back to linking it to <br> the ordinance, not what in a perfect world people <br> may choose to do. <br> MR. LAKIND: It's relevant to factor 9 <br> because that factor seems to anticipate whether or <br> not a tree is in such a condition that it's a danger <br> to the public or the owner, et cetera. So what my <br> client arranged for was a test that's -- I was going <br> to have testimony about this -- that's accepted in <br> the world of arborists in order to essentially reach <br> an opinion on that. <br> BY MR. LAKIND: <br> Q. Is the type of examination, Level 1, <br> 2 , or 3 , is that something that is generally <br> accepted in the community of arborists such as yourself? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Now, you have reviewed the various <br> factors listed in the ordinance, is that correct? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And do you have an opinion to a | you ever appeared before a land use board on a proceeding such as this? <br> THE WITNESS: I don't recall. I've <br> appeared in front of a board, I don't know it was <br> land use board or not. <br> MR. HALL: Have you opined as to the <br> propriety of a decision of a tree officer to issue a tree removal permit? <br> THE WITNESS: I don't recall <br> specifically that. <br> MR. HALL: Okay. But it's your <br> opinion -- you just said that Mr. Linson's decision <br> was not reasonable; is that correct? <br> THE WITNESS: Yes. <br> MR. HALL: Based on -- so you're <br> saying he should have denied the permit, in your opinion? <br> THE WITNESS: Yes. <br> MR. HALL: Okay. Now, everybody keeps <br> talking about Battista. Do you know who actually <br> owns this property and who filed an appeal with the <br> Zoning Board? <br> THE WITNESS: The person who filed a tree permit removal. |
| reasonable degree of certainty other than with <br> regard to the ash trees whether or not the decision <br> to grant the permit to remove the trees was <br> reasonable? <br> A. In my opinion, the ordinance and the <br> Master Plan don't support removing these trees. <br> Q. And when you refer to the ordinance, <br> you're referring to the factors we discussed a few moments ago? <br> A. Right. Tree conservation ordinance 225-111. <br> MR. LAKIND: Mr. Chairman, that's all <br> the questions I have of this witness. At some <br> point, I would like to discuss with the Board why I <br> believe a planner is so critical in this case. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Let's go piece by <br> piece. <br> Any questions from the Board members <br> or Gary? <br> MR. HALL: I have questions, but I <br> defer to others first. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Gary, go first. <br> It's fine. <br> MR. HALL: Okay. Sure. <br> You said you testified in court. Have | THE WITNESS: The person who filed for <br> the tree removal permit and the tree replacement plan. <br> MR. HALL: Was Mr. Battista? <br> THE WITNESS: No, it was not him. <br> MR. HALL: Who was it, then? <br> THE WITNESS: I don't recall his name. <br> MR. HALL: Is it a person or an <br> entity? Do you know? <br> THE WITNESS: The person who owns the property where the trees are currently growing? <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Does that matter to the arborist, Gary? <br> MR. HALL: Well, they keep talking <br> about Battista. It's not Battista. It's 529 <br> Waterfront Properties. I think people have to keep <br> that in mind. And he's talking as if Mr. Battista <br> lives there. He doesn't. There's no testimony. <br> Maybe his business entity that owns these <br> properties. It shouldn't be referred to as <br> Battista. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Can that be noted. <br> MR. HALL: Okay. Enough of that. <br> Now, you said the deciduous trees that <br> there are now, they have a bigger canopy than the |

arborvitae would?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. HALL: Okay. Wouldn't that be a
bigger encroachment on the neighboring property than
the arborvitae? You called it an encroachment for
the arborvitae. But it's not for the deciduous
trees, is that your --
THE WITNESS: No. I mean, the
deciduous trees are mature and ancient, if you will.
And the way they grow up and over, they don't
necessarily impact the lower -- you know, trying to
walk through or trying to plant materials underneath
them, they don't fight constant -- shading is not a
constant barrier.
MR. HALL: And also the root, you said
the deciduous trees have a bigger root expanse in
the context of absorbing water. Isn't that a bigger
encroachment on the neighboring property?
If your neighbor has trees, that's
always the case, isn't it?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, they encroach as well, yes.

MR. HALL: But you implied that's a negative, didn't you? You used the word encroachment.

THE WITNESS: The question was if the roots from the arborvitae would encroach onto the other property, I said yes.

MR. HALL: Okay. But the deciduous
encroach more? I just want to make sure we understand that.

MR. LAKIND: Let me just make an objection for the record. I've been doing land use work for 45 years, and I'm not quite used to a board attorney examining a witness either before an objector. So I would just for record purposes, I'm going to object to that.

MR. HALL: Well, you can object all you want. I want the Board to have correct information. I think it's fair for me to ask questions.

That's all I have.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Any other questions from Board members?

MR. SYMONDS: This is Hugh. Can I ask one question?

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Please.
MR. SYMONDS: Mr. Weibel, in the
standard for permit issuance, it states that large
trees should be preserved. Were the trees that were
removed or the permit, were they large trees? What is the definition of a large tree?

THE WITNESS: Yes. So the catalpa tree I looked at measured to be over 50 inches in diameter. It would be considered, in my mind, a mature or an ancient tree. And looking at the
Master Plan -- it's not loading, of course. I had a
thought on that, but it didn't load properly. I was
trying to quote the Master Plan. But it mentions in
one of the sections on page 95 , which isn't loading
for me, that part of the purpose of this historic
plan is to maintain the natural view of the town, ancient and mature trees should be preserved whenever possible. And going back to the previous testimony that I read, it was acknowledged that you could do all the upgrades in terms of road and utility without removing these trees. And also, based on my assessment of the tree's low risk to person and property, so I don't believe it needs to be removed for health or safety reasons.

MR. SYMONDS: Actually, my question is much simpler than that. It just says large. Is large a six-inch tree, a 12 -inch tree, a 20 -inch tree, or a 40 -inch tree?

THE WITNESS: It depends on the
species in that case, then. Because some trees,
like flowering dogwood, 12 inches is large. For
others, like an oak tree, maybe something over 20 inches or 24 inches is considered large.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: It's a little better if you're much clearer and you just picked for large trees, give us a number.

THE WITNESS: For the trees we're
talking about, for the existing trees in this site,
I'd consider a tree over 20 inches to be large.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Twenty inches in diameter?

THE WITNESS: Diameter, yes.
MR. SYMONDS: The trees on the permit, were they 20 inches or 16 inches? Were they large trees?

THE WITNESS: The catalpa that I assessed was 52 inches. The other ones were -- the oak trees aren't being removed. The ash trees were in that 20 -inch range. I didn't measure them specifically. They're on this list. The walnut trees, as well, I would consider them mature trees. I don't have the exact measurements on those. MR. SYMONDS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Any other questions

1 from Board members?
2 I have a couple questions.
3 How old is the Catalpa, in your
estimate?
5 THE WITNESS: Aging is difficult on trees.
7 CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: You can gave a range.
9 THE WITNESS: I would assume it's at least -- definitely over a hundred years, close to 150 years old probably.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: What's the lifespan of catalpas?

THE WITNESS: They tend to be a little shorter than oak trees, certainly.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Just give me a range.

THE WITNESS: You can get 250 years out of it.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: And catalpas are not native to New Jersey, they're naturalized in New Jersey; is that true?

THE WITNESS: I believe they're native to New Jersey.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. I didn't
think so. Okay.
Mr. Linson, do you have questions or any comments on any of the main points?

You have to take yourself off mute.
That's the first thing you have to do. Perfect.
MR. LINSON: Well, I agreed with
Matthew right up until the very end. I think his
statements were very accurate about the growth habit of the green giant junipers.

Basically, when I look at a tree
removal application, we generally think that when
somebody wants to increase the screening, that's a
good thing. And in this case, the removal of the 28
trees with the replacement of 380 -something trees --
Jim Mazzucco could tell you exactly -- appear to be
a good thing. I don't necessarily consider the fact
that a tree planted in the tree conservation zone is
going to be a detriment to the neighboring property
because if these trees grow onto the Battista
property, there's 25 feet into the Battista property
is a tree conservation zone. So a tree going into
the tree conservation zone on another property is
really a benefit, not a detriment. So that's what strikes me.

And then, of course, Matthew Weibel
did not see the picnic table that was underneath the
catalpa tree, the lean of the catalpa tree. I think
he might have had a little different take on the risk assessment.

You know, basically, there's not going to be a lot of activity under the catalpa tree
unless there's a picnic table where people are using
it. But there's a natural lean towards the Battista
property from the Gargiulo property, which if the
tree were to fail, it's not going to fall on the
Gargiulo property, it's going to fall on the
Battista property.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Linson, isn't it
a heck of a lot easier to move a table than take
down a 150 -year-old tree?
MR. LINSON: Oh, sure. And that's
what they did. That's what they did.
I mean, in my opinion, if Mr. Battista
was going to assume the risk of that tree and Mr.
Gargiulo was willing to --
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Linson, I'm
sorry to cut you off. We're just looking at any of
the main points. You've given us good information
from Mr. Weibel. Any other comments?
MR. LINSON: No, that's about it.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Vitolo, I think
you wanted to ask questions.
MR. VITOLO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. VITOLO:
Q. Mr. Weibel, have you reviewed the
landscape plans prepared by Mr. Mazzucco?
A. Yes.
Q. And he's with Bosenberg. Do you know Bosenberg?
A. No, I don't think so, no.
Q. Do you know that Mr. Mazzucco has been doing this kind of work for over 25 years?

MR. LAKIND: I'm going to object. I
don't see how that's relevant to any of these
factors.
MR. HALL: It's relevant to the credibility of Mr. Weibel's testimony.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I think it does. BY MR. VITOLO:
Q. Can you answer that, Mr. Weibel?
A. Can you repeat the question? I'm
sorry.
Q. Yeah. Do you know that Mr. Mazzucco
has been doing this for over 25 years?
A. I did not know that, no. at least the genus. He just said oak; he didn't say

## what kind of oak. But, yes.

Q. Okay. The genus then, right?
A. At least, yes. Some were species, correct.
Q. Okay. To compile this information, Mr. Mazzucco would have been required to inspect the
site, maybe even photograph it to determine the
genus, and then carefully plot those trees on his plans, right?
A. I assume he did that, yeah. I wasn't there.
Q. Mr. Weibel, was is the purpose of the Harding Township Tree Conservation ordinance?
A. Well, it's not opening for me, of course. But the tree conservation ordinance or the tree Master Plan?
Q. What is the purpose, without looking at your screen and looking it up, of the Harding Township tree conservation ordinance?
A. The object of the tree conservation ordinance is to set guidelines, I suppose, when noted. Not requirements, but guidelines for -CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Vitolo, he's an arborist. Why do we need to ask the poor chap about the ordinance?

MR. VITOLO: He's been proffered as an expert.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: As an arborist.
MR. VITOLO: Right. And his opinion
in this case is that the Township's tree expert was
not reasonable in applying the ordinance criteria.
I'm asking him about the ordinance because he had
given expert opinion on the ordinance.
MR. LAKIND: If I might, that's going
to be the testimony, if we're permitted to testify,
of what a planner does. This an arborist, he's not a planner.

MR. VITOLO: His opinion was that --
MR. HALL: He gave an opinion that Mr. Linson was unreasonable.

MR. VITOLO: That was his opinion.
MR. LAKIND: Based on --
MR. VITOLO: Based on the ordinance.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Gary, can we move
this along?
MR. VITOLO: This is very important, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: That's why I'm looking to Gary.

MR. HALL: Mr. Vitolo represents the
property owner whose permit is being challenged. I
think he should be given some leeway.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. Very good.
Thank you.
BY MR. VITOLO:
Q. Mr. Weibel, in fact, the purpose of the ordinance -- and I am quoting -- is to prevent the indiscriminate, uncontrolled, and excessive destruction, removal, and cutting of trees.

Does that sound familiar since you did read the ordinance?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Now, sir, you'll agree, would you not, that was not the case here?
A. No. I find it to be indiscriminate and excessive because I don't think the tree needed to be removed.
Q. Despite your testimony of a few minutes ago that Mr. Mazzucco diligently went through, inspected the trees, plotted them, you call that an indiscriminate removal of trees?
A. Yes. They don't have to be moved based upon structure and risk. They don't have to be removed to install the utilities and site upgrades. So it's indiscriminate, there's no reason
to remove it.
Q. And it was uncontrolled as well, is that your testimony?
A. I don't think it's required to do the job they wanted to do, to install -- to fix the -to improve the site. There's no reason to remove the trees, again, based on risk or health, based
impacts by the proposed construction. And these
trees are natural, native, ancient trees which the
Master Plan said we try to preserve and protect.
Q. Just to reiterate, that's, in your
opinion, indiscriminate and uncontrolled?
A. Yes.
Q. That's fine. Can you point me to the provision in the town ordinance that empowers a tree conversation officer to determine the issuance of a tree removal permit based upon the genus of a specific tree?
A. No.
Q. It's not in there, right?
A. No.
Q. And one of the other Board members asked you about saving large trees, right? You guys had an extended discussion about what a large tree is?
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A. Correct.
Q. What does the ordinance say about
hedgerows.
A. It says preserve hedgerows.
Q. Right. What is the applicant here looking to put in place of these trees that are being taken out?
A. Install a new hedgerow.
Q. Right.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: It says preserve,
Mr. Vitolo; it does not say replace.
MR. VITOLO: It also says to preserve
large trees. I was just trying to get him to
testify that they're on the same level, that's all, Mr. Chairman.

MR. LAKIND: He's putting words in the witness' mouth and misquoting the ordinance. It doesn't say --

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I think we understand.
BY MR. VITOLO:
Q. Mr. Weibel, did you do an analysis of the trees encroaching from any of the properties owned by Mr. Battista onto Mr. Gargiulo's property?
A. I did not do a -- I did not do a new
assessment. I saw them, I observed them when I was them there.
Q. And I believe it's your testimony that out of 28 trees removed, you only did an assessment of 1 ?
A. Level 2 assessment, correct. MR. VITOLO: Okay. No more questions. CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. Mr. Lakind, you have already said why you want to have a planner. I'm not sure -- you want to say more about that?

MR. LAKIND: Yes, I really would. CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Please be very brief because I think you already have said why, so please be brief.

MR. LAKIND: I would. Originally, I was going to explain and argue that 116 in the tree removal ordinance, the very second policy statement is that this ordinance is designed to implement the policies and goals of the Master Plan, number one.

Number two, in order to assess some of the discretionary determinations, or I call them rather subjective determinations, made by Mr. Linson, there needs to be some standard. No public employee can just make subjective determinations.

That standard is the ordinance as informed by what the Master Plan wants to accomplish.

Number three, the Master Plan has three elements that are critical to this: The conservation plan element, the land use plan element, and the housing plan element. All of them address issues that Mr. Vitolo questioned the arborist about. So Mr. Vitolo clearly understands why that information is relevant, because all of those provisions talk about what a large tree is, it's six inches; the importance of native growth; the importance of varieties in genuses; the importance of preserving old specimen trees; the importance of history. All of that must inform Mr. Linson's decision because, otherwise, the reference to the Master Plan in the ordinance would be meaningless. Mr. Vitolo acknowledges much in the way he questioned my witness.

MR. VITOLO: May I respond? CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Gary? MR. HALL: Yeah, I think he can. MR. VITOLO: Very briefly. CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Please. MR. VITOLO: If the Board allows a planner to testify in this matter, it will set the
precedent that any resident objecting to a tree removal will have an appeal to the Zoning Board and planners involved in determining whether the tree removal was appropriate. This is not the intent of this ordinance.

This ordinance was meant to give a bunch of factors to the tree conservation professional who, in his judgment, decides whether to approve the permit. This was not meant to have an automatic appeal to the Zoning Board to have planner testimony looking into the Master -- those are built into the criteria in the ordinance.

There is no use and no reason to have a planner testify in this case. It's a total waste of time.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Gary, is there anything else you want to mention? I'd like to poll the Board.

MR. HALL: No, no. I think that's
fair. I mean, the only thing I'll say is that, you
know, the converse of what Mr. Lakind said is
that -- he said Mr. Linson should not have
discretionary judgment, but he's saying he should
interpret the ordinance. I mean, I don't know where
you draw the line. It sounds like -- I don't think
this Board is supposed to rewrite the ordinance.
Anyway, I throw that all out.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Anything else Gary?
MR. HALL: No. That's fine.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Can I poll the Board
to see if we think we need a planner?
Mike?
MR. FLANAGAN: Thanks, Alf.
In my mind, this is a question of Mr.
Linson's judgment. I think the way the ordinance is
written, the way I read it, there are 10 criteria
that shall be considered. They don't say any of
those is any more important than any of the others.
In my mind, this is a question about what the tree
expert, what the town's tree expert decided in
issuing a permit. I don't think we need a planner
to tell us what Mr. Linson was thinking or should
have been thinking. So, no, I don't think we need to hear from a planner.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Dan?
MR. MASELLI: I would agree with Mike on this one. But I do have a question. Unless you want to go through all the Board members first.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Well, I anticipate what's going to happen is we're going to make a
decision on this, and then Mr. Lakind might have another witness.

MR. MASELLI: I just have one question for him.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Go ahead.
MR. MASELLI: For John. Just out of curiosity, when a permit is sought for tree removal, have you ever rejected the removal of a tree that may have been a significant tree?

Has it always been -- like, if I come in and I want to cut down all my trees in my tree conservation easement and I give you a legitimate reason, but that's up to your opinion whether it's legitimate or not, and the weight of that decision is, listen, you can do this some other way. Have you ever rejected a permit for a tree removal?

MR. LINSON: I have not rejected any in Harding that I can recall. I have rejected them in other towns.

MR. MASELLI: And for what reason have you rejected it? Because of the significance of the tree?

MR. LINSON: Healthy trees, you know, sometimes you have a parent, "My child has asthma or they're allergic to trees," so they want to cut down
one tree. But, you know, there's pollen from trees
that's carried miles in the air. So cutting down
one tree not going to solve the problem of your child's asthma.

I had one -- sometimes I get involved with neighbors where one neighbor wants another tree removed that is totally healthy. It just happens to lean that what or whatever. But if it's a healthy tree and there's no planned replacement or management of the area, it would be denied.

And there's always an appeal process associated with the permit process. So you haven't had any appeals before this, so up to this point, I think I have exercised the duties of the tree conservation officer for Harding Township. Unless -- and if I'm doing something wrong, I want to know about it.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Do you think the catalpa is unhealthy?

MR. LINSON: It has a large crown, it's extremely hollow, and it has a lean to it. So there is a liability.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: But is it unhealthy?
MR. LINSON: It's not unhealthy. But
being healthy could be to its detriment, having such

1 a full crown and such a hollow trunk, it makes it
more susceptible to windthrow and trunk failure in a wind or ice storm.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Dan, anything else?
MR. MASELLI: No. That's just out of
curiosity. I'm also curious -- you're stating that
it's not unhealthy. We've had some pretty
significant storms over the last five, six,
seven years, and it's survived that. So I was just curious if there were any denials. That's all.

MR. LINSON: You know, when Brian
Bosenberg and I looked at all 28 trees, and I had my
mallet, I tapped on it. I said this tree looks like
it's hollow. I tapped on it and I said this is not
a tree that I would force Mr. Gargiulo to keep.
There's a definite liability. There's a definite
defect in the tree. So the fact that it doesn't
lean over a house or it's only leaning over a fence
doesn't make it any less likely that it is going to
fail under a storm condition. But it definitely has a propensity for failure.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Thank you.
Now I'm losing my place. Rita, do we need a planner?

MS. CHIPPERSON: I would just ask Gary
if -- Gary, do you have any idea what's done in
other towns or typically in this type of scenario?
I mean, it sounds like we haven't dealt with it here.

MR. HALL: No, we haven't. And I
haven't dealt with it anywhere else either, so. I'm
skeptical about what a planner could add to this. I
think it's pretty far afield, personally.
MS. CHIPPERSON: Okay. I wanted to ask the question. But I agree with the Board up
until this point that I don't think the planner is required.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Elizabeth?
MS. SOVOLOS: Agreed. Again, I have
not heard the first part of this and haven't read
the transcript, but from what I've heard and
understand tonight, I'm with everyone else on this
one.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Hugh?
MR. SYMONDS: My understanding of what
a planner could add to this discussion is limited to
"I don't know." So for me to say, no, we don't need
a planner, the only answer I can say to that is I
don't know.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. Thanks.
there's a dispute that he lives in the adjoining
properties. If there is, I'll call him.

MR. HALL: Those are all court issues, they're not issues on this appeal, I don't think. We did hear in the daughter-in-law who --

MR. LAKIND: Okay. Yeah. The second thing is I heard only five members have either attended or read the transcript, so I certainly would oppose a vote until we have a full seven-member Board. I think a five-member vote would be inappropriate.

MR. HALL: It's six, isn't it?
MR. LAKIND: No. One member said she did not read the transcript yet.

MR. HALL: Yeah, but she's seven.
Aren't there seven people here tonight?
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Did I miss somebody?

MR. LAKIND: Mr. Addonzio left. I don't think so.

MR. HALL: Well, he stepped down, to begin with.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Lori, can you give us a count?

MS. TAGLAIRINO: There are eight members present. Mr. Addonzio recused himself.
That leaves seven. And with Elizabeth who has not
read the transcript, that leaves six voting members.
MR. HALL: One approach, Alf, might be
to do a straw poll, because with six, you need a
majority which is four.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Is there any reason
that we need to have seven legally?
MR. HALL: No. It's common courtesy,
but there's no legal requirement, to my knowledge.
MR. LAKIND: Well, Gary, my argument
is it's much harder for me. Percentage-wise, it's
much harder to get four out of six than four out of seven, so that's why I would oppose it.

I also would like the chance to make a very brief summation. It will take less than 60 seconds.

MR. VITOLO: We would like to have a
vote here, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: You've said that,
Mr. Vitolo. We're doing what we need to do here.
I'm sorry. Sure you can do a summation, Mr. Lakind.
MR. LAKIND: Thank you. I promised
you I would do it in a minute, and I will.
Number one, there's one procedural
issue that's absolutely fatal to this application
that is outside of Mr. Linson's judgment. That is
there was an obligation in your ordinance, because
it uses the word shall, that every application shall
be presented to the Shade Tree Advisory Committee.
That committee consists of a garden club, a Harding
Township Historical Society member, an Environmental
Club member. That was not done in this matter. Mr.
Linson testified he didn't do it so there's a fatal
flaw in the process because without the input from
those people, the only input you have is from
someone who's an expert in trees and essentially the
chairperson. But we have a right under the
ordinance, because it uses the word shall, to have
this matter presented to the Shade Tree Committee,
and it was not.
MR. HALL: Was that in your appeal? I
don't think ever heard that before.

MR. VITOLO: That was weeks ago.
MR. LAKIND: Well, I don't have to
identify every basis in an appeal, Gary. You know
that.
MR. HALL: The land use law says you give the reasons for the appeal in your notice, which you did.

MR. LAKIND: I had no way of knowing he hadn't presented it until he said it.

MR. HALL: Mr. Linson, did you say that? I thought the paperwork was signed by the
Shade Tree, somebody else.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Gary, I'm going to interrupt you.

Mike, you said you want a hard stop at 10:45. It is past 10:45.

MR. FLANAGAN: It's 10:46. Why don't we just keep going for a few minutes here, Alf, see where it gets to, if the Board will indulge us in staying a few minutes after 11 if we need to.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. Thanks, Mike.
Sorry, Gary.
MR. HALL: Mr. Lakind, where in the
ordinance -- I want to make sure I see the exact wording.

MR. LAKIND: Let me get it, Gary. MS. CHIPPERSON: Isn't the Shade Tree Commission meetings public record?

MR. LAKIND: Yeah, 225111 C3, within
three days after an application and the application
fee, if required, have been received, the tree
conservation officer shall mail a copy of the application to the Shade Tree Advisory Committee.

And I don't want to get into a legal
argument, but the word shall generally is
preemptory.
MR. HALL: But it's not always a fatal error either.

MR. LAKIND: Well --
MR. HALL: The land use law at various
parts say, well, but if you don't do that, it's
okay.
MR. LAKIND: It does. But it doesn't
say that here.
Look, I'm going seek to enforce it either here or somewhere.

MR. HALL: Well, whatever. I'll defer to the Board. I don't know it's automatic, but --
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| MR. VITOLO: That's very professional <br> of you, Mr. Lakind, to spring that on the Board two minutes before you're closing your case. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Please go ahead, Mr. <br> Lakind. <br> MR. LAKIND: Okay. So there is a <br> fatal flaw in the procedure. <br> The notion that I sprung it on anybody <br> is ridiculous. Gary asked me for who I'm going to <br> call, what witnesses. I provided it, certainly, <br> before Mr. Vitolo did. <br> Secondly, I think it's fatal error for <br> this Board not to consider the Master Plan as it <br> informs the application of the 10 factors, but the <br> Board has ruled against me. <br> With regard to the application, Mr. <br> Gargiulo seeks privacy for a roadway which impairs <br> Mr. Battista's rights to the views which he <br> enjoys -- <br> MR. HALL: He has no rights to views. <br> I thought I was clear on that. I apologize for interjecting, but that's just wrong. <br> MR. LAKIND: You're right, he does not <br> have a right. Mr. Battista's interest in the views <br> he had, it imposes on Mr. Battista's obligations to, | 250 years. And no one, Mr. Linson or Mr. Weibel, suggested that it's about to topple. I think the tree replacement plan is flawed. I've gone through that. I won't reiterate. <br> The only other thing I'd like to do <br> and I neglected to do is there are a couple of photographs that I'd like to move into evidence. And I will tell you what they are. I believe I laid an adequate foundation for each. BL-4 was -- <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Wait. This is a <br> summary. So I don't understand. Why are we getting more information? I would prefer not to do this. <br> MR. LAKIND: Okay. <br> MS. CHIPPERSON: If I can just jump <br> in. I don't know the procedure here in this, but how can you bring up the "shall have sent the report to the Shade Tree Commission" in your closing argument? <br> Mr. Vitolo has had, what, 30 seconds <br> notice of this? I mean, this just seems ridiculous. <br> And I believe the meetings are public record, so the information was publicly available. This just feels very wrong, the whole procedure that we're going through here right now. And we're introducing photographs in a closing argument? |
| if he wishes to, to cut and trim and reliability <br> associated with branches on his property. That <br> simply is not fair. It impairs the drainage. And I <br> don't want to go on. You've heard everything. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: These are big properties, Mr. Lakind. <br> MR. LAKIND: I'm sorry? <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: These are big <br> properties. <br> MR. LAKIND: They are. I think -- <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: The sympathy level <br> is low. <br> MR. LAKIND: Mr. Hall indicated in his <br> memo that you might want to consider voting <br> separately on each of the trees. And I know there <br> was some separate discussion of the catalpa, so I <br> would urge the Board to do that. <br> MR. HALL: That was before I heard any <br> testimony. So based on testimony, if you think it's needed. <br> MR. LAKIND: But essentially a change <br> from deciduous to arborvitae will change the <br> drainage patterns, it would change the screening. <br> It's not necessary in order to protect any property <br> because the catalpa has a potential life of | I mean, you tell me, Gary, but this is <br> very out of whack. <br> MR. HALL: Yeah, I tend to agree. As <br> I said, I don't know this thing about not sending it <br> somewhere. That should have been flagged upfront. <br> If it's so fatal, we could have maybe saved wasting <br> two, three, or four hours of time hearing all this <br> testimony because you don't need any witness. You <br> could have moved right away. You could have moved <br> for some directed decision on that if that's your <br> argument. I tend to agree. <br> MS. CHIPPERSON: Plus, I'd like to <br> have heard from the opposing Counsel as to what they <br> think about this, but he's had no opportunity to <br> prepare it. And now we're going delay voting <br> because of something that was sprung on us in the last 30 seconds. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: But I don't we <br> necessarily are, are we? <br> MS. CHIPPERSON: No, my point is if we <br> don't delay voting and now we vote, we're voting <br> without all of the information because Mr. Vitolo <br> just found out about this 30 seconds ago. And I <br> would like to hear both sides so we can make a proper decision. |
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| CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Just a poll, just <br> running down the Board members to get their views. <br> MR. MASELLI: Is this a poll for a yes <br> or a no vote? <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Yes, please. <br> MR. MASELLI: So what is the question? <br> What does no mean and what does the yes mean? <br> MR. HALL: The question is whether Mr. <br> Linson's decision should be upheld or reversed. <br> That's a simple question. <br> MR. MASELLI: I'm leaning towards <br> upheld on it. That's what I'm leaning towards. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Upheld? <br> MR. MASELLI: Yes. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mike? <br> MR. FLANAGAN: Let me start by saying <br> I'm not happy about this issue being brought up here <br> at the 11th hour or the moments before a vote is <br> about to be taken. Right? It seems to me that if <br> this was part of their argument, it probably should <br> have been made before we spent two meetings <br> discussing with arborists, et cetera. So to start there. <br> If it's our attorney's view that we <br> should take a vote on it and we can take a vote on | Gary, you said and someone had <br> mentioned individual tree voting. Is that something that's still on the table? <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Yes. <br> MR. HALL: Whatever you want to do. <br> It's your choice. <br> Hugh, are you just talking about the <br> catalpa, or are you talking about other trees? <br> MR. MASELLI: I thought it was either <br> a yes or a no. So if it's just about individual <br> trees, everybody should be made aware of that. <br> MR. SYMONDS: I can speak to that <br> because I thought about this before I made the <br> answer. We had specific testimony that the catalpa <br> tree was large. Somebody said 60 inches maybe, <br> something like that. That sounds like a large tree <br> of any species. The ash trees, I'm going to <br> discount because they're ash trees, they're all <br> ready to -- they don't count. But we also had -- <br> apparently, there were a significant number of <br> walnut trees and oak trees. We did not get <br> testimony as to what size they were. I think they <br> were already cut down, for that matter, is what I <br> think. But again -- <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Linson, can you |
| it, then we will. And if we're going to take a vote on it, I'm voting to uphold Mr. Linson's decision because, as we read the ordinance that we've been focusing on, there are 10 items that he shall, he must -- I agree shall means must -- consider. He considered them, he came to a decision. So for that reason, I would uphold Mr. Linson's decision. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Elizabeth -- oh, I'm <br> sorry you're not eligible, correct? <br> MS. SOVOLOS: Right. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I apologize. <br> Hugh? <br> MR. SYMONDS: Again, reading strictly <br> from the ordinance, because the testimony has been <br> quite confusing to me, and most of it -- especially <br> the part about the planner and what-have-you. But <br> the long and short of it to me is that the ordinance <br> reads, "To the greatest extent practical, large <br> trees should be preserved," and it does not seem <br> like we have done that. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: So you would not <br> uphold the decision? <br> MR. SYMONDS: I would vote to overturn <br> Mr. Linson's permit. <br> 25 MR. MASELLI: May I intervene on this? | confirm that? <br> MR. LINSON: They were topped out and they're trunks, most of the walnuts. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: You're correct, <br> Hugh. <br> MR. SYMONDS: So, again, my feeling is <br> the ordinance specifies large trees. And if we say <br> that the permit should be upheld, then a large tree <br> is coming down. <br> MR. MASELLI: That's why I mentioned <br> whether that was still on the table. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Gary said it is, so <br> it is. You want to exclude the catalpa, you can <br> exclude the catalpa. <br> MR. SYMONDS: Well, to be honest with <br> you, if this had happened earlier, there would have <br> more large trees is my feeling. So it's really at <br> this point we're just trying to cover what loss <br> ground we can. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Thank you. <br> Aric? <br> MR. ROSENBAUM: From all the testimony <br> I've heard, I will add that Mr. Lakind's point <br> during his closing -- I'm not an attorney -- just <br> doesn't sit right with me. I'd like to note that. |
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| But in terms of the testimony that I <br> heard, I haven't heard anything to tell me factually <br> that Mr. Linson is in error. So I'm voting to uphold Mr. Linson. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Rita? <br> MS. CHIPPERSON: I'm going to agree <br> with Aric. I think -- I do understand what Hugh was <br> saying about the one tree in particular, but my <br> understanding is that it's not about our decision on <br> what we would initially think about it; it's about <br> whether Mr. Linson was wrong. And I don't see from <br> everything that was presented here that he was <br> wrong. So I don't feel comfortable overturning his decision. <br> As far as the things that were brought <br> up in the closing, I think the most appropriate <br> thing to do is disregard it because I didn't hear <br> any testimony from a professional or any other <br> person that would support something that came up in the closing statement. The first thing I learned in law school is you don't take an attorney's word for it. And we didn't have any testimony to support any of that, and I have no idea whether it's accurate or not accurate. So for me personally, I'm going to disregard what I heard in the closing. And I would | CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I think Dan, if I'm <br> not mistaken, excludes the catalpa. <br> MR. HALL: Is everyone on board with <br> that? <br> Aric, were you on board with that? <br> MR. ROSENBAUM: With saving -- <br> MR. HALL: Excluding the catalpa. <br> MR. ROSENBAUM: What I said is I <br> didn't include or exclude it. <br> MR. HALL: Okay. But the question is <br> for purposes of a vote whether that should be <br> excluded; reversed only as to that. <br> I'm sorry. Rita. <br> MS. CHIPPERSON: Sorry to interrupt <br> you. <br> How legally does it make sense to <br> exclude one tree if we're evaluating this on appeal <br> and we're deciding whether or not the permit was <br> proper? I mean, can we do that? <br> MR. HALL: I think so, because an <br> example is -- and this ordinance doesn't say it, but <br> in the context of an appeal of a use variance, this <br> Board -- I don't who was on it then. It's been a while. The approval of the T-Mobile cell tower by <br> this Board -- and I was on at that time -- it was |
| uphold Mr. Linson. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Okay. So my <br> perspective is I'm going to take your word for it <br> and Gary's word for it about that issue. So I'm <br> also going to -- I don't really see how we can act on that here, and it's so late. <br> The application was not correct, but <br> Mr. Linson did not rely on the aspect that was not <br> correct, so I don't think that is material. I do <br> think the catalpa should be excluded. I think the <br> rest of Mr. Linson's determination should be upheld. <br> And most of the objections that came up in the <br> testimony had to do with disagreements with the <br> ordinance itself, which is not -- and I tend to <br> agree, the ordinance probably has a lot of flaws, <br> but I don't think those have anything to do with Mr. <br> Linson and his processing of the ordinance. <br> Gary, where does that leave us? <br> MR. HALL: Well, if I mistaken, I <br> heard five people favoring upholding, and Hugh <br> favoring not upholding which, number one, that means <br> getting a seventh vote wouldn't change the outcome. <br> And number two, I think, as I said, from what I <br> hear, I don't know if everybody -- I think all five, excluding the catalpa -- | appealed to the Township Committee. And that <br> provision in the statute specifically says you can <br> approve, affirm, or reverse with conditions. <br> And I think it's implicit that there <br> was a lot of focus separately on the catalpa. You <br> can say, well, yeah, everything was good except for <br> that. I don't know why that -- all or nothing <br> strikes me as -- <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: As Hugh noted, you <br> can see that in the ordinance basically. That's <br> pretty clear unless there's such a huge public <br> safety issue, which doesn't seem to be the case. <br> So, Gary, you've got to make sure we can do that, if in fact we can do it. <br> MR. HALL: It's my opinion that you <br> can. I did suggest that, as someone pointed out, back in August in my memo. <br> CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I want to see. Is <br> there a sufficient number of people on the Board that would support that option? <br> MS. CHIPPERSON: Well, I think Mr. <br> Linson did say that the tree is not -- what was the right words? Not unhealthy or not -- I don't want to put words in your mouth. <br> MR. HALL: I think he said it could be |

more prone to falling but it wasn't a danger or hazard, in lay terms. Fundamentally, that was my recollection.

MS. CHIPPERSON: Which would seem to indicate that it's not a public safety issue.

MR. HALL: Yes. He specifically said it wasn't a danger or a hazard. His concern in including that was that potential liability on the part of the property other, Mr. Gargiulo, to have to keep a tree that could in the future fall down. I mean, any tree could fall down.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: This is Harding.
That's why we move here. This tree is five feet in
diameter and 150 years old. I mean, clearly, it meets that condition.

So, again, do we have enough folks that would support this?

MR. FLANAGAN: Alf, I would support keeping the catalpa and affirming the rest of the decisions by Mr. Linson.

MR. HALL: Rita, are you okay with
that? I think everybody else said they were.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Hugh, would you be okay with that?

MR. HALL: No, Hugh was against the
whole thing, I think. He said about the large trees.

MR. SYMONDS: I know simply from we've been there and we've had testimony that the catalpa
is large. I tried to get Mr. Weibel to say that
there were some other large trees there. But,
again, I know this one tree is large. The permit
said it should come down, so I'm willing to
invalidate the entire permit.
MR. HALL: Okay. That's what I
thought you had said.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I'm sorry, Rita, did you answer?

MS. CHIPPERSON: No. I'm still thinking about it.

CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Aric, where would you be?

MR. HALL: I think he said yes.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: You'd be okay with this?

MR. ROSENBAUM: I would be okay with
it, but I still haven't heard any factual evidence
that Mr. Linson's understanding that it was hollow
and then potentially it could fall down on someone,
it's going to kill somebody, so I can go either way
on this.

```
MS. CHIPPERSON: Yeah, that's my
concern. I appreciate the age, the size. I would
love to save it, but there's testimony that it has a
large top, it has a hollow base, it's leaning, you
know. I hesitate to override his judgment, as he's
the specialist and I'm not.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Linson, you have
to make this clear for us. Is this abnormally --
when I say abnormally, based on Harding, lots of
trees -- is this a public safety issue or not?
We're going by your assessment.
MR. LINSON: The danger is, in my
estimation, is that the tree will eventually fall
and it will fall into the Battista property.
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: That's true with all
``` trees, right? Come on, you need say. Does it got to go?

MR. LINSON: This tree has some serious defects. I personally would not require Mr. Gargiulo to preserve this tree. I believe it's a potential hazard. We don't know when it's going to fall, but we do know where it's going to fall. It's going to fall.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Could I ask him more
simply? Would you stand under that tree?
MR. LINSON: I have, actually. But it
wasn't windy; it was a nice, calm day. But I would
not want to park my car under it during a
thunderstorm; I'll tell you that.
MS. CHIPPERSON: It sounds to me like
you're testifying that it is a public safety issue.
I mean, if you're saying it's going to fall, you
know, that's my hesitation.
MR. MASELLI: You have two tree experts here. One says that it's not, and one says that it is, so...

MR. LINSON: The frequency of somebody being underneath it when it falls is very slight.
And that's how I would agree with Matthew Weibel, under those conditions. But we just don't know when it's going to fall. And as hollow as it is, you know -- basically, if the cross-section of a tree is more than 30 percent hollow, there is a hazard rating. I did not core sample it. I did the same thing Mr. Weibel did with the hammer and the mallet, and it is very, very hollow.
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the tree structure-wise, root-wise, canopy-wise, et cetera? \\
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row all the way up the driveway right up to the edge \\
of this catalpa tree. It's just the issue that the tree is hollow and it is leaning. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: Mr. Linson, this is \\
very simple, unfortunately, because I wish this tree \\
were saved. And if I was the property owner, I \\
almost definitely would. But it's much more -- this \\
is a different situation. You have a property owner \\
who wants to take it down, his reason to take it down is public safety. And you're saying you agree, is that true? \\
MR. LINSON: Yes, I do. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: So I'm changing my \\
view, and I think -- \\
MS. CHIPPERSON: Alf, let me just \\
throw one more thing into this as I think through \\
this. But Mr. Linson is saying that if there's a \\
hazard here, the hazard is for the Battistas. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: That's true. \\
MS. CHIPPERSON: And the Battistas are \\
here asking us to leave it. So if they're willing
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MS. CHIPPERSON: And it's the \\
Battistas there today, but, I mean, that could \\
change a week from now. \\
MR. ROSENBAUM: I appreciate it's a \\
large, beautiful tree. I appreciate the Battistas \\
enjoy the tree. It's a great-looking tree, but the \\
potential liability -- and it's more than zero, \\
according to Mr. Linson, it's not a hundred \\
percent -- it's unfair to ask the Gargiulos to own that risk. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: So I'm just trying \\
to, again, look at the number of votes we have. \\
Mike is uphold, Aric is uphold, Hugh is not. \\
Dan? \\
MR. MASELLI: If there's a liability \\
issue, then it's uphold for the entire application or judgment. \\
I personally would like to see the \\
tree saved. I just don't know how that would work \\
if liability is an issue here unless the Battistas \\
are willing to take that liability. And I don't \\
think that's on the table; or if it is, please advise. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I don't think we want to ask for that. That's complicated.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
to assume the risk and the risk is to them -- \\
MR. ROSENBAUM: Who owns the liability \\
if it falls. \\
MS. CHIPPERSON: What's that, Aric? \\
MR. ROSENBAUM: Who owns the liability \\
if it falls? If it fell on somebody, if it fell on \\
someone's personal property, who would own that \\
liability? \\
MS. CHIPPERSON: I would think the \\
Gargiulos, right? I'm not sure though, Gary. \\
MR. LINSON: Well, when you've \\
documented that there's a defect in the tree, it's \\
not an act of God when it falls. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: I thought about \\
that, getting a release or something like that. \\
That is so complicated. \\
MR. ROSENBAUM: They own the \\
liability. \\
MS. CHIPPERSON: What was that, Aric? \\
MR. ROSENBAUM: If they own the \\
liability, I mean -- \\
MS. CHIPPERSON: Then it seems unfair. \\
MR. ROSENBAUM: Yeah. Then you're \\
asking them to own something, some risk. I'm not sure that's fair.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MR. HALL: They can always do it on \\
their own. The Board doesn't have to. \\
MR. LAKIND: Mr. Battista is willing \\
to take that liability, if that's significant. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: You guys can maybe \\
work that out. \\
Rita? \\
MS. CHIPPERSON: Yeah. It kills me. \\
I hate to see the tree come down, I really do. But \\
I can't, in good conscience, overturn Mr. Linson's \\
decision and force someone to take on liability. I \\
think it would be the wrong decision for the Board \\
and for the town. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: So it's 5 to 1 to \\
uphold, Gary, I believe. \\
MR. HALL: Uphold in toto. Okay. \\
CHAIRMAN NEWLIN: That's what it \\
sounds like. We have the votes. \\
MR. MASELLI: You guys need to work it \\
out between the neighbors. That would make us all \\
feel better about the tree. My original thought was \\
that maybe it was going to interrupt the new line of \\
trees, but I heard that it was not so that made me \\
feel better about saving it. So if you guys can \\
work it out between neighbors, that would be good.
\end{tabular} \\
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\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & adjoining & 86:18 90:19 & appeals 61:13 \\
\hline ability 97:9 & 33:25 34:3 & allergic 60:25 & appear 47:15 \\
\hline able 24:25 & 35:11 65:1,9 & allowed 28:10 & APPEARANCES \\
\hline abnormally & adjourned 13:1 & allows 57:24 & 3:1 \\
\hline 88:9,10 & adjournment & altered 24:24 & appeared 40:1 \\
\hline absence 10:5 & 5:15 7:9,19 & amendment 13:8 & 40:4 \\
\hline absolutely & 9:25 10:8 & America 21:3 & appears 24 \\
\hline 67:8 & 12:23 & 30:16,18 & applicant 55:5 \\
\hline bsorb 30:5 & ADJUSTMENT 1:2 & American 30:17 & application \\
\hline absorbing & Administrator & amount 24:9 & 6:6 8:5 9:13 \\
\hline 42:17 & 2:12 & analysis 55:22 & 9:21,23 \\
\hline acceptable & advanced 37:7 & ancient 42:9 & 11:21 13:4 \\
\hline 18:11 & advise 92:23 & 44:6,13 54:9 & 13:22 14:1 \\
\hline accepted 38 & Advisory 67:12 & answer 49:20 & 47:11 64:20 \\
\hline 38:19 & 69:10 & 63:23 80:14 & 67:8,11 69:7 \\
\hline access 36:25 & affect 89:25 & 87:13 & 69:7,10 \\
\hline accomplish & affirm 85:3 & anticipate & 70:14,16 \\
\hline 30:23 31:7 & affirming & 38:9 59:24 & 76:4,11 83:7 \\
\hline 57:2 & 86:19 & anticipated & 92:16 \\
\hline account 75 & afield 37:15 & 9:1 10:5 & applications \\
\hline accurate 47 & 37:18 63:8 & 22:11 & 9:18 \\
\hline 82:23, 24 & afternoon 7:1 & anticipates & applied 33:21 \\
\hline 97:6 & age 88:3 & 22:18 & applies 31:13 \\
\hline acknowledged & Aging 46:5 & anybody 70:8 & applying 52:6 \\
\hline 8:18 44:15 & ago 12:18 & 77:15 & appreciate \\
\hline acknowledges & 13:12 39:9 & anymore 13:9 & 64:17 88:3 \\
\hline 57:17 & 53:19 68:3 & anyway 10:20 & 92:4,5 94:14 \\
\hline acorns 33 & 73:23 & 59:2 & appreciated \\
\hline acrimony 94:3 & agree 8:9,10 & apart 24:12 & 94:4 \\
\hline act 83:5 91:13 & 14:6 15:4,10 & apologize & approach 66:11 \\
\hline action 12: 25 & 53:13 59:21 & 32:11 70:21 & appropriate \\
\hline 75:10 & 63:10 64:5 & 79:11 & 58:4 82:16 \\
\hline active 18:23 & 73:3,11 79:5 & apparently & appropri \\
\hline activity 48:6 & 82:6 83:15 & 80:20 & 31:17 \\
\hline add 63:7, 21 & 89:15 90:14 & appeal 1:6 & approval 84:24 \\
\hline 64:3 81:23 & agreed 47:6 & 40:21 58:2 & approve 58:9 \\
\hline Addonzio 5:4,7 & 63:14 & 58:10 61:11 & 85:3 \\
\hline 66:1,8 & ahead 8:14 & 65:12 67:24 & approved 32:19 \\
\hline address 9:6 & 60:5 70:4 & 68:5,8 74:18 & approximately \\
\hline 12:2 13:12 & 77:5,6 & 74:20,21,22 & 23:10,13 \\
\hline 16:3 57:7 & air 61:2 & 75:9,11 77:1 & Arbor 27:6,10 \\
\hline addressed 14:5 & alakind@sz & 77:23 84:17 & arboricult \\
\hline 14:7 & 3:8 & 84:22 & 16:23 \\
\hline adequate 35:12 & Alf 2:2 5:4 & appealed 85:1 & Arboriculture \\
\hline \[
72: 9
\] & 14:23 59:8 & appealing & 17:17 36: \\
\hline & 66:11 68:20 & 75:15 & arborist 5:25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 10:14 14:13 & 81:21 82:7 & 91:1 & 85:10 89:18 \\
\hline 14:19, 25 & 84:5 87:16 & assuming 23:6 & basis 6:3,24 \\
\hline 17:10,12,13 & 91:4,19 & asthma 60:24 & 8:18,25 \\
\hline 17:19,20,22 & 92:13 & 61:4 & 11:20,23 \\
\hline 18:9 41:13 & Arnold 3:4 & attended 65:16 & 68:5 \\
\hline 51:24 52:3 & 5:14 & attending 94:5 & Batista 10:15 \\
\hline 52:11 57:8 & arose 7:8 & attention & 65:5 \\
\hline arborists 29:1 & arranged 38:12 & 20:13 & Battista 19:6 \\
\hline 30:8,23 31:6 & arrow 37:8 & attorney 2:11 & 19:12 20:1 \\
\hline 38:14,19 & article 35:13 & 3:9,19 43:10 & 24:22 25:25 \\
\hline 78:22 & ash 21:11 39:2 & 81:24 & 26:9 28:7 \\
\hline arborvitae & 45:19 80:17 & attorney's & 34:15,16,19 \\
\hline 20:24,24 & 80:18 & 78:24 82:21 & 36:10,13 \\
\hline 21:2,14 & asked 7:4 11:5 & August 85:17 & 40:20 41:4 \\
\hline 25:10,21 & 12:19 22:4,7 & automatic & 41:15,15,17 \\
\hline 27:8 34:2 & 22:23 54:23 & 58:10 69:25 & 41:21 47:19 \\
\hline 42:1,5,6 & 70:9 & available 6:5 & 47:20 48:8 \\
\hline 43:2 71:22 & asking 13:10 & 7:20 12:21 & 48:12,18 \\
\hline arborvitaes & 52:7 90:25 & 72:22 & 55:24 65:1 \\
\hline 8:22 21:17 & 91:24 & Avenue 3:15 & 75:5 88:15 \\
\hline 22:12,19,23 & aspect 64:6 & average 24:15 & 93:3 \\
\hline 23:16, 23 & 83:8 & awards 50:2 & Battista's \\
\hline 24:3, 8, 13, 16 & assess 31:17 & aware 50:3 & 23:8,21 \\
\hline 25:5,13,17 & 31:21 56:21 & 80:11 & 24:10 25:9 \\
\hline 26:12 27:4,5 & assessed 21:9 & B & 28:23 70:18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
27: 18 & 28: 8 \\
28: 11 & 27
\end{array}
\] & 45:18 & B2:1 4:9 & \(70: 24,25\)
Battistas \\
\hline 29:12,16 & assessment & Bachelor 17:4 & 90:22,24 \\
\hline 33:12 34:10 & 17:13 19:11 & back 7:4 12:19 & 92:2,5,20 \\
\hline 89:24 & 19:14,17,18 & 26:14 38:5 & beautiful 92:5 \\
\hline area 24:17 & 19:21 35:15 & 44:14 85:17 & becoming 7:13 \\
\hline 26:10 29:7 & 35:18, 20, 21 & background & bees 33:11 \\
\hline 29:24 30:13 & 36:3,21,23 & 17:3 & beginning \\
\hline 30:14 31:4 & 36:23 37:3,4 & bacterial 7:3 & 74:10 \\
\hline 34:19 36:25 & 37:5,8 38:4 & barrier 42:14 & behalf 20:19 \\
\hline 50:23 61:10 & 44:18 48:4 & base 30:3 88:5 & believe 18:25 \\
\hline areas 20:15 & 56:1,4,6 & based 8:5 9:2 & 25:15 39:15 \\
\hline 21:13 34:13 & 88:12 & 13:17 36:17 & 44:19 46:23 \\
\hline argue 56:17 & assessments & 40:15 44:18 & 56:3 72:8,21 \\
\hline argument 9:17 & 16:25 18:8 & 52:17,18 & 88:21 90:3 \\
\hline 66:18 69:12 & 20:1 & 53:23 54:7,7 & 93:15 \\
\hline 72:18,25 & associated & 54:17 71:19 & benefit 33:17 \\
\hline 73:11 77:1 & 19:22 61:12 & 88:10 & 47:23 \\
\hline 78:20 & 71:2 & bases 11:24 & Bergen 18:4 \\
\hline Aric 2:4 15:12 & assume 46:9 & basically & best 36:1 97:9 \\
\hline 18:14 64:1 & 48:19 51:10 & 47:10 48:5 & better 6:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

15:14 45:5
93:21, 24
BEUREN 1: 7
beyond 76:10
big 64:20, 21
71:5,8
bigger 41: 25
42:4,16,17
biometrics
17:6
birds 33:8
bit 11:17
BL-4 72:9
black 21:11
blinders 64:23
blunt 64:15
board1:2 2:11
2:12 5:13
6:1,5 8:4
9:3,12 10:4
12:22 13:15
13:24 14:10
14:11, 20
16:17 20:22
35:17 37:22
39:14, 18
40:1,4,5, 22
43:9,14,19
46:1 54:22
57:24 58:2
58:10,18
59:1,5,23
63:10 65:18
68:21 69:25
70:2,13,15
71:17 75:5
77:18 78:2
84:3,5,23, 25
85:19 93:2
93:12 94:10
Board's 5: 24 20:13
Bosenberg 49:8 49:9 62:12
Bradley 97:3
97:15
branch \(35: 24\)
branches \(22: 1\)
\(23: 771: 2\)
breadth \(22: 7\)
\(22: 14\)
Brian \(62: 11\)
brief \(56: 13\),
\(66: 23\)
briefly \(17: 6\)
\(20: 2157: 22\)
bring 10:11 72:16 76:25
bringing 14:13
brought 76:9 78:17 82:15
buildings
33:25
built 58:12
bunch 58:7
business 16:22
41:19

\section*{C}

C 3:4 97:1,1,3 97:15
C3 69: 6
call 12:14
53:20 56:22
65:5,10
70:10
called 11: 4
16:19 19:16
36:1 42:5
calm 89:3
Cammarata 6:8
canopies 25:2
26:11 28:17 34:12
canopy 19:19 25:24 28:25 29:4,7,7,10 29:18,23
30:4 34:13 35:24, 25 41:25
canopy-wise

90:1
capacity 18:8 car 89:4
care 36:14
carefully 51:8 carried 61:2
case 7:1 9:21
13:5 15:19
36:8 39:15
42:20 45:1
47:13 52:5
53:14 58:14
70:3 85:12
castle 8:24
catalpa 19:14
21:8 32:22
33:10 35:15
44:3 45:17
46:3 48:2,2
48:6 61:19
71:16,25
80:8,14
81:13,14
83:10, 25
84:2,7 85:5
86:19 87:4
90:6 94:9
catalpas 46:13 46:20
cause 35:9
caution 9:10
cavities 19:20
cavity 35: 23
CCR 97:15, 16
cell 84:24
center 24:15 26:25 27:4
certain 8: 6 24:9 94:6
certainly 9:1
27:14 28:17
32:3 33:7
46:15 65:16 70:10 94:4
94:11
certainty 39:1
certified
17:13 97:3
certify 6:13
97:5
cetera 38:11 50:3 78:22
90:2
Chair 2:2
Chairman 5:1,6
5:8 9:9 10:9
10:19 11:1
12:6 14:3
15:3, 7, 9, 12
15:15,18,23
16:6 17:24
18:10,14
19:3 21:25
31:8,22 32:6
34:5,20,24
37:19 39:12
39:16, 22
41:12, 22
43:18, 22
45:5,11, 25
46:7,12,16
46:20, 25
48:13, 21
49:1,3,18
51:23 52:3
52:19,22,23
53:3 55:10
55:15,19
56:8,13
57:20,23
58:16 59:3,5
59:20,24
60:5 61:18
61:23 62:4
62:22 63:13
63:19, 25
64:4,12,19
65:3,25 66:5
66:14 67:1,2
68:15, 23
70:4 71:5,8
71:11 72:10
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 73:18 74:1 & 72:14 73:12 & comes 12:22 & connection \\
\hline 74:11,25 & 73:20 74:14 & comfortable & 19:24 23:5 \\
\hline 75:3,17,24 & 76:18 82:6 & 82:13 & 23:16 50:15 \\
\hline 76:1, 7,16 & 84:14 85:21 & coming 81:9 & conscience \\
\hline 77:4,10,17 & 86:4 87:14 & comment 5:12 & 93:10 \\
\hline 77:22 78:1,5 & 88:2 89:6 & 5:13 & consequence \\
\hline 78:13,15 & 90:19,24 & comments 47:3 & 22:22 36:5 \\
\hline 79:8,11,21 & 91:4,9,19, 22 & 48:24 77:13 & 36:17 \\
\hline 80:4,25 81:4 & 92:1 93:8 & Commission & conservation \\
\hline 81:12,20 & 95:2,3 & 69:5 72:17 & 39:10 47:17 \\
\hline 82:5 83:2 & choice 80:6 & committee & 47:21, 22 \\
\hline 84:1 85:9,18 & choose 38:7 & 67:12,13,22 & 51:13,15,19 \\
\hline 86:12,23 & chosen 33:16 & 69:10 85:1 & 51:20 57:5 \\
\hline 87:12,16,19 & cities 30:18 & common 27:16 & 58:7 60:12 \\
\hline 88:8,16 90:8 & clarify 22:9 & 66:16 & 61:15 69:9 \\
\hline 90:17, 23 & classic 30:15 & community & consider 31:20 \\
\hline 91:14 92:11 & clear 5:19 & 38:19 & 31:20 36:16 \\
\hline 92:24 93:5 & 34:14 64:13 & company 16:19 & 45:10, 22 \\
\hline 93:14,17 & 70:21 76:3 & compile 51:5 & 47:16 70:13 \\
\hline 94:1,13,20 & 85:11 88:9 & complete 75:8 & 71:14 79:5 \\
\hline 94:23, 25 & clearance 26:3 & complicated & 94:14,18 \\
\hline 95:9,14,19 & cleared 20:15 & 91:16 92:25 & consideration \\
\hline 96:2 & clearer 45:6 & comprehensive & 20:13 31:24 \\
\hline chairperson & clearly 57:8 & 9:12 & 75:20 \\
\hline 67:20 & 86:14 & conceivable & considerat \\
\hline challenge 77:8 & client 38:12 & 10:14 & 94:6 \\
\hline 77:9 & 94:5,5,12 & concern 7:15 & considered \\
\hline challenged & climbing 37:8 & 10:23 19:15 & 44:5 45:4 \\
\hline 53:1 & clip 28:19 & 35:25 76:17 & 59:12 79:6 \\
\hline chance 13:6 & close 27:22 & 86:7 88:3 & considering \\
\hline 66:22 & 46:10 & concerned 7:14 & 94:3 \\
\hline change 13:20 & closer 23:3 & 74:15 & consisted \\
\hline 14:17 33:24 & 27:23 & concluded 96:3 & 35:18 \\
\hline 71:21,22,23 & closing 70:3 & conclusory & consistent \\
\hline 83:22 92:3 & 72:17,25 & 76:22 & 21:18,22 \\
\hline changes 34:4 & 74:24 77:1 & condition 7:13 & consists 67:13 \\
\hline changing 90:17 & 81:24 82:16 & 38:10 62:20 & constant 42:13 \\
\hline chap 51:24 & 82:20,25 & 86:15 & 42:14 \\
\hline characterize & club 67:13,15 & conditions & constitute \\
\hline 8:20 & Code 20:10 & 31:23 32:8,9 & 33:23 \\
\hline charade 75:8 & cohabitate & 85:3 89:16 & constituti \\
\hline child 60:24 & 89:24 & cones 23:23, 24 & 13:8 \\
\hline child's 61:4 & coherent 5:23 & 23:25 24:1,3 & construction \\
\hline Chipperson 2:6 & come 60:10 & confirm81:1 & 17:1 54:8 \\
\hline 15:4 62:25 & 87:8 88:17 & confusing & Consultant \\
\hline 63:9 69:4 & 93:9 94:6 & 79:15 & 16:20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline consulting & 47:25 51:15 & D & 79:2, 6, 7, 22 \\
\hline 16:22, 23 & court 1:21 & D 4 :1 & 82:9,14 \\
\hline 17:12, 20 & 12:25 13:1 & Dan 2:5 15:7 & 93: 11, 12 \\
\hline contains 29:6 & 17:21 39:25 & 59:20 62:4 & decisions \\
\hline contemplated & 65:11 75:10 & 77:19 84:1 & 86:20 94:22 \\
\hline 20:17,18 & 75:12,16 & 92:14 & deer 33:9,16 \\
\hline context 42:17 & 97:3 & danger 38:10 & 33:16 \\
\hline 84:22 & courtesy 64:18 & 86:1,7 88:13 & defect 62:17 \\
\hline continue 37:24 & 66:16 & DANZIG \(3: 12\) & 91:12 \\
\hline continues & cover 81:18 & date 1:12 & defects 35:23 \\
\hline 13:20 & coverage 29:7 & 18:21, 22 & 88:20 \\
\hline continuous & covers 29:24 & 95:23 97:8 & defer 39:21 \\
\hline 90:4 & COVID 7:3 & Dated 97:17 & 69:24 \\
\hline conversation & 18:18 & daughter-i & deferred 9:21 \\
\hline 13:14 54:16 & created 9:4 & 65:13 & deficient 32:5 \\
\hline 64:3 & credibility & day 25:22 27:6 & definite 62:16 \\
\hline converse 58:21 & 49:17 & 27:10 89:3 & 62:16 \\
\hline convey 94:11 & Creigh 6:25 & days 12:18 & definitely \\
\hline copies 20:5 & 10:16 & 69:7 & 29:22 46:10 \\
\hline copy 20:1,3 & criteria 13:17 & dead 35:24 & 62:20 90:11 \\
\hline 69:9 & 52:6 58:12 & deal 9:15 & definition \\
\hline core 89:20 & 59:11 & dealt 63:3,6 & 44:2 \\
\hline correct 6:9 & critical \(39: 15\) & death \(28: 12\) & degree 39:1 \\
\hline 18:5 20:10 & 57:4 & decay 37:11 & delay 13:10 \\
\hline 22:20, 25 & cross 32:15 & decide 14:10 & 73:15,21 \\
\hline 24:10 26:25 & CROSS -EXAM & 14:14 74:5 & 75:9 \\
\hline 32:21 33:1 & 4:5 49:4 & 76:4,10 & delayed 12:24 \\
\hline 35:5,15 & cross-section & decided 59:15 & 18:18 \\
\hline 38:23 40:13 & 89:18 & decides 58:8 & deliberate \\
\hline 43:14 51:4 & crown 34:13 & deciding 77:23 & 6:18,23 7:23 \\
\hline 55:1 56:6 & 61:20 62:1 & 77:25 84:18 & 10:7 \\
\hline 79:9 81:4 & curiosity 60:7 & deciduous 8:22 & denials 62:10 \\
\hline 83:7,9 & 62:6 & 25:12,19 & denied 40:16 \\
\hline correcting & curious 62:6 & 29:11, 16, 23 & 61:10 \\
\hline 32:7 & 62:10 & 33:5 41:24 & denigrate 9:22 \\
\hline cost 28:22, 24 & current 34:9 & 42:6,9,16 & denser 27:21 \\
\hline Counsel 73:13 & currently & 43:4 71:22 & depends 44:25 \\
\hline count 66:6 & 21:10 25:1 & decimated & DESCRIPTION \\
\hline 80:19 & 26:7,13 & 30:20 & 4:11 \\
\hline counts 6:20 & 34:11 41:11 & decision 1:7 & designed 56:19 \\
\hline County 18:4 & cut 14:8 48:22 & 9:2 11:3 & desire 26:17 \\
\hline couple 12:18 & 60:11, 25 & 16:2 39:2 & Despite 53:18 \\
\hline 46:2 72:6 & 71:1 80:23 & 40:7,12 & destruction \\
\hline course 9:8 & cutting 33:23 & 57:15 60: & 53:9 \\
\hline 24:8, 20 & 53:9 61:2 & 60:14 73:10 & detail 37: 20 \\
\hline 30:16 44:7 & & 73:25 78:9 & determination \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 2,3 83:11 & 16 & & 2,5 \\
\hline determina & disease 30:14 & e-mail 3:8,18 & croached \\
\hline 56:22,23, 25 & 30:16,19 & 7:11 & 28:8 \\
\hline determine & dispute 65:6,7 & e-mailed 7:2,4 & encroaching \\
\hline 19:21 51:7 & 65:9 & 7:14 & 5:23 \\
\hline 54:16 & disregard & earlier 7:21 & encroachme \\
\hline determining & 82:17,25 & 10:16 19:13 & 23:11 28:18 \\
\hline 58:3 & distance 26:23 & 26:24 27:24 & 42:4,5,18,25 \\
\hline detriment & 27:4 & 34:1 35:14 & endanger \(35: 9\) \\
\hline 47:18,23 & distinction & 81:16 & endeavors 31:7 \\
\hline 61:25 & 9:24 & Early 8:17 & ends 74:17 \\
\hline developed & diversit & easement 60:12 & enforce 69:22 \\
\hline 37:13 & 30:22 & easier 48:14 & engineers \\
\hline diameter 29:10 & do-over 11:9 & easy 27:16 & 11:16,16 \\
\hline 44:5 45:12 & 11:11 & edge 90:5 & enjoined 75:16 \\
\hline 45:13 86:14 & doctors 7:12 & educational & enjoy 24:22 \\
\hline difference & documented & 17:2 & 92:6 \\
\hline 29:10,14,18 & 91:12 & eight 66:7 & enjoys 70:19 \\
\hline 29:20 34:8 & documents & either 11:24 & entire 24:17 \\
\hline different 8:20 & 19:23 20:6 & 25:21 36:7 & 32:13 37:5 \\
\hline 8:25 48:3 & dogwood 45:2 & 43:10 63:6 & 87:9 92:16 \\
\hline 90:12 & doing 6:4,17 & 65:15 69:15 & entitled 9:13 \\
\hline differently & 15:18 43:8 & 69:23 80:9 & 32:3 \\
\hline 8:21 & 49:12,24 & 87:25 & entity 41: 9,19 \\
\hline difficult 46:5 & 61:16 67:3 & elaborate 34:8 & Environmental \\
\hline diligently & 94:16 & element 57:5,6 & 67:14 \\
\hline 27:25 53:19 & dominant 30:17 & 57:6 & equally 24:5 \\
\hline direct 4:4 & door 75:5,7 & elements 57:4 & erect 9:19 \\
\hline 16:16 20:12 & drainage 29:21 & elevate 26:14 & erected 9:20 \\
\hline directed 73:10 & 71:3,23 & elevation & error 32:11 \\
\hline direction 76:3 & draw 58:25 & 25:24 & 69:15 70:12 \\
\hline directions & drawings 50:21 & elicit 32:4 & 82:3 \\
\hline 74:12 & drill 37:9 & eligible 6:13 & especially \\
\hline disagree 37:23 & drip 29:8 & 79:9 & 79:15 \\
\hline disagreements & driveway 8:6 & Elizabeth 2:8 & ESQ 2:11 3:4 \\
\hline 83:13 & 9:7 24:19,20 & 6:11 15:15 & 3:13 \\
\hline discount 80:18 & 26:1 36:10 & 63:13 66:9 & essentially \\
\hline discretionary & 90:5 & 79:8 & 6:2,15 8:19 \\
\hline 10:2 56:22 & due 18:19 & elm 30:16,17 & 9:21 10:17 \\
\hline 58:23 & duly 16:13 & 30:18,20 & 19:6 26:20 \\
\hline discuss 39:14 & dumped 13:20 & else's 37:2 & 33:13 35:4 \\
\hline discussed 39:8 & dutch \(30: 16,18\) & employed 16:18 & 38:14 67:19 \\
\hline discussing & duties 61:14 & employee 56:25 & 71:21 \\
\hline 7:13 78:22 & & empowers 54:15 & estimate 46 : \\
\hline discussion & E & encroach 23:7 & estimation \\
\hline 54:24 63:21 & E2:1,1 4:1,9 & 23:20 28:22 & 88:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 78:22 90:2 & 37:19 75:18 & fact 27:7,10 & 76:14 \\
\hline evaluating & 75:24 76:1 & 27:13,14 & favoring 83:20 \\
\hline 84:17 & exercised & 47:16 53:6 & 83:21 \\
\hline evening 6:5,22 & 61:14 & 62:17 85:14 & featured 50:2 \\
\hline 7:17 10:8,24 & EXHIBITS 4:13 & factor 35:7 & fee 69:8 \\
\hline 12:7 & existing 21:10 & 38:8,9 & feel 14:25 \\
\hline eventually & 24:20 25:2 & factors 33:20 & 82:13 93:21 \\
\hline 88:14 & 25:16,24 & 38:23 39:8 & 93:24 \\
\hline evergreen 25:7 & 26:2,5 29:15 & 49:15 58:7 & feeling 81:6 \\
\hline everybody 12:7 & 33:24 36:11 & 70:14 & 81:17 \\
\hline 40:19 80:11 & 45:9 & factual 87:22 & feels 72:22 \\
\hline 83:24 86:22 & exists 29:8 & factually 82:2 & feet 21:18,21 \\
\hline 96:1 & expanse 42:16 & fail 36:12, 12 & 21:24 22:3 \\
\hline evidence 32:5 & expect 29:9 & 36:17 48:10 & 22:13,17 \\
\hline 72:7 87:22 & expected 9:1 & 62:20 & 23:13,14,15 \\
\hline exact 23:4 & 28:12 & failed 68:2 & 23:19,19 \\
\hline 28:24 45:23 & experience & failure 36:4 & 24:9,14 \\
\hline 69:1 & 11:15 & 62:2, 21 & 25:23 26:25 \\
\hline exactly 47:15 & expert 14:13 & failures 36:5 & 27:18,18 \\
\hline examination & 17:12, 22 & fair 18:3 & 28:3,3 30:2 \\
\hline 4:4 16:16 & 52:2,5,8 & 43:15 58:20 & 34:24,25 \\
\hline 38:17 & 59:15,15 & 71:3 91:25 & 47:20 86:13 \\
\hline examine 19:6 & 67:19 & faith 94:16 & fell 91:6,6 \\
\hline examined 19:23 & expertise & fall 36:15 & felt 8:23 \\
\hline 25:11 27:3 & 76:10 & 48:10, 11 & fence 36:11, 12 \\
\hline 32:20, 22 & experts 27:11 & 86:10,11 & 36:13,14, 15 \\
\hline examining 32:2 & 89:11 & 87:24 88:14 & 62:18 \\
\hline 43:10 & expire 18:20 & 88:15, 23, 23 & Fifty 22:6,13 \\
\hline example 21:8 & 18:22 & 88:24 89:8 & fight 42:13 \\
\hline 30:1,15 & expires 18:16 & 89:17 & filed 40:21, 23 \\
\hline 84:21 & explain 6:2,16 & falling 86:1 & 41:1 \\
\hline exception 94:8 & 20:21 35:17 & falls 89:14 & find 27:16 \\
\hline excess 9:10 & 56:17 65:6 & 91:3,6,13 & 53:15 \\
\hline excessive 53:8 & explanation & familiar 20:16 & finding 74:17 \\
\hline 53:16 & 9:12 & 28:21 53:10 & fine 10:22 \\
\hline exclude 81:13 & extend 30:2 & family 31:4 & 15:16, 21 \\
\hline 81:14 84:9 & extended 54:24 & 65:1,4 & 39:23 54:14 \\
\hline 84:17 & extent 9:24 & far 24:12 & 59:4 \\
\hline excluded 83:10 & 29:15 31:9 & 37:15,17 & firm 50:1 \\
\hline 84:12 & 37:11 79:18 & 63:8 82:15 & first 16:13 \\
\hline excludes 84:2 & extreme 36:7 & farther 26:9 & 39:21, 22 \\
\hline excluding & extremely & 26:14 & 47:5 59:23 \\
\hline 83:25 84:7 & 61:21 & fast 21:5 & 63:15 74:5 \\
\hline excuse 14:3 & & fatal 67:8,16 & 82:20 \\
\hline 20:17 22:22 & F & 69:14 70:7 & five 62:8 \\
\hline 24:2 29:19 & F 97:1 & 70:12 73:6 & 65:15 83:20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

83:24 86:13
five-member 65:18
fix 54:5
flagged 73:5 74:7
Flanagan 2:3
6:13 14:23
59:8 68:19
78:16 86:18
94:21 95:6,7
flatly 12:17
flaw 67:17 70:7
flawed 72:3
flaws \(83: 15\)
flourish 25:18
flower 33:14
flowering 45:2
flowers 33:10 33:10
focus 85:5
focusing 79:4
foliage 29:5,6 34:12
folks 86:16
following 9:18 37:21
follows 6:24 16:14
food 33:9
force 62:15 93:11
foregoing 97:5
foreign 30:19
forest 17:6
forgets 75:15
form 21:4 28:1
formal 16:24 21:4 34:19
forth 11:20 97:8
forward 7:16 15:2,5,8,10 15:13
found 36:8

73:23 74:16
foundation
27:6,11 72:9
founded 21:13
four 25:22
66:13,20,20
73:7
four-year 19:1
FRANK 3:13
frankly 11:21 11:24
free 1:24
94:16
frequency 89:13
front 11:4
24:25 40:4
full 25:3,21
28:4,6 34:10
35:24 37:4
62:1 65:17
fully 14:23
Fundamentally 86:2
further 13:10
future 86:10
fvitolo@ri... 3:18
G
gain 75:15
game 13:23
garden 67:13
Gargiulo 20:19
48:9,11,20
62:15 70:17
86:9 88:21
Gargiulo's 55:24
Gargiulos 3:19 91:10 92:9
Gary 2:11 5:8
5:16 7:7 8:1 14:5 15:20 22:9 32:6
39:19, 22

41:13 52:19
52:24 57:20
58:16 59:3
62:25 63:1
65:2 66:18
68:5,15,24
69:3 70:9
73:1 74:1,11
76:3,8 77:2
77:5 80:1
81:12 83:18
85:13 91:10
93:15 95:20
Gary's 83:4
general 27:7
30:5 31:1
generally 21:3
23:17 27:22
28:9,10
30:23,24
34:14 38:18
47:11 69:12
generate 23:23 24:9
genus 31:3
50:25 51:2,8
54:17
genuses 57:12
germane 33:22
getting \(37: 15\)
72:11 83:22
91:15
giant 20:24
47:9 89:24
90:4
giants 34:20
35:3
give 45:7
46:16 58:6
60:12 66:5
68:8 74:12
given 9:3
48:23 52:8
53:2
go 6:12 7:16
8:14 13:2

15:8 33:19
36:6 39:16
39:22 59:23
60:5 70:4
71:4 75:11
77:5,6 87:25
88:18
goal 30:22
31:6
goals 56:20
God 91:13
goes 32:14
going 5:4 13:2
13:21 14:11
27:20 32:15
38:12 43:12
44:14 47:18
47:21 48:5
48:10,11,19
49:13 50:4
52:9 56:17
59:25, 25
61:3 62:19
64:21 68:15
68:20 69:22
70:9 72:23
73:15 74:20
74:21,22
75:4,7,9
77:1,8 79:1
80:17 82:6
82:24 83:3,5
87:25 88:12
88:22,23,24
89:8,17
93:22 94:4
94:10,15
good 9:15 12:7
33:9 35:23
47:13,16
48:23 53:3
77:14 85:6
93:10,25
94:16
grad 17:6
grant 8:19
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 19:7 39:3 & 5:18 6:7,11 & 12:10,20 & 8:17 9:8 \\
\hline granting 9:25 & 8:7,11 9:8 & happens 6:22 & 12:8,12,14 \\
\hline great-looking & 10:1 11:2,12 & 61:7 & 14:7 32:4 \\
\hline 92:6 & 12:4,11 & happy 78:17 & 73:7 \\
\hline greatest 31:9 & 15:21 16:10 & hard 68:17 & heck 48:14 \\
\hline 79:18 & 22:4,8 31:19 & harder 66:19 & hedge 25:4 \\
\hline green 20:23 & 38:1 39:20 & 66:20 & 26:16 28:4,6 \\
\hline 34:20 35:3 & 39:24 40:6 & Harding 1:1 & 34:2 \\
\hline 47:9 90:4 & 40:11,15,19 & 20:4,9 51:13 & hedgerow 27:19 \\
\hline grew \(26: 10,11\) & 40:25 41:4,6 & 51:18 60:18 & 27:21 55:8 \\
\hline ground 81:19 & 41:8,14,23 & 61:15 67:13 & hedgerows 55:3 \\
\hline ground-based & 42:3,15,23 & 86:12 88:10 & 55:4 \\
\hline 19:17 35:20 & 43:4,13 & hardship 35:9 & hedges 21:4,4 \\
\hline 37:5 & 49:16 52:14 & hate 93:9 & 27:9 \\
\hline Group 16:20 & 52:25 57:21 & hawks 33:7,18 & height 22:7 \\
\hline Group's 16:22 & 58:19 59:4 & hazard 86:2,7 & 30:4 \\
\hline Grovers 3:5 & 63:5 65:11 & 88:22 89:19 & helpful 12:15 \\
\hline grow 21:12,15 & 65:20,23 & 90:22,22 & hereinbefore \\
\hline 21:23 22:3 & 66:3,11,16 & Headquarters & 97:8 \\
\hline 22:12 23:14 & 67:24 68:7 & 3:14 & hesitate 88:6 \\
\hline 23:18,19 & 68:12,25 & health 18:9 & hesitation \\
\hline 25:23 26:1 & 69:14,17,24 & 19:18 35:21 & 89:9 \\
\hline 26:16,18 & 70:20 71:13 & 35:24 44:20 & high 21:18 \\
\hline 28:1,3 42:10 & 71:18 73:3 & 54:7 & 22:11 34:12 \\
\hline 47:19 & 74:3,19 76:5 & healthy 60:23 & 36:7 \\
\hline growing 29:25 & 76:12 77:7 & 61:7,8,25 & higher 26:14 \\
\hline 41:11 & 78:8 80:5 & hear 5:12 9:19 & 28:20 \\
\hline grown 21:23 & 83:19 84:3,7 & 12:5 13:25 & HIGHWAY 1:22 \\
\hline 22:2 & 84:10,20 & 14:12,15,19 & hired 6:25 \\
\hline growth 25:6 & 85:15,25 & 14:20,24,25 & historic 44:11 \\
\hline 26:10 34:10 & 86:6,21,25 & 59:19 65:13 & Historical \\
\hline 47:8 57:11 & 87:10,18 & 73:24 74:8 & 67:14 \\
\hline guess 38:2 & 93:1,16 & 82:17 83:24 & history 37:22 \\
\hline 76:25 77:2 & 95:15,22 & heard 9:4 11:7 & 57:14 \\
\hline guidelines & HAMILTON 1:23 & 11:23 12:3 & hit 36:13 \\
\hline 51:21, 22 & hammer 89:21 & 63:15,16 & hold 24:1 \\
\hline guns 64:21 & hand 16:11 & 65:3,15 & hollow 61:21 \\
\hline guys 54:23 & 95:23 & 67:25 68:1 & 62:1,14 \\
\hline 75:24 93:5 & handle 75:12 & 71:4,18 & 87:23 88:5 \\
\hline 93:19,24 & hands 77:16 & 73:13 74:6 & 89:17,19, 22 \\
\hline 94:14 95:14 & hanging 74:16 & 76:13,21,24 & 90:7 \\
\hline H & happen \(36: 15\) & 81:23 82:2,2 & home 8:23 \\
\hline & & 82:25 83 & honest 81:15 \\
\hline habit 47:8 & \[
81: 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 87: 22 \\
& 94: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & hospital7:5 hospitalized \\
\hline Hall 2:11 5:10 & happening & hearing 7:5 & 7:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
hour 78:18
hours 25:22
73:7
house 34:25
62:18
housing 57:6
huge 85:11
Hugh 2:7 15:9
43:20 63:19
79:12 80:7
81:5 82:7
83:20 85:9
86:23,25
92:13
hundred \(46: 10\)
77:4 92:8
HYLAND 3:12
I
ice 62:3
ID 4:11
idea 63:1
82:23
ideal 25:22
identified 50:22
identify 12:13
12:18 50:18
68:5
identifying
50:14
impact 24:21
25:9 27:17
29:19, 20
34:1,2 42:11
impacting \(36: 4\)
impacts 54:8
impairs \(70: 17\)
71:3
implement
56:19
implicit 85:4
implied 42: 23
implying 32:7
importance
57:11,12,13
\(57: 14\)
important
52:21 59:13
imposes 70:25
improper 75:21
improve 54:6
improvement
9:7
improvements 8:6
inappropriate 65:19
inches 44:4
45:2,4,4,10
45:11,15,15
45:18 57:11
80:15
include 84:9
including 86:8
increase 47:12
increased 27:22
indicate 86:5
indicated 7:2 7:21 32:25 35:14 36:13 71:13
indigenous 33:4,13
indiscrimi...
53:8,15,21
53:25 54:12
individual
80:2,10
individuals 6:18,21,23
indulge 68:21
infection 7:3 7:4
inform 57:14
information
15:14 43:15 48:23 51:5
57:9 72:12
72:22 73:22
informed 7:7

23:2,3 57:1
informs 70:14
initially
82:10
input 67:17,18
insects 33:11
inspect 51:6
inspected
53:20
instability
28:12
install 8:5
53:24 54:5
55:8
intend 11:19
12:14
intended 10:11
intent 35:12
58:4
intention 9:6
intercept
29:24 30:6
interest 70:24
interesting
12:11
interjecting
70:22
International
17:16 36:2
interpret
58:24
interpreta...
13:7
interrupt
68:16 84:14
93:22
interrupted
8:14
interval 21:1
intervene
79:25
introducing
72:24
invalidate
87:9
inventories

16:24
inventory
30:25
involve 9:19
involved 58:3
61:5
involves 37:7
irrelevant 8:12
ISA 17:12, 14
17:15 18:16
19:20
issuance 43:24
54:16
issue 7:8 9:7
9:7 13:15
14:8,9,18
40:7 67:8
74:3 76:8,9
76:9 77:8
78:17 83:4
85:12 86:5
88:11 89:7
90:6 92:16
92:20
issued 13:17
issues 11:18
57:7 65:2,11 65:12
issuing 59:16
Item 20:12
31:16
items 79:4
J

J3:13
Jersey 1:1,23
3:6,16 17:11
17:24, 25
18:2 21:2,7
21:12,15
46:21,22,24
97:5
Jim 47 : 15
job 54:5
John 60:6
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline judgment 38:5 & knowing 68:10 & 95:20, 25 & 90:25 \\
\hline 58:8,23 & knowledge & Lakind's 15:1 & leaves 6:14 \\
\hline 59:10 67:9 & 66:17 & 81:23 & 66:9,10 \\
\hline 88:6 92:17 & knowledgeable & land 9:4 40:1 & leeway 53:2 \\
\hline juice 64:16 & 11:18 & 40:5 43:8 & left 66:1 \\
\hline jump 72:14 & knows 12:11 & 57:5 68:7 & legal 1:20, 21 \\
\hline June 18:16 & & 69:17 & 66:17 69:11 \\
\hline junipers 47:9 & L & landscape 49:6 & 74:3 \\
\hline 90:4 & ladder 28:19 & landscaped & legally 28:10 \\
\hline justified & ladders 28:15 & 20:14 & 66:15 84:16 \\
\hline 13:17 & laid 72:8 & landscaping & legitimate \\
\hline justifies 9:24 & Lakind 3:3,4 & 9:22 & 31:12 60:12 \\
\hline & 4:4 5:1,3,10 & large 8:19,21 & 60:14 \\
\hline K & 5:16,21 6:15 & 19:14 23:10 & lemon 64:16 \\
\hline keep 11:3 & 8:9,15 10:13 & 43:24 44:1,2 & let's 13:25, 25 \\
\hline 41:14,16 & 10:22 11:10 & 44:22,23 & 14:8 15:8,13 \\
\hline 62:15 68:20 & 11:14 12:12 & 45:2,4,6,10 & 39:16 77:10 \\
\hline 86:10 & 12:13 13:19 & 45:15 54:23 & level 19:16 \\
\hline keeping 86:19 & 14:2,17 & 54:24 55:13 & 35:19 36:21 \\
\hline keeps 40:19 & 15:23, 25 & 57:10 61:20 & 36:23 37:4,4 \\
\hline kick 5:8 & 16:8,16 18:1 & 79:18 80:15 & 37:6,7 38:17 \\
\hline kill 87:25 & 19:3,4 22:6 & 80:16 81:7,8 & 55:14 56:6 \\
\hline killed 30:19 & 22:9,10 31:8 & 81:17 87:1,5 & 71:11 \\
\hline kills 93:8 & 31:15,22 & 87:6,7 88:5 & levels 36:22 \\
\hline kind 37:7 & 32:1,10,17 & 92:5 & 37:14 \\
\hline 49:12 51:1 & 34:7 35:2 & larger 29:22 & liability \\
\hline know 9:5 10:1 & 37:17,20,24 & 29:24 30:3 & 61:22 62:16 \\
\hline 12:15 28:9 & 38:8,16 & 33:18 & 86:8 91:2,5 \\
\hline 34:25 40:4 & 39:12 43:7 & late 83:6 & 91:8,18,21 \\
\hline 40:20 41:9 & 49:13 50:4 & law 68:7 69:17 & 92:7,15,20 \\
\hline 42:11 48:5 & 50:11 52:9 & 76:20 82:21 & 92:21 93:4 \\
\hline 49:8,11,23 & 52:17 55:16 & lawn 18:3 & 93:11 \\
\hline 49:25 50:1,9 & 56:8,12,16 & 34:14,19 & liberality \\
\hline 58:21, 24 & 58:21 60:1 & Lawrenceville & 9:25 \\
\hline 60:23 61:1 & 64:14,17,24 & 3:6 & licensed 17:11 \\
\hline 61:16 62:11 & 65:5,14,21 & lawyer 76:19 & licenses 17:9 \\
\hline 63:22,24 & 66:1,18 67:4 & lay 86:2 & life 71:25 \\
\hline 68:5 69:25 & 67:5 68:1,4 & lean 48:2,8 & lifespan 46:12 \\
\hline 71:15 72:15 & 68:10, 25 & 61:8,21 & limited 36:23 \\
\hline 73:4 74:4,6 & 69:3, 6, 16, 20 & 62:18 & 63:21 \\
\hline 75:21 76:20 & 70:2,5,6,23 & leaning 62:18 & line 22:19 \\
\hline 76:23 83:24 & 71:6,7,10,13 & 78:11,12 & 23:14 24:16 \\
\hline 85:7 87:3,7 & 71:21 72:13 & 88:5 90:7 & 28:11 29:8 \\
\hline 88:6,22,23 & 74:25 75:2 & leans 36:9 & 32:13 58:25 \\
\hline 89:9,16,18 & 75:13,18,25 & learned 82:20 & 93:22 \\
\hline 92:19 94:17 & 93:3 95:17 & leave 83:18 & Linked 18:15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline linking 38:5 & located 35:11 & main 14:8,9 & 80:23 \\
\hline Linson 8:3, 8 & 50:23 & 47:3 48:23 & Mat thew 4:3 \\
\hline 8:17 11:7,23 & LOCATION 1:11 & maintain 27:24 & 10:13 16:13 \\
\hline 19:7 21:16 & long 28:11 & 44:12 & 47:7,25 \\
\hline 31:17 33:20 & 79:17 & maintenance & 89:15 \\
\hline 47:2,6 48:13 & longer 28:6 & 27:23 28:5 & mature 21:9 \\
\hline 48:16,21, 25 & look 25:1 36:4 & 31:5 & 25:2 34:11 \\
\hline 52:15 56:24 & 47:10 69:22 & majority 22:16 & 42:9 44:6,13 \\
\hline 58:22 59:17 & 92:12 & 30:20 66:13 & 45:22 \\
\hline 60:17,23 & looked 44:4 & making 10:2 & maturity 21:17 \\
\hline 61:20,24 & 62:12 & mallet 19:19 & 28:4 \\
\hline 62:11 64:7 & looking 11:3 & 35:22 62:13 & Mazzucco 47:15 \\
\hline 67:16 68:12 & 12:22 24:25 & 89:21 & 49:6,11,23 \\
\hline 72:1 80:25 & 25:1 31:14 & man's 8:23 & 50:14 51:6 \\
\hline 81:2 82:3,4 & 34:15 36:24 & management & 53:19 \\
\hline 82:11 83:1,8 & 37:1 44:6 & 16:24 17:5 & mean 9:22 11:3 \\
\hline 83:17 85:22 & 48:22 51:17 & 36:1 61:10 & 21:7 26:5 \\
\hline 86:20 88:8 & 51:18 52:24 & MARKED 4:13 & 33:7 42:8,21 \\
\hline 88:13,19 & 55:6 58:11 & Maselli 2:5 & 48:18 58:20 \\
\hline 89:2,13 90:3 & looks 23:12 & 15:8 59:21 & 58:24 63:3 \\
\hline 90:8,16,21 & 62:13 64:12 & 60:3, 6, 20 & 64:25 72:20 \\
\hline 91:11 92:8 & Lori 2:12 6:9 & 62:5 77:20 & 73:1 76:24 \\
\hline Linson's 40:12 & 66:5 77:14 & 77:24 78:3,6 & 78:7,7 84:19 \\
\hline 57:15 59:10 & 94:25 95:23 & 78:11,14 & 86:11,14 \\
\hline 67:9 78:9 & losing 62:23 & 79:25 80:9 & 89:8 91:21 \\
\hline 79:2,7, 24 & loss 81:18 & 81:10 89:10 & 92:2 \\
\hline 83:11 87:23 & lost 10:4 & 89:23 92:15 & meaning 29:1,3 \\
\hline 93:10 94:22 & lot 24:17 & 93:19 95:4,5 & 30:8 \\
\hline Lisa 97:3,15 & 37:20 48:6 & Master 9:14 & meaningless \\
\hline list 13:20 & 48:14 83:15 & 11:6 13:9 & 57:17 \\
\hline 45:21 & 85:5 94:14 & 20:4 39:6 & means 79:5 \\
\hline listed 33:20 & lots 24:17 & 44:7,9 51:16 & 83:21 \\
\hline 38:23 & 33:25 34:3 & 54:10 56:20 & meant 58:6,9 \\
\hline listen 60:15 & 65:1 88:10 & 57:2,3,16 & measure 37:11 \\
\hline little 11:17 & love 88:4 & 58:11 64:6,8 & 45:20 \\
\hline 45:5 46:14 & low \(36: 7,18\) & 64:9 70:13 & measured 44:4 \\
\hline 48:3 76:7 & 44:18 71:12 & master's 17:7 & measurements \\
\hline 94:17 & low-risk 36:8 & material 83:9 & 45:23 \\
\hline live 29:4 & lower 19:19 & materials & meeting 95:16 \\
\hline lives 41:18 & 34:13,18,18 & 42:12 & 95:21 \\
\hline 65:1,9 & 35:22 42:11 & matrix 36:6 & meetings 69:5 \\
\hline LLC 1:5 3:9 & & Matt 16:9 & 72:21 78:21 \\
\hline LLP 3:12 & M & matter 19:8 & ets \(86: 1\) \\
\hline load 44:8 & M 2:1,1 & 41:12 57:25 & member 65:4,21 \\
\hline loading 44:7 & magazines 50:2 & 67:15,22 & 67:14,15 \\
\hline 44:10 & mail 69:9 & 74:20,22 & 77:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline members 6:5 & 30:7,12 & 64:25 71:24 & 5:6,8 10:9 \\
\hline 7:23 14:12 & month 19:13 & need 7:8,24 & 10:19 11:1 \\
\hline 14:20 37:22 & Morristown & 8:5 9:4 12:1 & 12:6 14:3 \\
\hline 39:18 43:19 & 3:16 & 13:5 14:9,25 & 15:3,7,9,12 \\
\hline 46:1 54:22 & motion 94:20 & 37:21 51:24 & 15:15,18,23 \\
\hline 59:23 65:15 & mouth 55:17 & 59:6,16,18 & 16:6 17:24 \\
\hline 66:8,10 & 85:24 & 62:24 63:22 & 18:10,14 \\
\hline 77:18 78:2 & move 15:2,5,8 & 66:12,15 & 21:25 31:8 \\
\hline memo 9:3 71:14 & 15:13 48:14 & 67:3 68:22 & 31:22 32:6 \\
\hline 85:17 & 50:11 52:19 & 73:8 74:11 & 34:5,20,24 \\
\hline memorialized & 72:7 77:10 & 74:13,23 & 37:19 39:16 \\
\hline 95:15 & 86:13 94:21 & 76:3,7 77:2 & 39:22 41:12 \\
\hline mention \(58: 17\) & moved 53:22 & 77:5 88:17 & 41:22 43:18 \\
\hline mentioned 8:17 & 73:9,9 & 93:19 & 43:22 45:5 \\
\hline 10:16 80:2 & mow 75:7 & needed 53:16 & 45:11, 25 \\
\hline 81:10 & multiple 27:7 & 71:20 & 46:7,12,16 \\
\hline mentions 44:9 & Municipal & needs 44:19 & 46:20, 25 \\
\hline methodology & 20:10 & 56:24 & 48:13,21 \\
\hline 37:8 & mute 47:4 & negative 42:24 & 49:1,18 \\
\hline MICHAEL 2:3 & & neglected 72:6 & 51:23 52:3 \\
\hline middle 7:1 & N & negligible & 52:19, 23 \\
\hline Mike 14:22 & N 4:1 & 36:16 & 53:3 55:10 \\
\hline 59:7,21 & naive 94:2,17 & neighbor 42:19 & 55:19 56:8 \\
\hline 64:25 68:17 & name 41:7 & 61:6 & 56:13 57:20 \\
\hline 68:23 78:15 & native 21:2,2 & neighboring & 57:23 58:16 \\
\hline 92:13 & 21:6,12 31:6 & 36:24 42:4 & 59:3,5,20,24 \\
\hline miles 61:2 & 32:25 46:21 & 42:18 47:18 & 60:5 61:18 \\
\hline Mill 3:5 & 46:23 54:9 & neighbors 61:6 & 61:23 62:4 \\
\hline mind 10:6 & 57:11 & 93:20,25 & 62:22 63:13 \\
\hline 14:18 41:17 & natural 17:5 & neither 8:18 & 63:19, 25 \\
\hline 44:5 59:9,14 & 26:10 28:1 & nest 33:8,17 & 64:4,12,19 \\
\hline minimal 34:18 & 44:12 48:8 & 33:18 & 65:3,25 66:5 \\
\hline minute 67:6 & 54:9 & never 68:1 & 66:14 67:2 \\
\hline minutes 53:19 & naturalized & 75:22 & 68:15,23 \\
\hline 68:20, 22 & 46:21 & new 1:1,23 3:6 & 70:4 71:5,8 \\
\hline 70:3 & naturally & 3:16 12:21 & 71:11 72:10 \\
\hline mishear 22:5 & 21:12,15 & 13:22 17:11 & 73:18 74:1 \\
\hline misquoting & nature 16:21 & 17:24, 25 & 74:11, 25 \\
\hline 55:17 & 17:1 28:20 & 18:2, 20, 22 & 75:3,17,24 \\
\hline missed 11:25 & near 25:13 & 21:2,6,12,15 & 76:1,7,16 \\
\hline mistaken 83:19 & nearly 9:11 & 26:15 46:21 & 77:4,10,17 \\
\hline 84:2 & necessarily & 46:21, 24 & 77:22 78:1,5 \\
\hline moderate 36:7 & 42:11 47:16 & 55:8, 25 & 78:13,15 \\
\hline moments 39:9 & 73:19 & 89:23, 25 & 79:8,11,21 \\
\hline 78:18 & necessary & 93:22 97:4 & 80:4,25 81:4 \\
\hline monoculture & 11:22 14:14 & Newlin 2:2 5:1 & 81:12,20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 82:5 83:2 & objection & old 46:3,11 & 56:18,19 \\
\hline 84:1 85:9,18 & 32:13,16 & 57:13 86:14 & 57:1,16 58:5 \\
\hline 86:12,23 & 43:8 & once 13:2 & 58:6,12,24 \\
\hline 87:12,16,19 & objections & ones 33:2 & 59:1,10 \\
\hline 88:8,16 90:8 & 83:12 & 45:18 & 64:13 67:10 \\
\hline 90:17,23 & objector 43:11 & onsite 33:2 & 67:21 69:1 \\
\hline 91:14 92:11 & obligation & open 19:20 & 79:3,14,17 \\
\hline 92:24 93:5 & 67:10 & 21:23 22:2 & 81:7 83:14 \\
\hline 93:14,17 & obligations & 74:16 & 83:15,17 \\
\hline 94:1,13,20 & 70:25 & opening 35:23 & 84:21 85:10 \\
\hline 94:23,25 & observed 56:1 & 51:14 74:6 & original 93:21 \\
\hline 95:8,9,14,19 & obviously & opined 40:6 & originally \\
\hline 96:2 & 10:11 26:12 & opinion 19:7 & 23:1 56:16 \\
\hline nice 33:10 & 27:20 34:13 & 38:15, 25 & outbreak 30:15 \\
\hline 89:3 & 76:19 94:10 & 39:5 40:12 & outcome 83:22 \\
\hline Northwest 21:3 & occurs 30:15 & 40:17 48:18 & outside 67:9 \\
\hline Notary 97:4 & October 95:24 & 52:4,8,13,14 & overhang 26:1 \\
\hline note 31:9 32:8 & 97:17 & 52:16 54:12 & override 88:6 \\
\hline 81:25 & officer 40:7 & 60:13 77:23 & overturn 79:23 \\
\hline noted 41:22 & 54:16 61:15 & 85:15 & 93:10 \\
\hline 51:22 85:9 & 69:9 & opportunity & overturning \\
\hline notice 50:22 & OFFICER'S 1:6 & 10:24 12:2 & 82:13 \\
\hline 68:8 72:20 & oh 22:8 48:16 & 13:13 16:3 & owned 55:24 \\
\hline notion 70:8 & 79:8 & 18:7 73:14 & owner 26:19 \\
\hline 75:14 & okay 6:15 8:15 & oppose 65:17 & 35:10,11 \\
\hline number 8:21 & 10:19 11:1 & 66:21 & 38:11 53:1 \\
\hline 23:4 33:22 & 12:6 15:20 & opposed 27:25 & 90:10,12 \\
\hline 45:7 56:20 & 16:8 19:2 & opposing 73:13 & owns 40:21 \\
\hline 56:21 57:3 & 28:25 33:12 & option 85:20 & 41:10,19 \\
\hline 64:25 67:7 & 37:24 39:24 & orchard 28:19 & 91:2,5 \\
\hline 80:20 83:21 & 40:11,19 & order 10:10 & \\
\hline 83:23 85:19 & 41:23 42:3 & 25:17 28:14 & P \\
\hline 92:12 & 43:4 45:24 & 38:14 56:21 & p.m1:13 96:3 \\
\hline nurses 7:12 & 46:25 47:1 & 71:24 & Pacific 21:3 \\
\hline 0 & 50:17, 21.1 & ordinance 12:1 & page 4:2,11 \\
\hline oak 21:10 & \(51: 2,5\)
\(56: 7,8\)
53:9 & 13:7,18 & 44:10 \\
\hline 25:15,24 & 63:25 65:14 & 31:11,13,16 & paperwork \\
\hline 30:1 45:3,19 & 68:23 69:19 & 33:20 38:6 & 68:13 \\
\hline 46:15 50:25 & 70:6 72:13 & 38:23 39:5,7 & paragraph 38:3 \\
\hline 51:1 80:21 & 75:3 77:10 & 39:10 51:13 & parent 60:24 \\
\hline object 37:16 & 83:2 84:10 & 51:15,19, 21 & park 89:4 \\
\hline 43:12,13 & 86:21,24 & 51:25 52:6,7 & part 8:20 \\
\hline 49:13 50:4 & 87:10,19,21 & 52:8,18 53:7 & 19:15 31:1 \\
\hline 51:20 & 93:16 94:19 & 53:11 54:15 & 44:11 63:15 \\
\hline objecting 58:1 & 95:17 & 55:2,17 & 78:20 79:16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 86:9 & 52:10 & 22:24 23:1 & 94:17 \\
\hline partial 25:21 & PERRETTI 3:12 & 24:12 32:24 & plicata 20:25 \\
\hline particular & person 10:12 & 61:9 & plot 51:8 \\
\hline 82:8 & 36:9,18 & planner 6:25 & plotted 53:20 \\
\hline particularly & 40:23 41:1,8 & 7:19,24 9:4 & Plus 73:12 \\
\hline 36:14 & 41:10 44:19 & 10:12,16 & point 14:6,17 \\
\hline parts 69:18 & 82:19 & 11:6,12 & 23:4 28:5 \\
\hline patterns 71:23 & personal 91:7 & 12:21 13:5 & 39:14 54:14 \\
\hline penetrate & personally & 14:9,15 15:1 & 61:13 63:11 \\
\hline 26:15 & 14:16 63:8 & 16:2 39:15 & 73:20 81:18 \\
\hline people 8:20 & 82:24 88:20 & 52:11,12 & 81:23 \\
\hline 10:7 38:6 & 92:18 & 56:10 57:25 & pointed 9:8 \\
\hline 41:16 48:7 & perspective & 58:11,14 & 85:16 \\
\hline 65:24 67:18 & 83:3 & 59:6,16,19 & points 47:3 \\
\hline 83:20 85:19 & pest 30:19 & 62:24 63:7 & 48:23 \\
\hline perceived 7:25 & pests 30:14 & 63:11,21,23 & pole 28:19,19 \\
\hline percent 31:2,3 & photograph & 64:3 79:16 & policies 56:20 \\
\hline 31:3 77:4 & 51:7 & planners 11:16 & policy 56:18 \\
\hline 89:19 92:9 & photographs & 11:17,17 & poll 58:17 \\
\hline Percentage. & 72:7,25 & 58:3 64:5 & 59:5 66:12 \\
\hline 66:19 & phrase 26:4 & planning 9:11 & 77:17 78:1,3 \\
\hline perfect 38:6 & picked 13:22 & 11:18,19 & pollen 61:1 \\
\hline 47:5 & 45:6 & 31:7 & pollinators \\
\hline perfectly & picnic \(48: 1,7\) & plans 16:24, 25 & 33:11,15 \\
\hline 31:12 & piece 39:16,17 & 49:6 51:9 & poor 51:24 \\
\hline performed & pine 23:24, 25 & plant 20:25 & population \\
\hline 19:13,16, 20 & place 55:6 & 26:12 42:12 & 30:12, 25 \\
\hline performing & 62:23 97:8 & 90:4 & 33:13 \\
\hline 19:25 & placement 32:5 & planted 20:22 & portion 23:7 \\
\hline perimeter 23:6 & places 27:14 & 24:13 25:14 & 29:5 35:22 \\
\hline 24:6 & plan 9:14 11:6 & 27:5,21,23 & 37:11 \\
\hline permit 11:21 & 13:9 20:2,4 & 28:2 47:17 & portions 28:20 \\
\hline 13:16 19:8 & 20:14,17,18 & planting 23:5 & position 7:16 \\
\hline 20:3 39:3 & 20:23, 25 & 27:17 31:20 & possess 17:9 \\
\hline 40:8,16,24 & 22:18 23:2 & 75:16 & possible 6:17 \\
\hline 41:2 43:24 & 23:12,12 & plantings & 31:9 44:14 \\
\hline 44:1 45:14 & 26:12 31:20 & 89:25 & potential \\
\hline 53:1 54:17 & 32:3,5 39:6 & Plaza 3:14 & 71:25 86:8 \\
\hline 58:9 59:16 & 41:3 44:7,9 & please 5:9 & 88:22 92:7 \\
\hline 60:7,16 & 44:12 51:16 & 12:6,13 16:9 & potentially \\
\hline 61:12 79:24 & 54:10 56:20 & 16:18 26:6 & 22:17 25:20 \\
\hline 81:8 84:18 & 57:2,3,5,5,6 & 30:11 43:22 & 87:24 \\
\hline 87:7,9 & 57:16 64:6,8 & 56:13,14 & practical \\
\hline permits 64:8 & 64:9 70:13 & 57:23 70:4 & 79:18 \\
\hline 64:10 & 72:3 & 74:1 75:11 & practice 36:1 \\
\hline permitted & planned 20:14 & 78:5 92:22 & precedent 58:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline precipitated & 26:9 & 41:16, 20 & 56:24 69:5 \\
\hline 7:25 8:2 & probably 23:18 & 55:23 65:10 & 72:21 77:12 \\
\hline precisely & 46:11 78:20 & 71:6,9 & 85:11 86:5 \\
\hline 12:19 & 83:15 & property 19:13 & 88:11 89:7 \\
\hline preemptory & probed 19:20 & 23:8,13,21 & 90:14 97:4 \\
\hline 69:13 & probing 35:22 & 24:10 25:9 & publications \\
\hline prefer 72:12 & 37:6 & 26:1,8,9 & 27:3 \\
\hline preference & problem 61:3 & 28:8,11,18 & publicly 72:22 \\
\hline 5:24,25 7:22 & procedural & 28:23 34:15 & purpose 44:11 \\
\hline prefers 25:21 & 67:7 76:9 & 34:16,19 & 51:12,17 \\
\hline prepare 73:15 & procedurally & 35:10,11 & 53:6 \\
\hline prepared 5:24 & 76:19 & 36:9,10,19 & purposes 43:11 \\
\hline 7:9 9:14 & procedure 70:7 & 36:25 37:2,2 & 84:11 \\
\hline 49:6 50:13 & 72:15,23 & 40:21 41:11 & put 12:12 55:6 \\
\hline preposterous & proceed 7:10 & 42:4,18 43:3 & 85:24 \\
\hline 76:24 & 14:12 15:24 & 44:19 47:18 & putting 55:16 \\
\hline prescribe 27:3 & proceeding & 47:20, 20, 22 & \\
\hline presence 33:5 & 12:24 40:2 & 48:9, 9, 11, 12 & Q \\
\hline 35:8 & 96:3 & 53:1 55:24 & qualified 11:5 \\
\hline present 2:10 & proceedings & 65:2 71:2,24 & 17:14 \\
\hline 5:20,21,25 & 13:11 & 75:6 86:9 & qualitative \\
\hline 9:5 37:11 & process 61:11 & 88:15 90:10 & 19:21 36:3 \\
\hline 66:8 94:7 & 61:12 67:17 & 90:12 91:7 & question 9:11 \\
\hline presentation & processing & proposal 14:24 & 18:13 37:16 \\
\hline 5:23 & 64:9 83:17 & proposed 29:12 & 38:1,2 43:1 \\
\hline presented & produce 10:24 & 29:16 33:24 & 43:21 44:21 \\
\hline 67:12, 22 & professional & 54:8 & 49:21 50:7 \\
\hline 68:11 82:12 & 11:15,16 & propriety 40:7 & 59:9,14,22 \\
\hline preservation & 18:8 58:8 & protect 54:10 & 60:3 63:10 \\
\hline 16:25 & 70:1 82:18 & 71:24 & 77:21 78:6,8 \\
\hline preserve 54:10 & proffer 9:15 & protection & 78:10 84: \\
\hline 55:4,10,12 & proffered 52:1 & 16:25 & questioned \\
\hline 88:21 & proffers 12:16 & provide 11:19 & 57:7,18 \\
\hline preserved & project 19:16 & 34:21 & questioning \\
\hline 43:25 44:13 & 50:15 & provided 20:1 & 32:14 \\
\hline 79:19 & promised 67:5 & 20:5 70:10 & questions 8:1 \\
\hline preserving & prone 86:1 & provides 20:13 & 10:10,15 \\
\hline 57:13 & propensity & provision & 13:9 31:10 \\
\hline pretty 62:7 & 62:21 & 54:15 85:2 & 39:13,18, 20 \\
\hline 63:8 64:13 & proper 19:8 & provisions & 43:16,18 \\
\hline 64:16,22 & 73:25 76:19 & 57:10 & 45:25 46:2 \\
\hline 85:11 & 84:19 & prune 26:2,13 & 47:2 49:2 \\
\hline prevent & properly 26:16 & 28:11,14 & 56:7 \\
\hline previous 44:14 & 44:8 & pruning 25:25 & quick 18 \\
\hline privacy 70:17 & properties 1:5 & public 35:9 & 38:1 \\
\hline private 25:25 & 3:9 24:23 & 37:1 38:11 & quite 43:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 79:15 & 60:20 66:14 & 54:11 72:4 & replace 36:15 \\
\hline quote 31:24 & 79:7 90:13 & rejected 60:8 & 55:11 \\
\hline 44:9 & reasonable & 60:16,17,18 & replacement \\
\hline quoting 53:7 & 39:1,4 40:13 & 60:21 & 9:16 20:9,14 \\
\hline & 52:6 & related 12:25 & 20:17,18 \\
\hline R & reasons 8:16 & relatively & 22:18 31:18 \\
\hline R2:1 97:1 & 44:20 68:8 & 21:5 & 32:3 41:2 \\
\hline radar 37:10 & recall 8:4 & release 91:15 & 47:14 61:9 \\
\hline Rahenkamp 6:25 & 21:17 40:3,9 & relevant 8:11 & 72:3 \\
\hline rainwater & 41:7 60:18 & 17:9 38:8 & replacing 8:22 \\
\hline 29:25 30:5 & received 7:11 & 49:14,16 & replant 20:23 \\
\hline raise 16:10 & 69:8 & 57:9 & replanting \\
\hline raised 8:2 & recognize 32:1 & reliability & 20:2 32:14 \\
\hline 65:2 74:4,9 & recollection & 71:1 & report 31:1 \\
\hline raising 25:24 & 86:3 & relied 8:8 & 72:16 95:21 \\
\hline 26:4 77:16 & recommend 27:8 & rely 27:12 & Reporter 97:4 \\
\hline random 15:18 & recommenda. & 77:2 83:8 & Reporting 1:21 \\
\hline range 45:20 & 31:2 & remain 25:12 & represents \\
\hline 46:8,17 & record 9:2 & 50:19 & 52:25 \\
\hline rating 36:7 & 32:13 43:8 & remaining & request 5:11 \\
\hline 37:22 89:20 & 43:11 69:5 & 25:19 & 6:3,24 9:18 \\
\hline re-vegetating & 72:21 & remember 94:7 & 10:7 11:5 \\
\hline 20:15 & recuse 5:5 & removal 11:21 & 12:12 \\
\hline reach 26:15 & recused 66:8 & 13:16 19:7 & requested 9:25 \\
\hline 28:20 38:14 & refer 36:21 & 20:2 32:15 & requesting \\
\hline read 20:8 & 39:7 & 32:19, 25 & 6:19 7:18 \\
\hline 44:15 53:11 & reference & 33:23 40:8 & requests 9:19 \\
\hline 59:11 63:15 & 57:15 64:9 & 40:24 41:2 & require 25:23 \\
\hline 65:16, 22 & referred 36:20 & 47:11,13 & 88:20 \\
\hline 66:10 79:3 & 41:20 & 53:9,21 & required 28:16 \\
\hline reading 79:13 & referring 38:2 & 54:17 56:18 & 31:17 38:4 \\
\hline reads 35:7 & 39:8 & 58:2,4 60:7 & 51:6 54:4 \\
\hline 79:18 & refused 12:17 & 60:8,16 & 63:12 69:8 \\
\hline ready 80:19 & 12:17 & remove 39:3 & requirement \\
\hline real 65:6
really 9:9 & regard 25:19 & 54:1,6 & 66:17 \\
\hline really 9:9 & 26:23 27:19 & removed 19:15 & requirements \\
\hline 12:10 13:11 & 35:7 39:2 & 44:1,20 & 31:25 32:2 \\
\hline 13:11 14:16 & 70:16 & 45:19 50:14 & 51:22 \\
\hline 47:23 56:12 & registered & 53:17,24 & requires 27:22 \\
\hline 77:2 81:17 & 17:12 & 56:4 61:7 & 28:5 \\
\hline \(83: 593: 9\)
reason 7:18 & Rehenkamp 7:9 & removing 39:6 & requiring 94:8 \\
\hline reason 7:18 & 10:16,18,25 & 44:17 & resident 18:2 \\
\hline 8:7,19 12:19 & Rehenkamp's & renewed 18:17 & 58:1 \\
\hline 31:16 35:12 & 10:4 & RENZI 1:20 & resistant \\
\hline 53:25 54:6 & rein 13:24 & repeat 22:1 & 33:16 \\
\hline 58:13 60:13 & reiterate & 49:21 & resistograph \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 37:9 & 70:18, 20 & S2:1 4:9 & 94:24 \\
\hline resource 17:5 & RIKER 3:12 & safety 44:20 & Secondly 7:21 \\
\hline RESOURCES 1:20 & risk 16:24 & 85:12 86:5 & 70:12 \\
\hline respectfully & 17:13 19:21 & 88:11 89:7 & seconds 66:24 \\
\hline 13:23 & 19:22 36:3 & 90:14 & 72:19 73:17 \\
\hline respond 14:2 & 36:18, 22 & sample 89:20 & 73:23 74:23 \\
\hline 57:19 75:13 & 44:18 48:4 & SavATree 16:19 & 76:21 \\
\hline response 8:1 & 48:19 53:23 & 16:20, 21 & section 20:9 \\
\hline 31:15 & 54:7 91:1,1 & 17:8,18 & 34:18 \\
\hline rest 83:11 & 91:24 92:10 & save 88:4 & sections 44:10 \\
\hline 86:19 & Rita 2:6 15:3 & saved 73:6 & see 14:13,16 \\
\hline result 28:12 & 62:23 76:8 & 90:10 92:19 & 15:5 23:2,25 \\
\hline retained 19:5 & 76:16 82:5 & saving 54:23 & 24:25 25:2,3 \\
\hline 25:15 & 84:13 86:21 & 84:6 93:24 & 34:16,17 \\
\hline retention & 87:12 93:7 & saw 28:19 56:1 & 48:1 49:14 \\
\hline 19:24 & road 1:7 3:5 & saying 40:16 & 59:6 64:2,5 \\
\hline reverse 85:3 & 25:2 34:16 & 58:23 78:16 & 68:20 69:1 \\
\hline reversed 78:9 & 34:17 44:16 & 82:8 89:8 & 76:18 77:15 \\
\hline 84:12 & roadway 70:17 & 90:14, 21 & 82:11 83:5 \\
\hline review 11:6 & room 7:5 & says 9:14 & 85:10,18 \\
\hline 20:6 50:13 & root 23:17 & 18:16 31:20 & 92:18 93:9 \\
\hline reviewed 38:22 & 29:15, 20, 25 & 44:22 55:4 & seeds 24:1 \\
\hline 49:5 & 30:1,4 42:15 & 55:10, 12 & seeing 25:10 \\
\hline rewrite 59:1 & 42:16 & 68:7 85:2 & seek 30:23 \\
\hline ridiculous & root-wise 90:1 & 89:11,11 & 31:6 69:22 \\
\hline 70:9 72:20 & roots 23:20 & scaffolding & seeks 70:17 \\
\hline right 5:5 6:10 & 43:2 & 28:15 & sending 73:4 \\
\hline 7:22 11:4 & Rosenbaum 2: 4 & scenario 63:2 & sense 84:16 \\
\hline 14:10 16:11 & 15:13 18:12 & scheduled & sent 72:16 \\
\hline 18:6 28:11 & 18:15,20, 23 & 19:15 & separate 71:16 \\
\hline 34:14 39:10 & 19:2 64:2 & SCHERER 3 : 12 & separately \\
\hline 47:7 51:2,9 & 81:22 84:6,8 & Schommer 9:5 & 71:15 85:5 \\
\hline 52:4 54:20 & 87:21 88:25 & 11:5,19 13:6 & September 1:12 \\
\hline 54:23 55:5,9 & 91:2,5,17,20 & school 17:6 & septicemia \\
\hline 67:20 70:23 & 91:23 92:4 & 82:21 & 7:15 \\
\hline 70:24 72:24 & 94:24 95:10 & Science 17:4 & serious 88:20 \\
\hline 73:9 74:5 & 95:11 & screen 25:3,4 & seriousness \\
\hline 78:19 79:10 & routinely & 34:17,18 & 9:23 \\
\hline 81:25 85:23 & 27:12 & 35:4 51:18 & serve 33:13 \\
\hline 88:17 90:5 & row 90:5 & screening & served 33:5 \\
\hline 91:10 95:25 & rows 21:4 & 33:24 34:3,4 & Services 1:21 \\
\hline right-of-way & ruled 70:15 & 34:9,9,21, 22 & set 11:20 \\
\hline 22:20,24 & running 78:2 & 47:12 71:23 & 51:21 57:25 \\
\hline 25:13 35:4 & Rutgers 17:5 & season 29:25 & 97:8 \\
\hline 65:8 & & second 56:18 & seven 6:17, 21 \\
\hline rights 65:8 & S & 65:14 94:23 & 6:22 7:22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 10:7 62:9 & 90:12 & 15:16 63:14 & state 1:22 \\
\hline 65:23,24 & six 6:7,14 & 79:10 & 21:13 32:13 \\
\hline 66:9,15,21 & 57:11 62:8 & space 28:4 & 97:4 \\
\hline seven-member & 65:20 66:10 & spaced 27:25 & stated 8:7,10 \\
\hline 65:18 & 66:12,20 & spacing 21:1,1 & 8:16 \\
\hline seventh 83:22 & six-inch 44:23 & 27:9 & statement \\
\hline shade 24:9 & size 21:17 & speak 76:2 & 56:18 74:7 \\
\hline 26:13 27:24 & 29:19 80:22 & 80:12 & 82:20 \\
\hline 34:12 67:12 & 88:3 & specialist & statements \\
\hline 67:22 68:14 & skeptical 63:7 & 88:7 & 47:8 \\
\hline 69:4,10 & skip 33:21 & species 20:22 & states 43:24 \\
\hline 72:17 & slight 89:14 & 21:1 25:20 & stating 62:6 \\
\hline shading 42:13 & small 23:24 & 27:7,15 & statistically \\
\hline shed 24:2,3,5 & 24:1 & 30:13,15, 22 & 6:20 \\
\hline 25:6 & smaller 26:22 & 30:25 31:3 & statute 85:2 \\
\hline sheets 27:7,10 & 33:17 & 33:15 45:1 & staying 68:22 \\
\hline 27:13,15 & Society 17:16 & 50:22,24 & stenograph. \\
\hline 50:13,17 & 36:2 67:14 & 51:3 80:17 & 97:7 \\
\hline short 79:17 & solve 61:3 & specific 31:4 & stepped 66:3 \\
\hline shorter 46:15 & somebody 47:12 & 31:11 54:18 & steps 19:10 \\
\hline shot 13:19 & 65:25 68:14 & 74:12 80:14 & 25:18 26:19 \\
\hline sides 22:19 & 80:15 87:25 & specifically & stop 68:17 \\
\hline 73:24 & 89:13 91:6 & 40:10 45:21 & storm 62:3,20 \\
\hline signed 68:13 & someone's 91:7 & 85:2 86:6 & storms 62:8 \\
\hline significance & songbirds & specifies 81:7 & stormwater \\
\hline 60:21 & 33:17 & specimen 57:13 & 30:6 \\
\hline significant & sooner 27:21 & Speedwell 3:15 & straw 66:12 \\
\hline 33:23 60:9 & 74:4 & spent 78:21 & street 24:18 \\
\hline 62:8 80:20 & sorry 8:14 & 94:14 & 30:17, 20 \\
\hline 93:4 & 21:25 22:8 & spread 29:7,23 & strictly 79:13 \\
\hline simple 13:16 & 48:22 49:22 & 30:4,4 & strike 50:11 \\
\hline 64:22, 23 & 67:4 68:24 & spring 70:2 & strikes 11:8 \\
\hline 78:10 90:9 & 71:7 79:9 & spruce 26:8 & 47:24 85:8 \\
\hline simpler 44:22 & 84:13,14 & sprung 70:8 & stronger 76:8 \\
\hline simply 71:3 & 87:12 & 73:16 & structure \\
\hline 87:3 89:1 & sorts 64:20 & SQUARE 1:23 & 19:18 35:21 \\
\hline sincerely & sought 60:7 & squeezed 64:16 & 53:23 \\
\hline 94:13 & sound 19:18 & squirrels 33:9 & structure- \\
\hline sir 53:13 & 53:10 & stand 89:1 & 90:1 \\
\hline sit 81:25 & sounding 35:21 & standard 43:24 & structures \\
\hline site 20:2 & 37:6 & 56:24 57:1 & 9:20 \\
\hline 32:20 45:9 & sounds 58:25 & start 10:20 & study 27: 2 \\
\hline 51:7 53:24 & 63:3 74:19 & 78:16,22 & 30:25 31:4 \\
\hline 54:6 & 80:16 89:6 & started 17:7 & stuff 64:21 \\
\hline sites 17:1 & 93:18 94:2 & 38:2 & subdivision \\
\hline situation & SOVOLOS 2:8 & Starting 24:20 & 75:6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline subjective & susceptible & 25:23 28:3 & 71:19 73:8 \\
\hline 56:23,25 & 30:14 62:2 & taller 26:21 & 74:8 76:21 \\
\hline substantiate & sworn 16:14 & tapped 62:13 & 79:14 80:14 \\
\hline 37:21 & Symonds 2:7 & 62:14 & 80:22 81:22 \\
\hline sufficient & 15:10 43:20 & target 36:5,11 & 82:1,18,22 \\
\hline 85:19 & 43:23 44:21 & Tel1:24 3:7 & 83:13 87:4 \\
\hline suggest 5:11 & 45:14, 24 & 3:17 & 88:4 97:6 \\
\hline 11:2 15:22 & 63:20 79:13 & tell 10:10 & thank 5:3, 7, 16 \\
\hline 76:15 85:16 & 79:23 80:12 & 16:17 19:10 & 12:8 14:4 \\
\hline suggested 72:2 & 81:6,15 87:3 & 26:5 30:10 & 15:16 16:8 \\
\hline suggestion & 95:12,13 & 47:15 59:17 & 19:3 30:7 \\
\hline 14:11 & sympathy 71:11 & 72:8 73:1 & 45:24 49:3 \\
\hline Suite 1:22 3:5 & system 23:17 & 77:5 82:2 & 53:4 62:22 \\
\hline summary 72:11 & SZAFERMAN 3:3 & 89:5 & 67:5 75:12 \\
\hline summation & & tend 11:17 & 81:20 95:14 \\
\hline 66:23 67:4 & T & 24:5 27:23 & 95:17,19, 25 \\
\hline summer 33:11 & T4:9 97:1,1 & 28:1 30:5 & 96:2 \\
\hline sun 25:21 & T-Mobile 84:24 & 46:14 64:4 & Thanks 5: 6 \\
\hline sunlight 25:22 & table 11:3 & 73:3,11 & 19:2 59:8 \\
\hline Superior 12:25 & 48:1,7,14 & 83:14 & 63:25 68:23 \\
\hline support 14:23 & 80:3 81:11 & tends 29:24 & they'd 24 : 24 \\
\hline 39:6 82:19 & 92:22 & term 30:7 & thing 10:3 \\
\hline 82:22 85:20 & TAGLAIRINO & 36:20 & 16:1 47:5,13 \\
\hline 86:17,18 & 2:12 6:10 & terms 44:16 & 47:16 58:20 \\
\hline supported & 66:7 77:15 & 82:1 86:2 & 65:15 72:5 \\
\hline 11:25 & 95:2,4,6,8 & terrible 13:11 & 73:4 75:5,8 \\
\hline supporting & 95:10, 12, 24 & test 37:9 & 76:13 82:17 \\
\hline 9:17 & take 15:1 & 38:12 & 82:20 87:1 \\
\hline suppose 28:2 & 26:19 28:6 & testified & 89:21 90:20 \\
\hline 51:21 & 47:4 48:3,14 & 16:14 17:21 & things 8:16 \\
\hline supposed 59:1 & 64:8 66:23 & 21:16 26:24 & 17:1 31:23 \\
\hline supposedly & 78:25,25 & 34:1 39:25 & 64:24 82:15 \\
\hline 76:22 & 79:1 82:21 & 67:16 68:2 & 94:3 \\
\hline sure 9:19 16:6 & 83:3 90:13 & testify 52:10 & think 5:22 6:7 \\
\hline 18:14 19:12 & 90:13 92:21 & 55:14 57:25 & 6:14 7:8,22 \\
\hline 23:4 26:7 & 93:4,11 & 58:14 & 9:24 10:3,22 \\
\hline 31:12 35:19 & taken 25:18 & testifying & 11:14, 22, 24 \\
\hline 39:24 43:5 & 31:24 55:7 & 89:7 & 12:15 14:7 \\
\hline 48:16 56:10 & 78:19 97:7 & testimony 8:3 & 14:10,19 \\
\hline 67:4 69:1 & takes 15:5 & 9:5 11:20 & 15:5, 21 \\
\hline 85:13 91:10 & talk 57:10 & 16:4 38:3,13 & 18:10 26:24 \\
\hline 91:25 & talking 29:6 & 41:18 44:15 & 31:16 33:22 \\
\hline surprise 12:20 & 40:20 41:14 & 49:17 50:5,6 & 37:17, 20 \\
\hline surprised & 41:17 45:9 & 52:10 53:18 & 41:16 43:15 \\
\hline 11:22 & 80:7,8 & 54:3 56:3 & 47:1,7,11 \\
\hline survived 62:9 & tall 21:5 24:9 & 58:11 71:19 & 48:2 49:1,10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 49:18 53:2 & thunderstorm & 16:24,25 & 80:15,16 \\
\hline 53:16 54:4 & 89:5 & 17:11,13 & 81:8 82:8 \\
\hline 55:19 56:14 & Thursday 95:22 & 18:9 19:7,14 & 84:17 85:22 \\
\hline 57:21 58:19 & tie 6:20 & 19:18,19,19 & 86:10,11,13 \\
\hline 58:25 59:6 & tied 31:10 & 19:21, 22 & 87:7 88:14 \\
\hline 59:10,16,18 & time 1:13 5:22 & 20:2,8,14 & 88:19,21 \\
\hline 61:14,18 & 9:9 12:24 & 21:8 24:6 & 89:1,10,18 \\
\hline 63:8,11 & 13:21 30:17 & 25:15 28:13 & 89:25 90:1,6 \\
\hline 64:13,15 & 58:15 73:7 & 29:5,8 30:2 & 90:7,9 91:12 \\
\hline 65:7,8,12,18 & 75:15 84:25 & 30:3,18, 24 & 92:5,6,6,19 \\
\hline 66:2 67:25 & 94:15,15 & 31:4,18, 20 & 93:9,21 \\
\hline 70:12 71:10 & 97:7 & 32:2,5,14,14 & tree's 44:18 \\
\hline 71:19 72:2 & today 7:1 92:2 & 32:22 33:10 & trees 8:22 \\
\hline 73:14 74:3 & TOLL 1:24 & 35:8,10,15 & 19:17 20:22 \\
\hline 74:10 76:5 & Tom 5:6 & 35:20,21, 22 & 21:9,9,11,11 \\
\hline 76:12,14 & tonight 5:20 & 35:23 36:3,3 & 21:11,12 \\
\hline 77:7 80:22 & 12:9,20 13:3 & 36:8,9,12,17 & 23:3,6,19, 25 \\
\hline 80:24 82:7 & 13:13,15 & 36:18,24 & 24:2 25:12 \\
\hline 82:10,16 & 63:17 65:24 & 37:6,9,10,12 & 25:19,24, 25 \\
\hline 83:9,10,10 & 74:9 76:4 & 38:10 39:10 & 26:2,5, 8, 13 \\
\hline 83:16,23,24 & top 26:21 88:5 & 40:7,8,24 & 26:15,18,21 \\
\hline 84:1,20 85:4 & topped 81:2 & 41:2,2 44:2 & 26:24 27:23 \\
\hline 85:21, 25 & topple 72:2 & 44:4,6,23,23 & 28:3,11 \\
\hline 86:22 87:1 & total 58:14 & 44:24,24 & 29:11, 16, 23 \\
\hline 87:18 90:18 & totally 61:7 & 45:3,10 & 30:13, 13, 20 \\
\hline 90:20 91:9 & toto 93:16 & 47:10, 17, 17 & 30:20 31:6 \\
\hline 92:22,24 & tower 84:24 & 47:21,21, 22 & 32:19, 20, 24 \\
\hline 93:12 94:1 & town 44:12 & 48:2,2,6,10 & 32:25 33:6,8 \\
\hline thinking 59:17 & 54:15 74:17 & 48:15,19 & 34:12 35:8 \\
\hline 59:18 87:15 & 93:13 94:6 & 50:22 51:13 & 35:10 39:2,3 \\
\hline third 7:24 & town's 59:15 & 51:15,16,19 & 39:6 41:11 \\
\hline 95:22 & towns 30:18, 21 & 51:20 52:5 & 41:24 42:7,9 \\
\hline thorough 37:3 & 60:19 63:2 & 53:16 54:15 & 42:16,19 \\
\hline thoroughly & Township 1:1 & 54:17,18,24 & 43:25,25 \\
\hline 32:23 & 20:10 51:13 & 56:17 57:10 & 44:1,13,17 \\
\hline thought 22:4 & 51:19 61:15 & 58:1,3,7 & 45:1,7,8,9 \\
\hline 44:8 68:13 & 67:14 85:1 & 59:14,15 & 45:14, 16, 19 \\
\hline 70:21 80:9 & Township's & 60:7,8, 9, 11 & 45:19, 22, 22 \\
\hline 80:13 87:11 & 52:5 & 60:16, 22 & 46:6,15 \\
\hline 91:14 93:21 & traffic 74:12 & 61:1,3,6,9 & 47:14, 14, 19 \\
\hline three 36:22 & transcript & 61:14 62:13 & 50:14,18 \\
\hline 37:14 57:3,4 & 6:12 63:16 & 62:15,17 & 51:8 53:9,20 \\
\hline 69:7 73:7 & 65:16, 22 & 64:7 67:12 & 53:21 54:7,9 \\
\hline throw 59:2 & 66:10 97:6 & 67:22 68:14 & 54:9,23 55:6 \\
\hline 74:14 90:20 & tree 1:6 9:15 & 69:4,8,10 & 55:13,23 \\
\hline thuja 20:25 & 11:21 13:16 & 72:3,17 80:2 & 56:4 57:13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 60:11,23,25 & understand & 93:15,16 & 4:5 5:12 7:7 \\
\hline 61:1 62:12 & 16:7 43:6 & 94:21 & 12:3,5,7 \\
\hline 67:19 71:15 & 55:20 63:17 & upholding & 14:4 16:3 \\
\hline 75:7,16 & 64:6,19 & 83:20,21 & 32:12 37:15 \\
\hline 79:19 80:8 & 72:11 75:25 & upper 28:17 & 49:1,3,4,19 \\
\hline 80:11,17,18 & 82:7 & 29:5 & 50:7,12 \\
\hline 80:21,21 & understanding & urge 71:17 & 51:23 52:1,4 \\
\hline 81:7,17 87:2 & 21:19,22 & use 40:1,5 & 52:13,16,18 \\
\hline 87:6 88:11 & 63:20 82:9 & 43:8 57:5 & 52:21,25 \\
\hline 88:17 89:23 & 87:23 & 58:13 68:7 & 53:5 55:11 \\
\hline 93:23 & understands & 69:17 84:22 & 55:12,21 \\
\hline tried 87:5 & 57:8 & uses 67:11,21 & 56:7 57:7,8 \\
\hline trim 28:7,22 & undertake 20:6 & usually 30:25 & 57:17,19,22 \\
\hline 71:1 & unethical & utilities 8:6 & 57:24 66:25 \\
\hline trimmed 23:6 & 75:14,23 & 53:24 & 67:3 68:3 \\
\hline troublesome & unfair 91:22 & utility 9:6 & 70:1,11 \\
\hline 6:19 & 92:9 & 44:17 & 72:19 73:22 \\
\hline true 46:22 & unfortunately & & 74:21 75:3,4 \\
\hline 88:16 90:15 & 90:9 94:2 & V & 75:17,19, 22 \\
\hline 90:23 97:6 & unhealthy & VAN 1:7 & 94:2,11,19 \\
\hline trunk 62:1,2 & 61:19,23,24 & variance 84:22 & volunteer \\
\hline trunks 81:3 & 62:7 85:23 & varieties & 94:15 \\
\hline try 54:10 & uniform 26:20 & 57:12 & vote 6:20 \\
\hline trying 42:11 & uniformly & variety 20:24 & 10:23 12:9 \\
\hline 42:12 44:9 & 26:16,19 & 21:14 & 13:3, 25 \\
\hline 55:13 75:9 & unit 37:10 & various 38:22 & 65:17,18 \\
\hline 81:18 92:11 & universities & 69:17 & 67:1 73:21 \\
\hline turn 5:10 & 27:14 & vast 30:19 & 74:15 75:11 \\
\hline 32:18 & University & vegetation & 76:12,15 \\
\hline Twenty 45:11 & 17:5 & 75:7 & 77:8 78:4,18 \\
\hline two 8:1,16 & unnecessary & Videography & 78:25,25 \\
\hline 10:15 13:12 & 9:10 & 1:21 & 79:1,23 \\
\hline 56:21 70:2 & unreasonable & view 10:1 & 83:22 84:11 \\
\hline 73:7 78:21 & 52:15 & 44:12 78:24 & 95:1 \\
\hline 83:23 89:10 & updated 18:19 & 90:18 & votes 92:12 \\
\hline type 28:15 & 23:2,3 & views 70:18,20 & 93:18 \\
\hline 38:17 63:2 & upfront 73:5 & 70:24 78:2 & voting 66:10 \\
\hline 74:18 76:20 & upgrades 44:16 & visibility & 71:14 73:15 \\
\hline typically 63:2 & 53:25 & 27:19 & 73:21,21 \\
\hline U & upheld 78:9,12 & vistas 24:22 & 76:23 77:24 \\
\hline & 78:13 81:8 & 25:9 10.17 & 79:2 80:2 \\
\hline uncontrolled
\[
53: 8 \text { 54:2,12 }
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
83: 11 \\
\text { uphold } 79: 2,7
\end{gathered}
\] & visual 19:17
19:17 35:20 & 82:3 \\
\hline underneath & 79:22 82:4 & 35:20 36:23 & W \\
\hline 42:12 48:1 & 83:1 92:13 & 37:3 & Wait 72:10 \\
\hline 89:14 & 92:13,16 & Vitolo 3:13 & walk 42:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline walnut 21:11 & 52:10 59:25 & wildlife 33:4 & 42:24 67:11 \\
\hline 45:21 80:21 & 67:3 72:23 & willing 48:20 & 67:21 69:12 \\
\hline walnuts 33:8 & 72:24 73:15 & 87:8 90:25 & 82:21 83:3,4 \\
\hline 81:3 & 73:21 75:15 & 92:21 93:3 & wording 69:2 \\
\hline want 5:14, 20 & 75:18 76:23 & wind 14:14 & words 8:23 \\
\hline 6:17 10:23 & 79:1 81:18 & 62:3 & 55:16 85:23 \\
\hline 11:8,10 12:9 & 84:17,18 & window 24:25 & 85:24 \\
\hline 14:20 32:8 & 88:12 94:7 & windthrow 62:2 & work 16:19 \\
\hline 33:19 34:23 & 94:16 & windy 89:3 & 17:10,18 \\
\hline 43:5,14,14 & we've 13:1 & winter 25:5,8 & 43:9 49:12 \\
\hline 56:9,10 & 62:7 64:15 & wish 9:15 90:9 & 64:5 92:19 \\
\hline 58:17 59:23 & 76:21,24 & wished 28:7 & 93:6,19,25 \\
\hline 60:11,25 & 79:3 87:3,4 & wishes 6:1 & working 17:7 \\
\hline 61:16 68:17 & 94:14 & 71:1 & world 38:6,14 \\
\hline 69:1,11 71:4 & website 27:8 & witness 4:2 & wouldn't 13:13 \\
\hline 71:14 75:13 & Wednesday 1:12 & 5:19 12:21 & 24:24 33:16 \\
\hline 76:6 80:5 & week 92:3 & 13:20, 25 & 42:3 75:21 \\
\hline 81:13 85:18 & weeks 13:12 & 17:25 18:17 & 83:22 \\
\hline 85:23 89:4 & 68:3 & 18:22,25 & wrap 75:1 \\
\hline 92:25 & Weibel 4:3 & 22:2 34:22 & writing 12:12 \\
\hline wanted 49:2 & 10:13,17,20 & 35:1 39:13 & written 59:11 \\
\hline 54:5 63:9 & 16:9,10,13 & 40:3,9,14,18 & wrong 61:16 \\
\hline wants 47:12 & 16:17 18:4 & 40:23 41:1,5 & 70:22 72:23 \\
\hline 57:2 61:6 & 19:5 32:18 & 41:7,10 42:2 & 82:11,13 \\
\hline 75:19 90:13 & 43:23 47:25 & 42:8,21 43:1 & 93:12 \\
\hline warranted 8:18 & 48:24 49:5 & 43:10 44:3 & wrote 8:12 \\
\hline wasn't 50:24 & 49:20 50:9 & 44:25 45:8 & www. RLReso. \\
\hline 51:10 86:1,7 & 51:12 53:6 & 45:13,17 & 1:25 \\
\hline 89:3 & 55:22 72:1 & 46:5,9,14,18 & \\
\hline waste 58:14 & 87:5 89:15 & 46:23 50:5 & X \\
\hline wasting 73:6 & 89:21 & 50:10 57:18 & x1:3,8 4:1,9 \\
\hline water 42:17 & Weibel's 16:4 & 60:2 64:11 & \\
\hline Waterfront 1:5 & 49:17 & 73:8 & \(\frac{\mathrm{Y}}{\text { yeah } 12.415 .4}\) \\
\hline 3:9 41:16 & weigh 74:1 & witness' 55:17 & yeah 12:4 15:4 \\
\hline way 15:19 & weight 60:14 & witnesses 5:22 & 15:21 24:7 \\
\hline 31:15 42:10 & went 53:19 & 12:14,18 & 24:11,19 \\
\hline 57:18 59:10 & western 20:24 & 13:21 14:6 & 29:22 33:7 \\
\hline 59:11 60:15 & whack 73:2 & 70:10 & 34:4 42:21 \\
\hline 68:10 87:25 & what-have-you & won 50:1 & 49:23 51:10 \\
\hline 90:5 & 79:16 & wondering & 57:21 65:14 \\
\hline we'll 75:11 & wide 21:21, 24 & 15:19 & 65:23 69:6 \\
\hline 94:9 & 22:3,17 & wood 26:10 & 73:3 85:6 \\
\hline we're 5:19 & 23:14,17 & wooded 21:13 & 88:2 91:23 \\
\hline 13:2 29:6 & widely 27:25 & 26:10 36:25 & 93:8 \\
\hline 31:14 37:15 & width \(22: 15\) & wooden 36:11 & year 18:18,19 \\
\hline 45:8 48:22 & 23:18 28:15 & word 28:25 & years 43:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 46: 10,11,18 \\
& 49: 12,24 \\
& 62: 972: 1 \\
& 86: 14
\end{aligned}
\]} & 164:4 45:15 & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|l|} 
360-degree \\
\(37: 3,5\) \\
\(368-76521: 24\) \\
\(38089: 24\) \\
\(380-\) something \\
\(47: 14\)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\(8001: 24\)
\(\frac{9}{935: 7} 38: 3,5\)
\(38: 8\)
\(9.331: 13\)} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & 2 & & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{rl}
\hline \mathbf{2 1 9 : 1 6} & 35: 19 \\
36: 21 & 37: 4,7 \\
38: 18 & 56: 6
\end{array}
\]} & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline Z & & & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
2021: 23 \quad 22: 16 \\
23: 14,19
\end{gathered}
\]} & & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|l}
9: 331: 13 \\
9544: 10 \\
9733: 17 \\
989-91991: 24
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline zone 29:15, 20 & & 4 & \\
\hline 30:1,4 47:17 & & 424:14 26:25 & \\
\hline 47:21,22 & \[
45: 3,10,15
\] & 27:18 & \\
\hline zones 29:25 & 20-inch 44:23 & 4-foot 21:1 & \\
\hline Zoning 40:22 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
45: 20 \\
2003: 5
\end{array}
\]} & 4:307:11 & \\
\hline 58:2,10 & & 4:567:14 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Zoom 1:11} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 2003: 5 \\
& 200217: 8 \\
& 20201: 12 \\
& 18: 1697: 17
\end{aligned}
\]} & 40-inch 44:24 & \\
\hline & & 400 23:3 & \\
\hline 0 & & 410 1: 22 & \\
\hline 07962-1981 & & 4543 : 9 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 22569: 6 \\
& 225-11120: 9
\end{aligned}
\]} & 494 : 5 & \\
\hline & & 5 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 086483: 6 \\
& 086901: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
39: 11 \\
22771: 22
\end{gathered}
\] & 523:13 27:8 & \\
\hline 1 & 2445:4 & 27:18 33:22 & \\
\hline 136:23 37:4 & 25 21:21, 24 & 93:14 & \\
\hline 38:17 56:5 & 22:3,16 & 50 21:18 22:5 & \\
\hline 93:14 & 23:19 47:20 & 24:9 25:23 & \\
\hline 10 20:12 28:3 & 49:12,24 & 44:4 & \\
\hline 31:2,16 & 25046:18 72:1 & 50-foot 22:20 & \\
\hline 59:11 70:14 & 275-0400 3:7 & 52 45:18 & \\
\hline 76:21 79:4 & 288:22 32:18 & 5291:5 3:9 & \\
\hline 10:45 68:18,18 & 47:13 56:4 & 41:15 & \\
\hline 10:46 68:19 & 62:12 & 538-0800 3:17 & \\
\hline \(1013: 5\) & & \(5951: 7\) & \\
\hline 11 68:22 & 3 & & \\
\hline 11:1896:3 & 320:25 24:14 & 6 & \\
\hline 111 69:6 & 26:25 27:18 & 627:18 & \\
\hline 116 56:17 & 37:6 38:18 & 6-foot 27:8 & \\
\hline 11th 78:18 & 301:12 21:21 & 6.0124:17 & \\
\hline 12 45:2 & 22:17 34:24 & 6.0224:17 & \\
\hline 12-inch 44:23 & 34:25 72:19 & 6030:2 66:24 & \\
\hline 1497:17 & 73:17,23 & 80:15 & \\
\hline 1523:15 28:3 & 74:23 89:19 & 6091:24 3:7 & \\
\hline 150 46:11 & 30XI00228700 & & \\
\hline 86:14 & 97:16 & 7 & \\
\hline 150-year -old & 331:22 & 8 & \\
\hline 15th 95:24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3333191: 25 \\
& 35022: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \(8030: 2\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

